_	T. T		0 TUDIOTAL DISTRICT!		
Ι	IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF LOS ANGELES JUDICIAL DISTRICT!'				
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
3	HON. MIOJAEL 1'. SAUER, JODGE		DIVISION NO. 94		
4					
5	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CA	LIFORNIA,	No. 812,709		
6	F	Plaintiff,			
7	-VS-				
8	2SUZSANNA. EPESE BARTHA,		VIOS: sr.c. 332, PENA!,		
9			CODE, CT. I (Dismisse()		
10			<b>and</b> SEC. <b>43.30</b> , LAMC, C'I'. II.		
11					
12	De:	fendant.	_		
13	-0	Oo-			
14					
15	<u>E!!: · .§</u> <u>!:1\RTL</u>	<u> </u>	ON AP .		
16		10, 1975			
17	April 1	L1, 1975			
18	APPEARJ\UCL S i				
19	For the Plaintiff:	JUDITH O.			
20		WHITE MITO Deputy Ci	CHEL!., ty Attorneys		
21	ForthE:i Defendant:	MARGUERITE			
22		MARIE COL	ANEKI		
23					
24					
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
26	VOLU m 1 of 2	JOSIE GAR			
27	Pages 1 - 225, incl.	Official (	Court Reporter Hill Street		
28		Los Angele	es, California 90012		

1		INDEK				
2	PEOPLE•s WITNESSESa	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	
3	ALCANTARA, Jose	105	226	245		
4	FALKENBORG, Carl	101	103	104	104	
5	KIMBERLIN, Rosalie	47	72			
6	SHELLEY, Larry B.	76	94	100		
7						
8	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES:					
9	BARTHA,,Zsuzsanna,Emese	330	348	399	402	
10	CHESSER, Barbara	128	142			
11	CONN, James P.	298	306	325		
12	GLUCK, Sberna	251	257	263	265	
13	HARLOW, Allison	178	205			
14	PETERS, George	268	286	296	297	
15	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES IN RE	BUTTAL:				
16	FALKENBORG, Carl	404				
17	SHELLEY, Larry B.	406				
18						
19	PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS:			FOR IDENTIFIC	ATION EVID	IN ENCE
20	l - Diagram			51	. 2	48
21	2 - Diagram			53	2	48
22	3 - Cloth			59	2	48
23	4 - Cards			59	2	48
24	5 - Advertisement			60	2	48
25	6 - Blank sample			62	2	48
26	7 - Vial		70	2	48	
27	8 - Blue brochure and bu	- Blue brochure and business card		80	2	48
28	9 - Card			83	2	48
_						

€ (

1			
2	PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS:	ontinued) FOR	IN
3	10 - Witchy Dance brochure	84	248
4	11-A Picture	85	248
5	11-B Photograph	86	248
6	11-c Enlargement of 11-B	87	248
7	11-D Photograph	88	248
8	11-E Photograph	88	248
9	11-F Photograph	89	248
10	11-G Photograph	89	248
11	11-H Photograph	91	248
12	11-I Photograph	91	248
13	11-J Photograph	92	248
14	11-K Photograph	92	248
15	11-L Photograph	92	248
16	11-M Photograph	92	248
17	11-N Photograph	93	248
18	11-0 Enlargement of 11-N	93	248
19	11-P Enlargement of lower chart in 11-F	94	248
20			
21	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:		
22	A- Death card	75	403
23	B - Queen of Swords card	75	403
24	C - magazine	187	403
25	D - Bat's Blood	337	403
26	E - Dragon's blood	337	403
27	F - Sign	337	403
28	G - Sign	338	403

( 1,

f..

· ;•·\_;\_\.'!.• ----,.

1			(Continued) <b>FOR</b>	IN
2	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:	I	DENTIFICATION	
3	B - Photograph of <b>altar</b>		340	403
4	I - Candle		342	403
5	J - Yellow Pages		345	403
6	K - Vial		401	403
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

(

(

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, APRIL 10, 1975; 9:15 A.M.

---000---

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

THE COURT: Case 812709, Zsuzsanna Emese Bartha.

MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. For the defendant, Marquerite M. Buckley and Marie Colaneri.

Your Honor, this case has some unusual complexity, and I have several motions that I would like to make. But I also believe that it would be extremely valuable for the court and for the conducting of this trial if we could have a conference beforehand to outline the areas which we are going to be going into and to delineate the issues, Constitutional issues and factual issues, which are going to be presented. Furthermore, I have a motion to make in limine about certain issues that I think are totally irrelevant to the case, and I just believe it would be advantageous for both the Court and judicious preceeding if we would have that conference.

Secondly, your Honor, I would like on the record to express my objection to the courtroom. This is a case which has much public interest because of the Constitutional issues surrounding the rights of religion; and there are many people who would wish to attend. The space here will make it impossible for there to be any kind of observers during the picking of the jury, which, I believe, is as important a part of the trial as any other part and should be conducted publicly. It would be impossible under these quarters, and so

THE COURT: Excuse me.

I would ask that the doorway be closed. If there are

any seats, they may be taken by spectators or anyone else. In fact I, see soipe vacant seats in the last row.

MS. B,tJCKU: We have a hall full of people, your Honor, who -- we have been trying to arrange that certain people who have participated in this case and are important to the case take those empty seats. It's not a question of not enough people to fill them.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Buckley.

2.4

MS. BUCKLEY; Yes, your Honor. So I understand the space problem. But, on the other hand, your Honor, I do believe that the issues involved here, being Constitutional issues, are of major importance and that my client is entitled to a public trial, and that, with the space here, once we bring in a jury panel, there will be no opportunity for either members of the public or members of the press who may wish to attend. And on that grounds I would move that we move to a larger courtroom to have this trial.

THE COURT: Are you saying we hold the case in the Colosseum or someplace so that a hundred thousand people can appear as spectators?

MS. BUCKLEY: No, your Honor, I'm not saying that; but I think there's a difference between the Colosseum where there's a hundred thousand people and the courtroom that, once you have a jury panel in, will leave no seats for the public at all.

THE COURT: For the record, there are 12 seats in the jury box. There are, I believe, 25 seats in the audience, which will allow for a jury panel of 37 persons. I'm not sure there are any other courts in this building that have much bigger seating

1 than that.

Mrs. Stein, do you wish to be heard?

3

2

MRS. STEIN: The People have no position. And we would be

4

willing to waive jury, as a matter of fact.

5

THE COURT: Well, of course, that's not the issue before the Court at this time.

6

MRS., &TEI T- I agree with your. Honor.

8

7

THE COURT: I believe it is a public courtroom. It's ope

9

to the public, and there will be some **seats**, I'm sure, for some

10

spectators to sit in during the process of the jury selection.

11

And for that reason the motion to have the matter transferred

12

to another courtroom is denied.

courtroom is denied

and the prosecution --

referring to.

13

MRS. STEI ·N: Your Honor, I might also add that, once the

14

jury is selected, the entire courtroom will be empty and

15

available for the trial. The evidence doesn't commence until

16

the selection of the jury, for the record.

17

'.fHE COURT: The motion to move the court to another

18

Your next motion, Ms. Buckley?

20

19

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, the ad limine motion is designed

21

particularly not to have certain matters made public and to be

22

kept out of the trial, and it:  ${}^{\backprime}\mathbf{S}$  my understanding that that type

23

of motion would have to heard in chambers or out of the presence

24

of the public and any -- other than the -- possibly the defendant

25

26

THE COURT: I'm not exactly sure what type of motion you're

27

MS. BUCKLEY: An ad limine motion, your Honor, is a motion

```
to, in advance of the trial, raise issues which should not be
   brought into the trial because they would be prejudicial to the
3
    trial of the matter, and it is a way of in advance notifying the
    other side, in this case the prosecution -- although the
   prosecution frequently will make the same kind of motion -- that
5
    if certain issues are raised or brought into the trial -- that
6
    it will be error per se. They're warned in advance that any
7
    attempt to bring those issues into the trial are error. And
\mathbf{S}
    that kind of motion cannot be argued in the public because that'E
9
    the whole purpose of the motion, to keep it out of the public
10
    eye, your Honor.
11
          THE COURT: You are now asking that we hold a hearing in
12
    chambers without any members of the public present. Apparently
1a
    only counsel and the defendant or -- I do not even know if the
14
    defendant will be present -- and therefore the public will not bE
1s
   present, which is somewhat contrary to a motion you previously
16
   made; that the public is denied the right to hear the trial in
11
    the courtroom.
18
          MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, the ad limine motion is an
19
    integral part of the defendant's right to a fair trial because,
20
    when it is known in advance that there are certain issues which
21
   will inflame a jury or the public, those issues have a right to
22
   be kept out of the court. And the fact that we re in a municipal
23
    court where we have not had a pretrial should not in anyway
24
    deprive my client of the rights to have that kind of a motion
25
   heard by you prior to the trial.
26
          THE COURT: Mrs. Stein?
27
          MRS. STEIN: No written motions of any kind whatsoever by
28
```

1 defense counsel have been presented to our office in advance. 2 The trial was continued I, t was originally scheduled fo.r. the 24th of March. f':c::, aniln d. And the defendant has had more than adequate time to file any kind of motions. Additionally, I believe what the defendant is referring to is Penal Code 403 to 405, that type of motion. THE COrURT: I think that's in the Evidence Code. MRS.... $imE. N\cdot 1$  Evidence Code. "::,R,)I <>, **<**; MS. BUeKLBY: Your Honor, may I say that now I've been 10 practicing in the West L.A. courts for a number of years and had experience; and one of my experiences is coming into a court before a trial where I have filed written motions, and the city 12 attorney has never bothered to, you know, file written motions in return, partly because of the case load. I understand this. But to say -- to all of a sudden say that a motion cannot be putting a written motion in would have exposed, I think, to public view the issue that I believe would be prejudicial.

3

4

5

6

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

heard by the judge about prejudicial matters when even, frankly, And I think, your Honor -- one of the other problems I have, your Honor, is that every other judge I've appeared before in this court has always gone into chambers with me before the hearing to delineate what we're going to do in the trial. And I) had no notion that there was a judge who was sitting in this courtroom -- I had not had prior experience with you, your Honor and I did not know of any reputation which would have said that you would not be willing to sit down in xour cpambers beJorehand ·

THE COURT: I haven', t said one thing or the other, Ms. Buckley. This is all new to me. I'm merely trying to hear what both sides have to say.

MS. BUCI<LEY: I understand that, your Hong»-, but I'm explaining one of the reasons why I didn' - 'Ile anywritten motions1 and that my experience here, has been that the judges normally sat down, And this king/Of a motion would be made at that time in chambers; \\that, \hormally, this sort of smooths the flow so that we don't h ''' to have a trial with a lot of objections. I don't ): felie\*1, yo, ur Honor, in a trial with a lot of objections fro'/'ither si e,\because I think it disturbs the flow of information to that jury"-- nd makes, you know, the entire tryi,' of a cas more difficult andmakes it more difficult/for justice for either side.

THE COURT: I agree -with you one hundred per cent.'

MS. BUCKLEY: So the problem I have is that I'm sort of caught flat-footed, your Honor, because I assumed that we would be having such a meeting in chambers and that the ad limine motion would not even have to be argued. It would be, you know, a question of raising it at that time, and the court determining yes, certain materials should be brought in; no, the should not.

THE COURT: We can have a hearing in chambers just to discuss, as can be done in any case -- discussing any guidelines to be followed, just so both the attorneys and the court **have** some idea which way the case is going, so it doesn't happen during the trial to get off ontangents that have nothing to do with the 9" as.

MS. &a€KLEY: That's what I'm asking for.

THE COURT: I would say if a hearing is to be held in

1 chambers with you, Mrs. Stein, the reporter, the clerk and 2 myself -a Does your client waive her right to be there, and also does she waive any challenge to the fact that a hearing is being held not in a public forum whereby she has the right to have any spectators hear the motion? You understand, Miss. Bartha, your attorney, 7 Ms. Buckley --And I assume Ms. Colaneri joins in the motion. Do you? 10 MS. COLANERI: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Miss: Bartha, do you waive your right to be 12 present at this hearing where the attorneys and the court will 13 discuss the way the trial will unwind, at least as they see it, 14 and also do you waive any challenge to your right to a public 15 trial and the fact a hearing is being held in chambers without 16 the public present? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: You're doing this freely and voluntarily? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: And you've discussed this, I assume, with 21 Ms. Buckley? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 23 Give the court just a few moments to examine 24 THE COURT: the file. And I would just add one other point. In view of the 25 fact the second count is somewhat long, perhaps the People could 26 indicate exactly what part of the second count they're going on. 27

We will limit the matter just to the applicability.

```
1
               We'll take the matter up in just a few moments.
                (There was held a short recess.)
3
                (The following proceedings were had
4
                in the chambers of the Court:)
5
          THE COURT: In the matter of 1, amH1::::aart:ha, the record
6
    will reflect there's a hearing in chambers, being present the
7
    Court, the clerk, the bailiff, the reporter, the attorneys,
8
    Ms. Colaneri and Ms. Buckley, and, for the People, Mrs. Stein.
          MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, at this time the People would
10
    make a motion to dismiss Count I.
11
          THE COPRT: Any objection by the defense?
12
          MS. B'UCKLEY: No; your Honor.
          THE COURT: People's motion to dismiss Count I is granted.
13
               And just so as to keep things in some order, Count II
14
    will be renumbered Count I so the jur9rs won't wonder what
15
    happened, was there a Count I. So Count II is renumbered
16
    Count I.
17
               Now, as to Count II, are the People going on all that
18
    is alleged or will there be any
19
20
          MRS. STEIN: No. The People also make a motion to strike
    the pro formaa form of the reading of now Count I, violation of
21
    L.A. Municipal Code Section 43.30, to strike the word "willfully"
22
    so that the Section would read as follows: Did unlawfully, in
23
    the City of Los Angeles, engage in the business of and advertise
24
    in the newspaper the telling of fortunes by -- excuse me.
25
    telling of fortunes and restoration of --
26
          THE COURT: Wait a minute. We come to flthe telling of
27
    fortunes." Then do we strike something?
28
```

1 MRS. STEIN: No. "The res, toration of --" and then --"The restoration of--" 2 THE COURT: 3 MRS. STEIN: I think "lost love" can be stricken. THE COURT: "The restoration of --" 5 MRS. STEIN: "Friendship and affection of -- "striking 6 "the uniting and procurement of" -- or leaving the word --THE COURT: "The restoration of friendship and affection," 8 now we strike the "uniting and procurement -- • • MRS. STEIN: "Affection of husband by means of cards and 9 potion." And the word "cards" is in the Section. 10 THE COURT: Cards and potions? 11 MRS. STEIN: Potion. 12 MS. BUCKLEYr Your Honor, I just really would have to 13 object to this because, in the first place, what she has just 14 what the City has just done is to totally change the entire 15 character of the charges against us. In the first place, that 16 Municipal Code, I think, is unconstitutional for various reasons 17 one, because it is over-broad. If you read the section, it 18 prohibits the finding of lost metal and ore or locating of ore 19 by scientific means. It prevents the uniting of lovers, 20 husbands, et cetera, by psychological means. It is one of the 21 most ridiculous Sections I have ever seen in my life, and I 22 don • t think that, by suddenly rewording this Count, you avoid 23 the fact that the that that Section is -- the Municipal Code 24 is in and of itself unconstitutional. 25 Secondly, I would object to the dropping of 26 "willfully" because what I think the City is attempting to do is 27

to destroy our right to come tn and talk about intent. And the

1 reason that they have thrown out 332, as I see it, is because the state statute provides very carefully for pretense of fortune telling, not fortune telling by itself; that there has . to be an intention to commit some fraudulent act. Now, I think that, if what they do is to go ahead and take out "willfully," it is an attempt to prevent our bringing in any kind of testimony about our intentions, and I think that intentions are 7 crucial to this whole case. It has to do with fraud. Now, 8 secondly, I think that to prohibit fortune telling --10 THE COURT: Well, I don't want to cut you off, but let's try and first.get down to some order. But I'm -- if the 11 amendment is granted, the complaint would read: "engage in the 12 business of and advertise in a newspaper the telling of 13 fortunes, the restoration of friendship and affection of 14 husbands by means of cards and potion." 15 It that correct, Mrs. Stein? 16 MRS. STEIN: There should be an "and" in there after the 17 word "fortunes", I think, to make sense out of it. 18

THE COURT: Where? You'll have to read it to me before I can even rule on the defense motion.

MRS. STEIN: Okay. Well, can I say something first with respect to the constitutionality of the Section, since I've done some research on it?

MS. BUCKLEY: Why don't we finish talking about what you want to charge us first with, please.

THE COURT: Yes. I think that point is well taken.

MRS. STEIN: "Did unlawfully, in the City of Los Angeles, engage in the business of and advertise in a newspaper--"

(

,

20

19

21

2223

24

25

26

27

```
1
    Well, I guess -- •in a newspaper the telling of fortunes, the
2
    restoration of friendship and affection and -- "excuse me --
3
    "of a husband --"
4
          THE COURT: You'll have to speak up. I can't hear you.
          MRS. STEIN: I'll start again. "Did unlawfully, in the
6
    City of Los Angeles, engage in the business of and advertise in
7
    a newspaper the telling of fortunes, restoration of
8
          THE COURT: Are you saying, the restoration?
          MRS. STEIN: "The restoration of lost love, friendship and
10
    affection - 'or we could leave out "lost love." That --
11
          THE COURT: It's only -- I've stricken this out. I'm not-
          MRS. STEIN: Okay. "Restoration of friendship and
12
13
    affection -" "restoration and affection of a husband and friends
14
    by means of cards and potion."
15
          THE COURT: So it would read: "In the City of Los Angeles,
16
    engage in the business of and advertise in a newspaper the
17
    telling of fortunes, the restoration of friendship and affection
18
    of a husband and friends by means of cards and potions."
19
               Why are you moving to strike the word "willfully"?
2.0
    Isn't that some word that -- willfully, when applied to the
    intent with which an act is done or omitted, implies simply a
21
    purpose or willingness to conrrnit the act or make the omission
22
23
    referred to? It does not require any intent to --
24
          MRS. STEIN: Right. That's all right. I'll withdraw that,
          THE COURT: Ms. Buckley, just as to the proposed --
25
26
          MS. BUCKLEY: Well, your Honor, I am having a little
27
    difficulty in understanding whether the City is -- what the City
28
    is charging my client with. Obviously, they're charging her with
```

telling of fortunes. But it seems to me the City Attorney is straining a little to just charge the particular act that they have caught her in1 that is, talking to a police officer about a husband, but obviously you don't carry on a business to just deal with one customer unless, you know, maybe H·oward Hughes perhaps. But normally, you're not going to run a business just to go out and tell this one police officer about her husband and restoring lost love to her. I just think it's a strained language. She did not run a business to talk about restoring the affection of a husband.

THE COURT: You're objecting they would say a husband as opposed to restoration and affection of husbands and friends?

MS. BUCKLEY: Either she is guilty of conducting a business which promises certain things which they can't prove -- and I doubt that they will prove it. But either she is charging us with running a business that is prohibited by the statute or excuse me; in this case the Municipal Code, and that holds herself out to be able to do certain things, and they can prove those things by, you know, certain witnesses. But you don't tailor -- you don't run a business for just that one act that you're going to be proving under the municipal ordinance. And I think that's what the intent, you know, of the

MRS. STEIN: She's charged with

THE COURT: Just a moment.

MS. BUCKLEY: And I think that's what she's attempting to do by her amendment. And I'm just trying to understand how the jury, hearing that, is going to respond. I think, if you're going to charge her with doing something and then you prove one

act, that's fine. But you have to charge her with running a certain business that promises certain kinds of things, and then you prove an act under it. And I don't think that you tailor -- in other words, she was not running a business for the purpose of telling one police officer about -- that she could restore the affection of her husband by cards and a potion. Obviously, as a witch she uses lots of potions.

THE COURT: I would grant the amendment just so as to clarify the issue for the jury. The People can't prove all this. You know, if a business is such, and a person went to a business once, that may not be a business.. If a person does something once, does that mean they're engaging in a business? That may be questionable. If they do it several times, then maybe you have an inference there's a business. But I think at least to strike out some of the language that apparently the People aren't going to rely on -- I think it's easier for the jury. Because if they hear about psychic powers, talismans, charms

MS. BUCKLEY: We're going to be doing all that.

MRS. STEIN: That's irrelevant.

MS. BUCKLEY: It's not irrelevant, your Honor. This is the whole issue, and I think this is why we had to have this hearing in court.

My client is a high priestess in the sisterhood of Wicca. They are worshipers of the Goddess. The partL lar sect that she is involved in is the worshipers of the Goddess Diana. This is a very old and respected religion. It is a pagan religion. There is a growing upsurge of worship of the

2.5

1 2

5

6

7 8

10

11

12

13 14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Godesses, . as opposed to the Christian or Judaic religion in this country.

43.31 provides that, if these things are done in -as part of your religious practices, that it is not a violation of the Section. My client -- and what I intend to do in this court is to bring in, number one, an anthropologist who will testify as to the history of witchcraft and the worship of as opposed to the Christian-Judiac. We will have a Goddesses witness who is a current practicing witch. She has been what we call a closet witch and is coming out, a very respected woman, who will talk about her relationship to a national organization, Nerneton; that it is a national organization of pagan and earth religionsi that Z is recognized nationally as a high priestess of a related religion; that part of her religion is the reading of the tarot cards

We intend to bring in a minister who permits his church to be used by her and her people for some of their rituals and ceremonies., We intend to bring in a woman from the feminist movement who is aware of Z's reputation in not only the feminist movement, which i.s not, you know, a witch -necessarily witch oriented, but also as to her lecturing at universities and being recognized as a witch and a woman who practices reading the tarot cards and is very skillful at this.

We will have testimony from  $\mathbf{Z}$  as to how she where she got her training, how she -- her beliefs, and that tarot card reading is a part and parcel of their religious functions; that it is not a business but that it is counseling of those parishioners, to use a Christian term, but that it is also used

for other people coming seeking counseling.

Now, tarot card reading is not fortune telling.

What it is, the cards are used to channel psychic energies.

Z Budapest says she has the gift of divination which is the gift the Gcxi:less gave her that makes her a high priestess.

Now, the gift of divination is recognized in the Christian religion in all the old prophets in it1 and in the Bible the moslifamous prophets are male. Now, we're used to hearing our religion, you know, from two thousand years ago, and over the time we can accept the idea of prophecy. What Z is saying is that she has the gift of divination and that the tarot cards are merely instruments by which this energy is focused and channeled and used.

We were also hoping I'm not sure whether we'll be able to bring in this witness. But we were hoping to bring in some of the university people who work with the Kirlian camera which takes pictures of the energies which faith healers and which other psychics have emanating from their hands and from their bodies. And we intend to bring in evidence to show that psychic energies such as Z possesses or as she believes.'. she possesses and many other people believe she possesses are in fact being studied under either the name parapsychology or whathave-you at the universit:ies: that they're real forces.

THE COURT: Again, your defense is that this was done as part of a religion.

MS. BUCKLEY: That's part of her religion.

THE COURT: As I recall, under 43.31, that may well be a defense. Now, as to whether all those witnesses would apply

1

6

7

11

12

13

14 15

16

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

26

27

28

in the trial or not, that would be up to the court to determine But, getting back to the original issue, I will allo the amendment so as to strike out that portion that is not really applicable. As amended, the Complaint will read: •oid willfully and unlawfully, in the City of.Los Angeles, engage in the business of and advertise in a newspaper the telling of fortunes, the restoration of friendship and affection of husbands and friends by means of cards and potions. •

> And I will grant the amendment as so worded. Mrs. Stein?

MRS • STEIN: Now, may I be heard on that -- to eliminate all these witnesses, and so on? Gladstone vs. Galton, 145 Federal 2d 742, 1944 case, the 9th Circuit, State of California, upheld this section as being constitutional, 43.30. A more recent case, Allinger vs. the City of Los Angeles, 272 Cal. App. 2d, 391, 1969, also upheld this ordinance. And, in view of this religious argument that's being proffered, I have numerous authorities which say that fortune telling, period, is illegal: and even a minister can't tell fortunes. It's not a part of his ministerial and pastoral duties. Allinger case did

THE COURT: I'm familiar with the Allinger case. was a suit against, I believe, the City to challenge her right to -- as part of her services, I believe she asked people to make a love offering, and she would tell their fortune. So it was alleged in the case. And the court Upheld the statute against her challenge, although, I believe, in a criminal trial the case was either dismissed or she was found not quilty.

MRS. STEIN: She had this defense. In other words, even a minister cannot tell fortunes.

MS. COLANERI: Your Honor, if I may make a couple of comments as to the Allinger case. My understanding of that was that it was in direct violation of her religion to accept money for telling fortunes, as opposed to Ms. Budapest's religion in which this is not only allowed but perfectly insisted upon. In the Allinger case, she was violating the tenets of her own church.

THE COURT: It's been some time. I will obviously re-read it.

MS. BUCKLEY: And, your Honor, I have a second problem. And that is, I respectfully point out that my client -- nowhere in the evidence will you find my client say she is doing fortune telling. My client reads tarot cards and counsels people. And to just say for even a minister can't tell fortunes is to presume some fact not in evidence. I think it is a question for the jury as to, number one, whether what my client does is in fact fortune telling or counseling; secondly, it is a question of fact whether that reading of tarot cards is an integral part of her religion.

Now, the difficult part about this whole case lies in the fact that my client is not a Christian. My client is a pagar. She is a witch. And those terms are terms which are very prejudicial to people who don't understand what it's all about. And my client has a right to be tried people who are able to, number one, openly and fairly learn of her religion and judge whether or not her reading of the tarot cards is an integral

function of her religion. Part of the evidence will show that the payment for such services, which, by the way, varj.es with the ability of the person to respond -- for instance, if your client is poor and has troubles, you don't collect from them or you accept a rose. or, for instance, among tarot card readers, it is sometimes the custom to exchange readings, because the whole idea is that the Goddess gets an offering. Now, in the Christiar religion, that offering is done by --

MRS. STEIN: Excuse me --

S

1s

THE COURT: Let Ms. Buckley finish.

MS. BUCKLEY: In the Christian religion, that offering is done by taking up a collection at a certain part of the service. In the pagan religions, and particularly in those religions that worship the Goddess as opposed to a God, there is in most of then no such collection, although some of them do it that way. But the idea is that, when you use the services of the Goddess, you make an offering to the Goddess1 and the only way you can do it is through her high priestess or through her priestess. I mean you can't very well give a \$10.00 check to the Goddess Diana.

THE COURT: That may

## MRS• STEIN: The Statute

THE COURT: But a trier of fact might just say, you know, on those same facts, this woman is out telling fortunes and we find it to be a violation of law. That's the issue for the jury to determine. As I said, some of the witnesses you said may well qualify to testify. Others may be going off on some other tangents that may carry the case to far. But as to her use of cards and stuff, that may well be applicable. But I haven't

heard what the People's case is yet.

Mrs. Stein?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

26

27

28

MRS. STEIN: I might add in that regard that 43. JO reads
•For or without pay".

MS. COLANERI: That's advertising. That just is exclusively advertising, when you get to "or engage in the business of"1 in essence, no person may advertise for or without pay. But engage in the business goes as to something else.

MS. BUCKLEY: I think there's a second question about that, your Honor, and, again, it's a constitutional question. First, as I said earlier, I think the whole municipal ordinance is over broad. And I -- if the jury sees fit not to come in with a not quilty verdict, I intend to take this up all the way to the United States Supreme Court on the question of the breadth of that ordinance. A reading of it leaves you to chuckle except for the fact that the people are being prosecuted under. But, if it were prosecuted fairly and impartially, you would be putting in jail geologists, every . marriage counselor and anybody who offered, through Esalen, or anything else, to help people restore their relationships. But, secondly, there's a more important problem, and that has to do with freedom of speech which is a 1''irst Amendment guarantee. This statute purports to prevent people telling fortunes with or without paye Now, one of the things that is happening in this country is a burgeoning interest in psychic phenomenon; and to say that somebody cannot advertise to tell fortunes or to find certain/ of things by means of occult or psychic powers at a time when they are being -- well, and you're also forbidden to do it by science, which I think is funny, science, cards or talismans. But that really says that people cannot advertise to go ahead and discuss something, because to tell fortunes is in essence to talk about the future. And I think that that's unconstitutional. I think people have a right, particularly without pay, to discuss anything they may well please. And that is, again, you know, a thing :? intend, to:.,::, take up if the jury forces me to do it.

THE COURT: Well, of course, we don't know. It may not reach that point. But right now let's just try and get down some procedure.

Count I has been amended by interlineation. I will\_grant that, under the limitations as I have last read the complaint. And I would --

Mrs. Stein, if you have an extra copy of 43.30 and 43.31, I would like a copy of those just for -- because the Court doesn't have the Municipal Code here.

MRS. STEIN: Now, regarding any constitutional -- the constitutionality of the statute, I believe that's a matter that your Honor would decide out of the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: As I understood Ms. Buckley, I didn't think she was quite raising that motion at this time.

MS. BUCKLEY: Not at this time, right.

THE COURT: She was waiting to see what happened to the case. If the jury were to return a verdict of guilty, as I understood, she would then raise the issue of the constitutionality.

MRS. STEIN: But that should not be raised during the

course of the trial.

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

THE COURT: I didn't understand the defense's representation that they were -- I don't think they're asking the jury to rule on the constitutionality.

MS. BUCKLEY: Heaven's no. But I do have -- and I think this has to really be decided, your Honor. The real problem that I have in this case has to do partly now with voir diring the jury, and, secondly, with the information which we wish to br.ing in about our religion. And one of the reasons why I felt we had to discuss this, and one of the reasons why I told you who rny witnesses were in a little bit of detail is because I think that there is an inherent prejudice in this society against persor, .s who are not only non-Christian I mean I think that if my client were a Buddhist or Moslem •or one of the religions which still worships a male goG that they might be able to understand it. But I think that there is going to be an emotional reaction from a number of people with the idea that my client could not be worshipping Jehovah or a male god but in fact is worshipping an ancient goddess. And one of the interesting things is that; when you look at Webster's Dictionary, they have "pagan" down as an irreligious person1 and yet the pagans were really deeply religious. It is just that they had a religion which was not that of the ruling or prevailing religion. And it's a very highly emotionally charged issue, I think.

THE COURT: What exactly are you trying to say?

MS. BUCKLEY: So I'm saying, on my voir dire I think that

I'm going to have to ask some questions about people's

attitudes, whether they think they can give my client a fair trial. And I'm going to want to inquire into their religbus beliefs and practices, not deeply into their beliefs. But I believe that, for instance, a practicing Catholic might be particularly unhappy about a heathen ot a pagan; and I think that I have to be permitted to do some type of voir diring to be able to determine whether they're going to be able to set aside what I think are very deep emotional biases and be able to hear about a religion and the worship of a woman as opposed to a man. And I think that hits at a couple of areas, religious areas, areas of our feelings about sex and --

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, may I be heard.--

THE COURT: You may.

MRS. STEIN: on that point? I think this is a total misuse of the system of justice. A person is charged with telling fortunes on February 10, 1975, in essence; and that's the case. And religion has nothing to do with it because even a religious minister, priest, and so on under 43.31, under those cases, and I have some more cases, too, a long line of authorities -- it's the great weight of authority in the United States that even a minister, a practicing religious person under a recognized religion cannot tell fortunes. And religion in this case is irrelevant. And there is a long line of authorities to that effect besides the two California cases. I have an article. So that --

THE COURT: Is the defense contention that this was done as part of a religious service or just part of a religion, period?

MRS. STEIN: My I add one other thing?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

' 7

THE COURT: Let Ms. Buckley answer the question, please.

The entire reading of the tarot is her gift MS. BUCKLEY: and part of her practice as a high priestess in the Sisterhood of the Wicca, and she has been practicing this since -- she has been practicing in the Sisterhood of the Wicca and has been recognized as a high priestess since 1971, although she has been practicing her gifts for 11 years. She formed a religious organization, and they have been practicing as a coven. It's a Susan B. Anthony coven Number One since 1971. And at that time she was made a high priestess. Prior to this time she was using her gifts of divination and her description of what she does is counseling. And the cards are merely an instrument, the tarot cards, which, by the way, also have a history going back five thousand years. They are her means of counseling with people who have problems, and her use of the gifts which the goddess has given her.

MRS. STEIN: Under 43.31, the burden is on the defendant to prove that they are a recognized religious organization.

MS. BUCKLEY: That's what I wish to do.

MRS. STEIN: And that is the defense burden. And perhaps your Honor, in order to save time and all this, witnesses, and so on, and testimony regarding such, could make a determination out of the presence of the jury as to whether or not this is in fact a fully recognized religion.

MS. BUCKLEY: May I point out, as the City Attorney has said, whether this is in fact a fully recognized religion and I think it's a fact question for the jury, even in the way

she put it.

THE COURT: Well, as I said earlier, I mean some of your witnesses may well be permitted to testify1 but as to whether all of them -- it would have to depend on some of the facts. But as to voir dire I would not permit you to inquire as to everyone's personal religion. I think you can inquire, "Do you go to church regularly, on a frequent basis?" or something like that1 but I don't think the jurors have to answer that "I am a Protestant; I'm a Catholic; I'm a Jew, and I attend the temple" or "I go to mass on Sunday, or services on Sunday." I don't think they have to respond that far. But I believe you can inquire: "Do you attend church?" You can say, "How often?" I think you can be permitted into that. But I would limit it at that point, and I would not have the jurors subjected to any more.

MS. BUCKLEY: I'm much more interested, your Honor, in whether their religious beliefs will make it impossible for them to understand someone who worships a Goddess as opposed to their God.

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I really do not know what that has to do with whether or not the defendant told a fortune on February 10, 1975. That's -- this whole thing is going to turn into a circus.

MS\$ BUCKLEY: It will not Your Honor, one of the things I have tried to do -- and I think that the marshal can attest to what has been going on in the courtroom, in terms of both the spectators -- and I can tell you that, in preparation of this case, I have gone to get only the most highly respected kind of witnesses as to the religious aspect. Now, I

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

H.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

understand -- and I think that one of the things that is happening is that Mrs. Stein, in one sense, is displaying the kind of attitude which I expect many jurors to, and that is that they are going to have some difficulty understanding the reality of a religion which is not in their experience. And I think it is exceedingly important that I be permitted to bring in -- on the bona fides of her religion, that I be permitted to bring in anthropologists who can testify as to how long there has been the religions which worship the goddesses and the practice of witchcraft, because, although it's a prejudicial te:an, in our sense, it had a very honored meaning for a long time, and it is beginning to regain that honored meaning. We have ministers; we have people who have been lecturers and have qualifications\* We do not intend to put on a line in succession of witches and, you know, people who are going to put on spells and hexes and all of that kind of thing. We're talking about professors who lecturing at major universities. We are talking ab out ministers of recognized churches. And we will be bringing in possibly two other witches besides my client --

MR3. STEIN: Will you excuse me for just one second?

MS. BUCKLEY: -- one being the woman who will testify as to the national organization and the scope of the religion and their organizing on a national level and to point out that Z is recognized.

I will also be putting Ms. Colaneri on for the limited purpose of indicating that, in fact, as of December of last year they attempted -- they began the process of incorporating the church. But I think that we -- under the

```
43.31 we are entitled to bring in witnesses of the bona fides
of her religious beliefs. We are entitled to bring in
information about how tarot card readings relates to that belief
and in fact are a part of the functions and rituals.

THE COURT: Mrs. Stein?

MRS.STEIN: This defense is -- of constitutional religious
freedom is raised frequently. And, whenever a fortune teller is
```

MRS.STEIN: This defense is -- of constitutional religiou freedom is raised frequently. And, whenever a fortune teller is subject to prosecution, this defense of religious freedom is always raised. On this point, however, the law is well & ttled that, although the government may not interferewith mere religious beliefs and opinions, it can prohibit and punish religious practices which are criminal offenses. And there ic a long line of authority. Therefore, since fortune telling is a criminal offense, it can be prohibited; and a fortune teller cannot -- since fortune telling is a criminal offense, a religious leader cannot claim the defense of constitutional religious freedom. And there is a long line of authorities to support that.

1/1

13.

14

15

16

17

18

I have them here if your Honor would like to see it.

THE COUR'I': Well, of course, the Court has not heard any o

the testimony, has no idea what the background of the case is or

what

MRS. STEIN: Right. In other words, if, for instance, as
the Aztec religion permitted the cutting out of young maidens.
hearts, and somebody did that in this country, they would not be
permitted the defense of religious freedom. They'd be charged
with 187 or whatever.

THE COURT: That's true. I believe the Supreme Court,

```
when they upheld years ago -- against the Mormons the right to
    have a number of wives, they just said, you know, freedom of
    religion is not --
          IB. COLANERI: The issue in that case was that polygamy
   went to the very moral core of the United States morality. Now,
   the interesting thing is polygamy is an act. Fortune telling --
6
    the only act involved is opening and closing the jaw muscles and
    speaking. So the interesting phenomenon is, even though that,
   constitutionally, religious freedom cannot be abridged, religious
9
   practices may be if they're offensive to American morality
10
    But the phenomenon is this special practice is a speech and
11
   exclusively speech There is a California case, People versus
12
   Blackburn -- I think it's 212 Cal something -- which says in
13
   essence that where one is entering into the area of religion
14
   and in the exercise of spiritual powers or supernatural powers
1[,
   that unless there is the specific intent to defraud involved --
16
   that one is abridging religious freedom. It would seem also
17
   that this is probably the specific reason that the California
18
   Penal Code, 332, talks about pretentions to fortune telling.
19
   The mere act of fortune telling, according to the Penal Code,
20
    is not against the law. It's the pretentions to, your Honore
21
          THE COURT: Well, apparently, reading just the cases cited
22
   here under 43.30, the mere act of fortune telling also is not a,
23
   violation. It's only if it's done by some of the means
24
   enumerated. At least one of the cases, People versus Miracles
25
   Smith
26
         MRS. STEIN: I have that case, your Honor. Here some case
27
```

is on the cloak of religious immunity, has been looked on with

Ω

• •

??

1[▶

strict scrutiny. For fortune telling there's --

THE COURT: I think now we're just repeating ourselves. But I've said the defense may inquire into people's religious beliefs without going into it in great detail. And as to what witnesses may be permitted to testify at the trial, that will have to depend on what the evidence shows. I'm not saying all that long line of witnesses quoted by the defense will be permitted to testify. It will have to depend on the evidence.

MS. BUCKLEY: May I say, your Honor, that I hope they will be brief. In other words, I am talking about -- I have only brought in or plan to bring in one anthropologist to give the historical background so we know this is not just a kookie thing happening today; a woman who can testify as to the nation-wide organization of related organizations; a woman who can talk about the bona fides of how Z is seen in the community as a practitioner of the art of reading tarot cards And we have never admitted, your Honor -- and we continue to say we are not reading fortunes I wanted to make that quite clear.

THE COURT: The trier of fact may decide differently. You know, you put on a hundred witnesses, and the jury may still determine yes, that is fortune telling, or they may say no, it isn't. You know, even without any witnesses, they may hear the People's case, and perhaps they would find nothing to it and com in not guilty even without hearing the defense.

Mrs. Stein?

MRS. STEIN: Well, regarding the -- I don't know what any relevancy whatsoever would be to bringing in these people.

It's like

THE COURT: Well, we haven't reached that point yet.

We haven't even heard the People's case yet. Let's wait til we reach that point; and each witness can be considered before they're called. And if the People have some objections the Court will consider and rule on it at that times

MS. BUCKLEY: I have one other problem, your Honor.

A number of my witnesses are pagans and witches who do not believe in taking an oath by the God alone. They are willing to take an oath "so help me Goddess and God" or "God and Goddess", even if it has to be in that way.

THE COURT: Well, there is an alternative oath for a person to affirm to tell the truth, and I don't believe that goes into a God or a Goddess.

MS. BUCKLEY: All right. The problem they felt about that and I will try and explain to them that affirming -- I think I'll have to do this in my fina.l affirming does not mean you're an atheist. In fact, maybe I can do that on voir dirEr.

THE COURT: That really would have no bearing one way or another. Many witnesses come in and affirm to tell the truth\$

And I doubt if one out of a hundred people hear what is said at that point. I have seen it a hundred times. It's indicated to the clerk previously, and the clerk reads, "Do you affirm to te:1 the truth?" And the person says "yes." and I doubt it has any effect oneway or the ct.her. The person is still saying "I'rn going to tell the truth." And that's the main issue

MS. BUCKLEY: All right.

THE COURT: How many witnesses do the People have planned?

MRS. STEIN: Three.

1 THE COURT: Well, we'll begin selecting a jury. I don't 2 know how long --3 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I want you to know I do not try 4 to prolong the cases. THE COURT: We may run into problems that -- in view of 5 the fact it was all over the pages of the Times today, in view of 6 the fact your client held a press conference yesterday. I think 7 we're running into a problem, hearing about the case. personally don't think she should have done that. Both sides, I think, suffer a detriment. And I don't know if she carried on 10 that press conference with or without your knowledge, but I 11 12 think it was wrong for her to have done that the day before her 13 trial, and it's splashed on the front pages of all'.the 14 newspapers. But we'll take a brief recess and begin select on of 15 a jury. 16 (There was held a short recess.) 17 18 THE COURT': '.. The record will reflect we're ,, again present 19 in chambers with the Court, the bailiff, the clerk, the reporter 20 and all three attorneys. 21 Mrs. Stein? 22 MRS. STEIN: I would like at this time to make a motion for 23 mistrial on the grounds that the entire jury panel has been 24 tainted. Outside, your Honor --25 I respectfully r quest your Honor goes out there and 26 looks. 27 THE COURT: Where are you speaking about? 28 MRS. STEIN: In the hall, and to look out front.

pickets with signs and T.V. cameras, a large crowd gathered. And the jury panel is hanging over the railing watching the entire proceedings.

THE COURT: And?

MRS. STEIN: And therefore I feel that they cannot be an unbiased jury, a fair and unbiased jury.

THE COURT: I have no knowledge, but I would infer from the publicity on the radio and the television that there are people present. But there are penal code· sections against obstructing or interferin.g. with a jury. And if such is necessary those sections, I'm sure, would be filed by the appropriate office. The jurors can be asked if they have been influenced by anything they have seen. I'm sure Ms. Buckley and Ms. Colaneri will advise any friends of the defendant not to interferewith the jurors' rights to enter this courtroom, not to try to influence their decision in any way. That was one of the reasons I ruled we would not have people standing in the courtroom. We would only have so many seats filled, and that is it.

If it comes to the Court's attention that jurors are not permitted to freely enter the courtroom or the building without being intimidated by the people, then appropriate actions will be taken.

I assume, if this is going on, then all the jurors in the building have seen it, and we would have to go and get a whole new panel somewhere else to wipe out any taint. The jurors may be inquired into that; and obviously we have to go on the fact it appeared in the newspaper today.

1 MRS. STEIN: It was on television last night also. 2 THE COURT: I discussed that earlier with Ms. Buckley. I said I did not think it was appropriate for her client to hold a press conference yesterday before her trial. Obviously the defendant thought different, to hold such a 5 conference and somehow try to influence, one way or the other, the potential jurors. MRS. STEIN: I really do not feel we could have a fair and impartial jury. THE COURT: What would you suggest the Court do at this 10 point? 11 MRS. STEIN: Declare a mistrial and continue the case or 12 a change of venue. 13 THE COURT: If the case were transferred downtown, I 14 assume the same people attending the case now would appear in 1.5 1.6 the courtroom. Ms. Buckley or Ms. Colaneri? 17 18 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, as far as I am concem d, th re 19 has been no attempts to interfe: rewith any jurors. Ms. colane, r -, pointed out to me that she had the feeling that the jurors were 20 21 almost being sort of encouraged to stay there. I don't believe that. I think they would have stayed anyway. But I think the 22 courts are well aware of the fact that demonstrations do occur. This demonstration s very peaceful and orderly. People, under 25 he First Amendment, have the right to express their opinions. rhe group, in fact, asked the marshal whether it would be alright 26 to be on the mall. The first demonstration was planned for 21 Santa Monica. They firmly believe there are very deep and 28

1 important religious issues here that should be made public. 2 And they did not march on the mall until they were, informed by the marshal's office that it would be all right to do ao. 4 There was no intention to in any way influence the jury other than to let them know how- they feel about her religious rights. 5 6 Now it's going to be up to the jury to decide whether, in fact, 7 she has those religious feelings and rights. And I don't think 8 THE COURT: Was anything going on in the court building itself? 10 MS. BUCKLEY: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: What of the crowd that is waiting to get in? 12 What are they doing? 13 MS. BUCKLEY: They are sitting quietly. They aren't 14 carrying any signs. There have been no signs brought into the 15 16 courthouse. They are waiting their turn to be able to be in the courtroom. We thought that we would probably be in a bigger 17 actually, I didn't realize how many people were going to come in 18 I just didn't expect --19 THE COURT: Actually, if you had a bigger courtroom, you'd 20 21 have just as many people or something. 22 MS. BUCKLEY: Right. But they have all been instructed 23 that there is to be no kind of, you know, boisterous 24 interference with anybody. We have -- on several occasions 25 we've asked the people who are in the jury not to visibly, you know, respond with any kind of expressions of disgust or 26 27

even laughter or anything. We've told them not to clap or do

any of those kinds of things. And we've really tried, your

```
1
    Honor, within the limits of our rights within the First Amendment
    and the right to be at a public trial, to make it a decorous
 3
    proceeding.
 4
          THE COURT: Mrs. Stein?
          MRS. STEIN: Now, I think, if your Honor goes out there,
 5
    you can actually visibly see -- and I personally saw --
 6
 7
          THE COURT: Where are you speaking about?
          MRS. STEIN: In the corridor, and see the jurors hanging
8
    over the rail watching this entire thing, prospective jurors.
 9
          MS. COLANERI: Your Honor, isn't that making a presumption
10
    that the jury, •, s minds has already been made up, therefore, by
11
    this rather small group outside? It is not the most impressive ·
12
    demonstration on earth, but t.h.elre's a rather small group out
13
    there
14
          THE COURT: I'm inquiring at the moment, are there any
15
    signs in the courthouse itself?
16
          MS. COLANERI: No.
17
          THE COURT: Are they doing anything -- for lack of a better
18
    term, putting a curse on someone? And I don't mean it in the
19
20^{-l_{/}}
    sense it's taken. But are they going around with voodoo dolls or
    something?
21
          MS. COLANERI: No.
22
          MRS. STEIN: They have something, some item which I --
23
          THE COURT: Where, in the courthouse?
24
          MRS. STEIN: It's outside that the jurors can see by
25
    leaning over the railing.
26
          MS. COLANERI: That's not in the courthouse, your Honor.
27
          MS. BUCKLEY: It's a demonstration1 First Amendment rights,
28
```

MRS. STEIN: They're looking directly at it, hanging, you know, over that second floor --

THE COURT: What are you saying is there?

MRS. STEIN: I don't **know what** it is. It's **a** thing, a long -- some kind of talisman. I don't know what it is. But it's some kind of

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I instructed them not to bring anything like that. If you would like, I will go out and I will check. And if there is anything -- now, I know one of the women was carrying willows which are sacred, part of their sacred herbs and I'm not really --

THE COURT: If you wish to go out and examine -- there are to be no demonstrations inside the courthouse building, in the hallways or anywhere. If it's done in the mall, as long as it's peaceful and is not interfering with the jurors if I find out any action or attempt is made to influence the jurors, then under that Benal Code section some action will be taken.

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I understand, and we've really -as I said, I have on several occasions given instructions.

I made -- and we tried to make it very clear what they could do
and what they could not do. And, when one of the women brought
the willow into the courtroom, not realizing she was not
permitted to, she very quickly took it out. She came in to
pivision 90, and we told her if she wanted to distribute it it
should be distributed outside.

MRS. STEIN: In response, and in all due deference to Ms. Buckley, they were passing them out in Division 90. And one sitting in back of me had it sticking out of her hair.

THE COURT: Well, I assume the jurors weren't in Division 90 so --

MRS. STEIN: No. But they were looking at this thing that's going on outside, and I feel that they are not a fair and impartial jury to either side.

THE COURT: Are you suggesting that I sequester the jury?

MRS. STEIN: Well, I presume by that, you mean lock them

up, or whatever.

THE COURT: That's what the word sequester -- are you suggesting that henceforth, once the jury is selected, we have them transported to and from a hotel every day and that they be locked up until the case is decided one way or the other?

MRS. STEIN: I feel at this time that these jurors cannot be fair and impartial to either side.

THE COURT: Well, the motion for mistrial is denied, in view of the fact we haven't really reached a point

You may inquire into that, and the Court will inquire into that. But I believe Ms. Buckley has stated that she has and will try to inform the followers, friends of the defendant exactly what they can do.

But there is to be nothing done in the courthouse. Whatever they do in the mall, if done peacefully, they have those rights under the First Amendment. But if there is anythin reported to me that the jurors are being intimidated in any way

MRS. STEIN: I'm not saying they've been intimidated. But they've seen this demonstration, and the press, and so on, and this talisman or whatever it is.

1 THE COURT: You may inquire into that and see if that's influenced them in any way. MRS. STEIN: One other point I wanted to raise, too, is that the People will move, because of all that's going on and that will go on and because of this large group of supporters, for a closed trial. THE COURT: What authority is there for that? 7 MRS. STEIN: Well, because we feel the jurors will --8 because of this crowd, may be intimidated. THE COURT: What is the authority for a closed trial, in view of the constitutional provisions that say a person's 11 entitled to an open and public trial? Do you know of any 12 authority or can you give me any case, Mrs. Stein, that allows 13 the Court to order a closed trial in a criminal action? 14 MRS. STEIN: I know they do it. There was one in Van Nuys 15 that was closed. But I --16 THE COURT: I'm not sure that that's binding authority, 17 what another judge does in Van Nuys. 18 MRS. STEIN: No. I know that but 19 THE COURT: In view -- you have made the motion. Do you 20 21 have any authority to cite to the Court to justify the closing of the court to the public? 22 MRS. STEIN: May I just look at the Evidence Code for a 23 24 second? THE COURT: That's just an evidence handbook. 25 The California Evidence? MRS. STEIN: 26 That's not an Evidence Code. That's Witkin. THE COURT: 27

The Court will take the bench when the jurors come in.

```
1
                  The motion for a closed trial is denied.
2
                  (There was held a short recess.)
3
                  (The jury selection commenced.)
                  (A recess was taken, to reconvene
 5
                  at 1:30 p.m., same day.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

(

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, APRIL 10, 1975; 1:30 P.M.
2	000
8	
4	(The following proceedings were had
5	in open court at 1:30 p.m. outside
6	the presence of the prospective jurors.)
7	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I wish to apologize to the
8	Court about making such a big issue about my in limine motion.
9	THE COURT: Before we proceed to that, rather than holding
	the hearing in chambers, I was going to hold the hearing in
11	court. But there was to be nobody present for that hearing
12	which originally, the presence of the defendant was waived
13	for the hearing in chambers.
14	MS. BUCKLEY: Fine. Well, I'll be glad to see them leave
15	THE COURT: Who are the people in the audience?
16	MS. BUCKLEY: They're police officers who should be
17	instructed anyway on this issue, if you will grant it.
18	THE COURT: Let's hear the hearing outside of anyone othe
19	than the attorneys. So, Ms• Bartha, you previously waived your
20	presence at the hearing.
21	THE DEFENDANT: Should I
22	THE COURT: Yes. And all witnesses are excluded at this
23	time other than the presence of the attorneys.
24	Who is the lady in the audience?
25	A VOICE: I'm a law student working on the case.
26	THE COURT: This would only be for the attorneys.
27	And I can see some woman behind the podium.
28	

A VOICE: I am an attorney. I am working on the case. 1 MS. BUCKLEY& She is not formally associated but 2 THE COURT: Let me just take those who are actually listed as attorneys of record. 4 MS. BUCKLEY: Fine. 5 THE COURT: This is a continuation of the hearing that was 7 MS. BUCKLEY: This morning, yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: -- held in chambers previously regarding an ad limine motion, which --10 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. I wish to apologize to the 11 Court for this. The issue which I wish to raise is, I would 12 like to ask this Court to exclude any evidence as to the issue 13 of lesbianism. I believe that it would be extremely 14 prejudicial in this matter if there were any reference made tol 15 the fact that my client was a lesbian or was in any way relat, d 16 to any lesbian activities. The religious group which she  $is\ a$ 17 member of, the Sisterhood of Wicca, is not a lesbian 18 organization as such, although there are lesbians who are also 19 witches. My client is one of them. But I believe that it would 20 be prejudicial and would muddy the waters on this matter. 21 We're talking about fortune telling. And a person's sexual 22 preference, I don't believe, has anything to do with whether or 23 not they're conducting a business of fortune telling. 24

THE COURT: Mrs. Stein?

25

26

27

28

And I don't know any of the testimony either way.

I just have a Complaint before me. I'm not sure if this has
any bearing one way or the other in the case.

What is the People's position? 1 MRS. STEIN: The People's position on the matter -- the only- way that the topic might come up is to what was found in 3 the store, the business. THE COURT: Such as? 5 MRS. STEIN: Magazine articles, and so on, that were 6 observed. THE COURT: Please keep your voice up. 8 MRS. STEIN: Excuse me. Magazine articles which were observed, books, and in that fashion. I don't know how and in 10 what manner it would-come up otherwise. 11 THE COURT: From what I understand, the People's position is they really have no intention of going into that? 13 MRS. STEIN: No, I don't, other than if any of the 14 evidence that was recovered or seen related to lesbian 15 activities and -- also, your Honor, there might be -- if the 16 defense puts on any character witnesses, there might be cross-17 examination on that point. 18 THE COURT: That may or may not happen. But I think Ms. Buckley's motion was aimed at the People's case and were the 20 People going to go into -- I don't know if lesbianism is an element or belief of this group or not. MS. BUCKLEY: It is not, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Well, that's what I'm asking Mrs. Stein. 2.4 25 Were you intending to go into lesbianism? MRS. STEIN: I wasn't. 26 THE COURT: So you would not see that coming up unless it 27 had to do with character evidence that might be raised by the 28

defense.

MRS. STEIN: Or evidence that was confiscated at the place

THE COURT: Well, you would probably know what was

confiscated. I think maybe that's what the motion was aimed at.

You're in a better position for the case than I am.

MRS. STEIN: Well, there are advertisements and --

MS. BUCKLEY: That I have no objection to but I would have an, objection to --

THE COURT: Please just direct any statements to me.

I think Ms. Buckley's -- at least as I understood the motion, is someone going to get up and say, this is an organization that's mainly homosexual, and women go there for their own sexual pleasures or something, and we would get off on a tangent of lesbianism? But I would gather from what Mrs. Stein says she really has no intention of going into that.

MRS. STEIN: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I would just --

I've just seen you hold some booklet or something. Those may have some bearing as to whether it is a religion. And, if there is by chance some mention of lesbianism, that wouldn't be the main issue.

MRS. STEIN: No.

MS. BUCKLEY: It's my understanding, your Honor, that one of the magazines which she advertised in was the lesbian type; but other than that I don't believe -- there weren't any articles or anything, as far as I was able to gather. And we would have no objection to that particular magazine coming in with the --

THE COURT: Without a discussion as to the contents of the 1 rest of the magazine. 3 MS. BUCKLEY: Right, your Honor. THE COURT: Well, on that statement, on the representation 4 of the City Attorney, the motion is granted. 5 I would advise the prosecutor to advise their 6 witnesses not to make any testimony regarding lesbianism 7 activities, whether any exist or not at this location. 8 MRS. STEIN: That's fine. Thank you, your Honor. 9 May I again renew the point, as long as we!re on --10 THE COURT: I'.m sorry? 11 MRS. STEIN: As long as we're discussing the 402-405 12 hearing, that evidence as to religion be excluded until such 13 time -- since the burden is on the defendant to prove that this 14 is a religion, a bona fide religion, -- and what's bothering me 15 is that it's like arguing in reverse. It's a religion. This is 16 part of the religion. Therefore it's okay. THE COURT: What are you referring to? 18 MRS. STEIN: I'm referring to 43.31. 19 THE COURT: No, no. But I mean your argument as to, you 20 don't let the defense raise the issue of religion until when? You mean because Ms. Buckley has gone into it on voir dire? MRS • STEIN: Yes • 23 THE COURT: Well, I think she has a right to at least 24 question the jurors as to that point. We can't really select 25 the jury after the People put on their case. I think she's gone 26 into it in a very limited fashion. We have not dwelled on it 27

a great deal. She examined all the jurors for cause in what

that, and has passed for cause. And it's now People. And she has merely asked, do the people have a religious belief; do they attend church or temple or services regularly1 and would they listen to both sides with an open mind. I did limit any discussion as to, you know, feminism, something like that, after an objection was raised, by the People. If she asked that as -- or any other jurors will be asked, "Did you hear the questions asked of everyone else?" "If they were asked of you, what would your answer be?" Therefore, I would not limit her, as I think she has limited herself in asking the questions and has kept it to the bare essentials that we discussed previously.

MRS. STEIN: Well, just anticipating by way of defense, will we be able to establish, as a matter of law, after your Honor has had a chance to read the points and authorities, and so on, that -- as to whether or not 'this is in fact a bona fide religion? Can that be determined?

THE COURT: I think, Mrs. Stein, you used one phrase that—I could see the defense light ||p when yc,u used it: "Is it in fact a religion?" And I assume that may be for the jurors to determine, if it is a religion in law and/or in fact. And I assume that's how it's going to turn. If they determine it's not a religion and that in fact it's a business engaged in fortune telling, they may not accept the defense. Perhaps they find it is a religion but they'll say this has nothing to do with the religion.

MRS. STEIN: Well, the point I'm making, your Honor, is that, even assuming arguendo it is in fact a bona fide religion,

the cases unanimously hold that even a bona fide priest, minister, rabbi, what have you, cannot engage in fortune telling period.

THE COURT: Some of the cases I've read have seemed to so indicate. But I would expect jury instructions to be submitted by the prosecution covering their theory of the case. But if you have authority even if it is a bona fide religion, we'll say one of the three major religions, Protestant, Catholic or Jewish -- as yet, I have not heard any testimony. I don't know what the testimony is going to be, and so I can't pre-judge what the testimony will be.

MRS. STEIN: You know in the cases --

THE COURT: Well, I expect both sides to submit the instructions they wished prepared, as they have more of the authorities at their disposal. I can read them and prepare some of my own. But I do expect printed instructions to be submitted by both sides so we can discuss them.

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I' m hoping to have those for you tomorrow.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. And I appreciate it if the People would be as accommodating as Ms. Buckley and have the instructions tomorrow.

Any other motions at this point?
We'll bring in the jurors.
(The conclusion of the selection

of the jury.)

1.5

```
(People's opening statement.)
1
          THE COURT: People may call their first witness.
2
          MRS. STEIN: The People call Officer Rosalie Kimberlin.
3
                           ROSALIE KIMBERLIN, Poroly
5
    called as a witness by the People, having been sworn,
6
    testified as follows:
7
          THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please.
          THE WITNESS: Rosalie Kimberlin.
          THE COURT: You may begin, Mrs. Stein.
          MRS. STEIN: Thank you, your Honor. co-counsel is
11
    examining th "exh.ibit.
12
          MS. B6.CK1."'EY: My client has an objection to the layout of
13
    this exhibit because she says that's not the way the cards were
14
    la'iC:... out.
15
          -THE COURT: Well, perhaps when we reach that point --
16
   whether the chart applies or not will depend on the testimony.
17
          MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you.
18
          THE COURT: You may begin, Mrs. Stein.
19
20
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
   BY MRS. STEIN:
22
          0.
               Officer Kimberlin, what is your occupation?
23
          Α.
               Police officer for the City of Los Angeles, assigned
24
    to Venice Division.
2.5
               And how long have you been a police officer?
26
27
               It will be 11 years in June.
28
               Now, on February 6, 1975, did you have an occasion to
```

call a phone number, 399-3919? 1 2 A. Yes, I did. And what caused you to call that phone number? 3 4 It was during the investigation of a fortune telling complaint. The complaint alleged that there was fortune telling 5 being conducted at 442 Lincoln Boulevard, and that that phone 6 number was r gistered to that location. O ('That was a citizen's complaint? 8 Yes, it was., 9 What happened when you made that phone call? 10 Okay. A female voice answered and identified herself 11 as Z Budapest. I asked her if she did(tarot card readings;, and, 12 she said yes. And I asked her if I could make an appointment 13 for a reading, \which she agreed to. And an appointment was made 14 for February the 10th at 10:00 a.m. 15 Now, had you heretofore seen advertisements in 16 newspapers 17 Α. Yes, I had. 18 -- regarding the defendant? 0 19 A. Yes. 20 0 And did those advertisements relate to tarot card 21 readings? 22 23 Yes, they did. Α. 24 0 And did these advertisements in newspapers have on 25 them the address of 442 Lincoln Boulevard?

A. Yes.

26

27

28

O And did they have the telephone number of 399-3919?

A. I don't recall whether the phone number was on there

```
1
    or not.
2
                Would it refresh your recollection to see the
          Q.
    advertisement?
3
          A.
                Yes.
5
          MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
6
          THE COURT: You may.
7
          MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I examine that before she
8
    approaches the witness?
          THE COURT: I thought she had shown it to you.
9
10
                Yes, please show it to Ms. Buckley first.
    BY MRS. STEIN:
11
                Is this the ad that you saw before making the phone
12
    call?
13
                Yes, I believe it was.
          Α.
14
                And is this the same phone number?
          O.
15
                Yes, it is.
          A.
16
                That you called?
          Q.
17
          A.
                Yes.
18
                399-3919?
          Q.
19
               Yes.
          A.
20
                So you made an appointment, your phone call on the
21
    6th, for a tarot card reading on the 10th of February, 1975;
22
    is that correct?
23
          Α.
               Yes.
24
               And can you please tell the jury, did you go to the
25
    location at 442 Lincoln Boulevard on that day of February 10,
26
    1975?
27
               Yes, I did.
          A.
28
```

And approximately what time did you go? 0. 1 It was approximately 10:10 a.m. A. Could you please tell the jury what happened upon 3 0 your arrival at the location? 4 I knocked on the door, and there was no response. 5 I knocked again. And I must have waited approximately five 6 minutes, after which time female caucasians approached 7 the location, came to the door where I was standing. And one of 8 them asked if I had been waiting long. I said no. 9 Excuse me. Was there a German shepherd with them? 0. 10 A. Yes, there was. 11 THE COURT: Were these people outside or were they coming 12 from inside? 13 THE WITNESS: No. They were on the street, on the 14 sidewalk approaching the location. 15 I asked then if one of them was Z. And the defendant 16 stated she was Z. They then -- one of them opened the door and 17 invited me in, and I entered the shop. Evidently, there had 18 been some sort of an accident in a back room --19 BY MRS. STEIN: 20 0 Wait. Excuse me. Can I interrupt you for one 21 second, Officer Kimberlin? 22 Was there any writing or indication, or what was this 23 place called, the business name of the place? 24 A. Wicca. 25 And was that on the front door of the place? 0. 26

I believe there was some sort of a banner or something

27

28

hanging over the front of the door.

1	0. d it said Wiccal
2	A. Yes.
a	O. What did you see upon entering the roc, after you wer
4	met by th(: e fem111,es an.4 the German shepherd7 What
5	observations can you tell the jury what you observed in this
6	store?
7	were <b>A.</b> Yes. There/numerous <b>vials</b> , I guess, glass vials with
8	different colored liquid in them on shelves. There was some.
9	sort of a sign denoting what these were for, like potions for
10	certain things, certain kinds of spells. There were books on
11	witchcraft. There were candles. Tb.ere were bones, looked like
12	small $3,n$ :LIIIal: $bcines$ ,and what appeared to be branches, small
1s	branches with dried leaves on them, and incense. There was a
14	strong odor of incense in the shop. There was a sign on the
15	wall I believe it was the east wall near the counter area
16	that said "tarot card" it w,as either "c,rds,.read" or
17	rreadings, \$10.00.
18	0. Excuse me. Let me stop you here.
19	MRS. STEIN: May this be marked as People's Exhibit 1,
20	your Honor, this diagram of the interior of the business?
21	THE COURT: It may be so marked for identification as
22	People's 1.
23	MRS. STEIN: Thank you. May I approach the witness?
24	THE COURT: You may.
25	BY MRS. STEIN:
26	Did you prepare this diagram, People's 1, as a
27	diagram of what the inside of the store looked like?
28	A. Part of it. Part of it was done by Officer Shelley

in my presence.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

- 0 And although it's not to scale, is this kind of an outline of what the place of business looked like?
  - Α. Yes.
- Now, referring to People's 1,-can you put an X in the 0. approximate location where you saw the sign that said "tarot -what was it, "tarot card readings, \$10.00"?
- Yes. I believe it was in this area, near the counter above --
  - Is there a cash register on the counter? 0.
  - A. Yes, I believe there was.
  - And so your Xis put on a wall behind the counter? 0.
  - A Yes.
- 0. Is that correct? And it said "tarot card readings. or something?
- It was either "tarot cards read" or "readings, \$10.00 A Can you describe for the jury -- well first of all, where did you see the potions and the books, and so on? Can you indicate that maybe with a Band a P?
- I believe they were on the north wall, somewhere in this area.

I would suggest, by tomorrow morning when we THE COURT: resume, that perhaps ·· large:r \drawing of this be drawn up there so we can all. see. I'm sure the jurors can't see the markings ·that are being made at this point on the diagram. They see markings being made. But I think a larger diagram would be easier for 11 parties involved.

MRS. 1 STEIN) Fine. Thank you, your Honor.

## BY MRS. STEIN:

1

3

7

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

27

Now, Officer &imberlin/ is the front portion of the store -- is that divided from a rear portion?

- A. Yes, it is, partially.
- 0. And what is it divided by?
- A. Well, there's a wall, and then there's like a corridor or a hallway. And I don't believe there was a door leading into the back portion.
  - 0. And then is there a curtain?
  - A. I believe there was a curtain, but I'm not positive.
- O. And were you escorted by the defendant into the back room?
  - A. Yes, I was.
  - 0. Can you please

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, may this exh.ibit be marked as People's 2 for identification, and may it be placed on the board?

THE COURT, It may be marked at this time People's 2.

BY MRS. STEIN:

- 0. Now, after you were escorted into the back room, could you please tell the jury what next happened?
- A. Okay. She asked me to sit down, and I did. There was a small table there and, I believe, two chairs. And she also sat down in front of me. And then she produced a small glass vial containing some sort of liquid, and she opened it up and rubbed some of this liquid on her hands. And then she passed this bottle to me and told me to do the same thing. This was to ward off the outside evil vibrations.

Excuse me. Can you describe for the jury where the 1 defendant was sitting and what the wall behind the defendant's 2 chair looked like? 3 A. There was a tapestry hanging on the wall in back of her, and it had what looked like a snake's skin. I don't know if it was real or not. And she was sitting on the south side of the roome THE COURT: Do you wish to approach the witness? 8 MRS. STEIN: Yes. May I, your Honor? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 THE W,I'rNESS: This is where she was sitting, and this is 11 where I was sitting across from he:rF 12 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 13 THE COURT: You may. 14 BY MRS. STEIN: 1.5 Now, let's see. You're indicating that she was 16 sitting on the south side of People's 1 and you were sitting cm 17 the north side and at a table; is that correct? 18 Right. 19 Now, after you were seated at the table and she was O. 20 seated behind the tapestry, you said she asked you to rub some 21 kind of substance into your hands? A. Yes. 23 Can you describe for the jury what that smelled like and what it felt like and what the purpose of it was? 25 It's kind of hard to describe the smelle It was a 26 strong pungent odor., but I really couldn't liken it to anything 2, that I've smelled before. It was just a liquid and it -- this 28

was supposed to ward off the outside evil vibrations.

And after you rubbed this stuff into your hands, what happened then?

A. She then produced a large deck of cards and began shuffling them. Then she gave me the cards and told me to shuffle them, which I did. And she then told me to cut the cards three times to the left, which I did. And she then took the cards and placed them in a horseshoe arrangement on the table, and then she began patting the cards with her hands.

And then she told me to do the same thing. She said that I was to pick 12 cards; that I would be able to tell which cards to pick by some sort of cosmic power. The cards would feel warm to the touch.

Q. Did they?

A. No. So I patted the cards and then I drew 12 cards. And she then looked at the cards and told me that the cards would show things as they are. And the cards that I picked are the cards that are represented on People's.2.

Q. Did the defendant ever tell you that she was a witch?

A I don't believe, she told me she was a witch. She made a remark about a witch earlier.

Q. And in what context was that?

A Well, she was upset over something that had happened, and she made a statement about it.

Q. And what was that? What was she upset over?

A. What was she upset over? Well, a cat had defecated under her chair, and she had to clean it up.

0. And what was her comment?

A She said something like -- "nothing like getting a -- Or "nothing like a pissed witch."

THE COURT: There will be silence from people in the audience. Any noise or comments from the audience, the marshal will remove people from the courtroom.

You may continue, Mrs. Stein.

## BY MRS. STEIN:

.9

0. Now, what did the defendant tell you about the cards in relation to your life, the 12 cards that you had picked out?

THE COURT: I think before we're apparently going to start dealing with the cards and the chart, I think we'll take the recess until tomorrow morning.

Ladies and gentlemen:, we'll be in recess until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.

I admonish you during this recess period you must not converse among yourselves nor with anyone on any subject connected with the case or the trial thereof. Also, you're not to form or express any opinion thereon until the entire cause is submitted to you.

I repeat a statement I made to you previously. You are not to read any newspaper accounts of this trial. You are not to listen to any television accounts of this trial. You are not to listen to any radio reports of this case.

This case is merely to be decided on the evidence heard in the courtroom and the instructions given to you by the Court. The jurors are excused at this time. The jurors and alternates please return at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 4:20 p.m., an adjournment was taken to reconvene Friday, April 11, 1975, at 9:00 a.rn.)

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, APRIL 11, 1975, 9:15 A.M. 2 ---0---THE COURT: In the matter of Zsuzsanna Bartha, let the record reflect the 12 jurors, two alternates are present, defendant's present with counsel, the City Attorney is present. 5 You may continue, Mrs. Stein. Thank you, your Honor. MRS. STEIN: ROSALIE KIMBERLIN, 8 the witness on the stand at the time of the adjournment, 9 resumed the stand and testified further as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) 11 BY MRS. STEIN: 12 Officer Kimberlin, did Officer Shelley in your 13 presence last night prepare a new diagram, a larger diagram of 14 People's 1? 15 Yes, he did. 16 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the diagram? 17 THE COURT: You may. 18 MRS- STEIN: With the court's permission, may that be 19 remarked People's 1? 20 THE COURT: It may be so marked. 21 BY MRS. STEIN: 22 Officer Kimberlin, will you approach the diagram a  $\phi$ 23 indicate as you did on the former People's 1 where the 24 entrance is, where the sign "tarot readings, \$10.00" was, where 25 the potions were located for sale, and where you were seated a  $\beta$ 26 where the defendant was seated while reading your tarot cards? 27 Did you want me to mark it with a --

1 Yes, please. And explain to the jury while you're 2 marking what each of those marks indicate. Okay. I believe the tarot card sign was in this area on the east wall near the counter area, and the --THE COURT: Perhaps put a T instead of just X's because 5 6 then we can recall what it is. Thank you. THE WITNESS: This was the entrance to the store. And on 7 8 the north wall is where the vials or potions were, with a P. And in this room -- this is a table, and on the -- the defendant 9 was seated at the -- on the south side of the table, and I was 10 seated on the north side. 11 THE COURT: You may continue, Mrs. Stein. 12 MRS. STEIN: Thank you. 13 BY MRS. STEIN: And is that portion of the store where the 15 merchandise is sold, the potions, and so on, books and 16 magazines -- is that separated physically from the back portion 17 where the reading took place? 18 Well, partially by this wall. And I believe there 19 might have been a curtain here to this hallway or whatever you 20 call it. 21 Thank you. Okay. You may resume the stand. 22 Before commencing to read the tarot cards, was there 23 anything on the table on which the defendant placed the tarot 24 cards? 25 26 Α Yes. There was a mat. 27 Were they placed on this? 28 Α Yes, they were.

```
1
          MRS. STEIN: May this cloth be marked as People's 3 next
    in order?
3
          THE COURT: It may be so marked.
    BY MRS. STEIN:
               Now, calling your attention to this deck of cards,
5
    partial deck of cards --
          MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness?
          THE COURT: You may.
8
    BY MRS. STEIN:
               Do these look like the cards that were used, or are
10
    these the cards that were used in telling your fortune?
11
               Yes.
          Α.
12
          MRS. STEIN: May these cards be marked as People's 4 next
13
    in order for identification?
14
          MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, yesterday I made a request of
    the City Attorney to be allowed to see those cards and count
16
    them, and I would like at this time that opportunity, if I may.
17
          MRS. STEIN: No problem.
18
          THE COURT: You may examine them at this time.
19
          MRS. STEIN: One more question before you begin.
20
    BY MRS. STEIN:
21
                Are the cards on People's 2, those which you have
22
    placed -- that also came from this deck, being People's 4?
23
          A.
               Yes.
24
                So that all the cards that were included in the deck
          0.
25
    are either in People's 4 or placed on People's 2?
26
               Correct.
          Α.
          THE COURT: Would you give the cards to Ms. Buckley and
28
```

```
let her count them before we proceed.
1
          MS. BUCKLEY: I would like to tell your Honor that there
2
    are 66 cards here, and 12 more makes 78, which is a full deck of
3
    the tarot cards.
4
          THE COURT: Well, of course, we're not taking testimony at
5
    this time. But the record will reflect
6
                Is that correct, Mrs. Stein, that there are 66 cards
7
    there?
Q
           MRS. STEIN':. I didn't count them. I'll take counsel's
9
    word for it.
10
           THE COURT: People's 4 consists of 66 cards1 and there are
11
    12 cards on the board in People's 2.
12
           MS. BUCKLEY: 'l'hank you, your Honor.
13
           THE COURT: 'l'hose 66 cards in the box may be marked
14
    People's 4 collectively for identification.
15
           MRS. STEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
16
                Before going into the cards or the reading of the
17
18
     cards --
                May I approach the witness again?
19
           THE COURT: You may.
20
     BY MRS. STEIN:
21
           Ο.
                Is this a copy of the ad, the same ad, that you saw?
22
           Α
                Yes, it is.
23
           MRS. STEIN: May this be marked People's 5, the
24
     advertisement, for identification?
25
           THE COURT: It may be marked as People's 5 for
26
     identification.
27
28
```

BY MRS. STEIN: 2 Now, is this from a newspaper, newspaper advertising? 0. I. 3 Yes. Q. Do you know the name of the newspaper? 5 I believe it was Beachhead. 6 THE COURT: What was that? 7 THE WITNESS: The Beachhead. BY MRS. STEIN: 9 Is that a newspaper? Α Yes. 10 11 In regular circulation to the public? A. Yes. 12 Now, getting back to the reading of the cards by the 13 defendant to you, did the defendant give you a sample, a blank 14 sample, of a layout of your -- of the reading which was done 15 for you? 16 Α. Yes, she did. 17 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness? 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 20 BY MRS. STEIN: Q. Is this the blank sample that she gave -- the 21 defendant gave to you while she was doing your reading, reading 22 your fortune? 23 24 Yes, it is. 25 MRS. STEIN: May this be marked as People's next in order, Number 5? 26 27 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I again request that I be allowed to examine the --28

```
1
          MRS. STEIN: 6. Excuse me.
          THE COURT: I assumed that each item had been shown to
2
3
    you.
          MRS. STEIN: Excuse me.
4
                       It may be marked as People's 6 for
5
          THE COURT:
    identification, apparently a blank sample given by the
6
    defendant to the Officer.
7
          MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness again?
8
          THE COURT: You may.
    BY MRS. STEIN:
10
                Did you make certain markings on that pursuant to the
11
    instructions of the defendant?
                Yes, I did.
          A.
13
                And were they your -- is this your handwriting on
14
    here?
15
                Yes, it is.
           A.
16
                And was this pursuant to what the defendant told you
17
    to do while reading your fortune?
18
                Yes.
           A.
19
           \bigcirc
                And was this the results of what she told you that
20
    she had found out in this first portion of the reading of your
21
    fortune?
22
           Α
                Yes.
23
2.4
          0
                And, calling your attention to People's 2 for
25
    identification, is that an enlargement of People's 6?
26
          A.
                Yes, it is.
                And did you make that up from this blank page that
27
    you filled in? Did you make up People's 2 from this blank page,
28
```

1 People's 6, that you filled in while the reading was going on? A. Yes. 3 MRS. STEIN: May the witness be allowed to approach 4 People's 2, your Honor? 5 THE COURT: She may. 6 MRS. STEIN: May I be allowed to approach one second? 7 THE COURT: You may. 8 BY MRS. STEIN: 9 Officer Kimberlin, could you please explain to the Q, 10 jury with the use of your diagram, People's 2, what the 11 defendant told you about your fortune? 12 Okaye Of course, these were the cards that I picked. 13 And she began with this card. 1 4 THE COUR'I': Perhapr, just to go back to what we did yesterday, how did you get the cards and how were they placed? 15 I realize we took a break since yesterday. But maybe to keep 16 17 some continuity --18 THE WITNESS: She shuffled them and I cut them. I shuffled and cut them. And she laid them out in a 19 20 horseshoe-type arrangement on a table, and I was to pat them and 21 pull 12 cards from this arrangement. THE COURT: And how were they then arranged like they are 22 23 there? 24 THE WITNESS: They weren't really arranged like this. 25 She just had them on the table, and I really don't know -- they 26 were just laying on the table. 27 THE COURT: You may continue, Mrs. Stein. 28 THE WITNESS: Okay. She began with the Fool. And she

said she was the main thing in my life. This card meant that 1t 1 shapes one's life, stands for audacious plans and dreams. 2 THE COURT:, would you keep your voice up, please? a THE WITNESS: And there was a Mysterious Knight or the Knight of Pentacles, and this stood for a reliable young dark-5 6 haired, dark-eyed young man in the past who would turn up again, and this person would stand by me, and he was the kind of person 7 I could count on. 8 There was the 6 of Pentacles which she didn't tell me what it stood for. The 3 of Wands, 2 of Wands, and a 4 of Cups--10 and she didn't really tell me what those represented. 11 the 10 of Swords, and this showed a lack of emotional happiness, 12 a lack of pleasure in my life. And it showed a need for a love 13 spell. 14 There was a 7 of Pentacles which showed an actual 15 growth by a small delay that would be lifted soon with this 16 card. The 3 of Cups, which was added to the diagram by me --17 it was an extra card. 18 There's a Knight of Wands, and this meant what I 19 wanted and probably meant a change of residence. Arid the Page of 20 Pentacles -- and this was a youth in my life which could have 21 been either male or female. And she asked me if I knew who this 22 could be, and I said, "Well, it's probably my daughter." And 23 she said that this card showed her to be an intelligent girl 24 that meant a lot to me. 25 There was a 6 of Cups, which showed a steady growth. 26 And that was basically what was said about these 27 cards. 28

## BY MRS. STEIN: 1 Now, were the cards again shuffled? Q. Yes, they were./ Α. Q. And by whom were they shuffled? By the defendant. A. 5 Q. And following the shuffling -- following defendant's 6 shuffling of the cards, could you please tell the jury what the defendant did with them? Okay. First she asked me a question -- or she told 9 me that I could ask a question; and that I would be able to ask 10 three questions and that she would look for the answers in the 11 cards. And then she laid the cards out in the horseshoe 12 arrangement again and asked me to pat the cards and draw eight 13 cards, which I did. 14 0. How many? 15 A. Eight. 16 17 And she told you to ask three questions, is that correct? 18 19 I was to ask three questions but one at a time. Yes. And I 2.0 21 And after she told you to ask three questions, what 22 happened then? Okay. I asked her if I should make a move, probably out of state. And she asked me my state, and I said Florida. And I asked the question before I removed the cards from the deck. Then I removed the eight cards. And she explained the cards as they were -- after they were drawn.

Now, was there one card -- in response to your

28

0.

the Queen card showed me to be single, so there would be a

26

27

28

divorce.

1 Q. After this first question and discussion, and so on, 2 about the cards, what did the defendant then do? 3 A. She shuffled the cards again and asked me for my second question. Q. And what did you do? 5 I asked her if --A. Q. Well, did you shuffle the cards? No. She shuffled the cards. 9 Q. You shuffled the cards? No. The defendant. 11 Q. The defendant shuffled the cards? 12 Yes. 13 And did she ask you then to draw certain cards? 14 This was after I asked the question. 15 After she shuffled t.1-ie cards, you asked your second question? 16 17 I asked her if my daughter would be able to attend vet school, veterinary school, if I left the state, or 18 should I wait. 19 And after you asked the question if your daughter 20 would be able to attend vet school, what happened next? 21 Then she again laid the cards out in the horseshoe 22 arrangement on the table and asked me to pick three cards, 23 after patting the cards. 24 25 Q. The cards that she had laid out? 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. And did you db so? 28 Yes, I did. Α.

1 0 You picked three cards? Yes, I did. 3  $\bigcirc$ And what did the defendant tell you that the cards 4 showed that you picked? Well, first of all, she looked at the cards and then 6 she asked me to draw an additional card, which I did. And after you drew a fourth card, what did the defendant say regarding your daughter going to vet school? 8 She said that I should make the move; that it 9 showed that there would be a change of plans for my daughter; 10 that right now it didn't look like she was going to be able to 11 attend vet school, but later something could be worked out\$ 12 13 But right now she wouldn't be able to go. So after she told you that your daughter would not 14 be able to go to vet school, what happened next? 1.5 1'.. She shuffled the cards again and asked for my third 16 question. 17 And what was your third question? 0 18 I asked her if my mother would leave the state with Ao me. 2.0 Q, And what happened after you asked whether or not 21 your mother would be able to leave the state with you? 22 She again laid the cards out in the horseshoe 23 arrangement, asked me to pat the cards and draw three cards. 24 O. And after you drew these three cards, what did the 25 defendant do? 26 She looked at them again, and then she asked me to

27

28

draw another card, which I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 Η,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

0 And after you drew the third card, what did the defendant do or say with respect to the cards?

Α. She said that the cards showed a conflict between my mother and my daughter and that my mother would not leave the state with me, but there was always the possibility she might visit me once in a while.

And all this time were you referring to the State of Florida?

Α. Yes.

Now, after the defendant told you that there was a conflict between your mother and your daughter and that your mother would not leave the state and go to Florida with you, what did the defendant then tell you?

She then told me that she changed her mind about the love spell. She decided, since there was going to be a divorce, that maybe I needed something else. She told me that she was going to give me some sort of potion that would bend people my way; that I would put this on and wave it around wave it in front of my husband, and this would make things qc, more smoothly,

And you were to wave this potion around your husband?

> A. Yes.

> > And things would go more smoothly then?

A. Correct.

And was this potion equally applicable to the other people around you? In other words, when she said this potion would bend people your way, was it equally applicable to other

```
1
     people with whom you came in contact?
2
                 I assume so, since she said it would bend people.
      That's pretty general.
            0
                 Bend people your way?
5
            Α
                 Yes.
            Q.
                 That was her exact words?
6
            Α
                 Yes.
                 And, in addition to that, it was to help your
            Q.
8
     marriage go smoothly, in that it would bend your husband your
     wayi is that correct?
10
                 Yes.
11
            MRS, STEIN: May I approach the witness?
12
            THE COURT:
                        You may.
13
            MRS. STEIN: Thank you.
14
     BY MRS. STEIN:
15
                 Officer Kimberlin, I show you a small red vial.
16
     this the vial that the defendant gave you with the words that--
     to wave it around and it would bend people your way and also
18
     help smooth things over with your husband?
19
                 Yes, it is.
20
            Α
            MRS. STEIN: May this be marked as People •s 7 as next in
21
     order?
22
            THE COURT: It may be marked as People's 7 for
23
     identification.
24
     BY MRS. STEIN:
25
26
                 Did she tell you what was in it?
            Q.
27
            A.
                 No, she didn't.
                 Did it work?
28
```

Α I didn't use it. 1 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, may this be passed around to the 2 jury? 3 THE COURT: I think they can consider that in the jury room, at that point. 5 BY MRS. STEIN: Now, after the defendant handed you People's 7, this Q. 7 vial, telling you that it would bend people your way and smooth 8 things over with your husband, what happened then? 9 Okay. Actually, something happened then before she 10 handed me that. She had -- she told me what she was going to 11 give me, and she got up from the table, and she was going to 12 13 have to get it in another part of the shop so she -- I asked her how much the reading was, and she said it was \$10.00. 14 So then she went into the back portion of the shop. 15 16 Can you indicate on People's 1 where that was? THE COURT: You may approach the diagram. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. She went back in this direction 18 somewhere. I don • t know exactly where, but there's another, 19 like, room, back heres 20 Shall I put anothdr P for potion? 21 22 THE COUR'J. You may. BY MRS. STEIN: 23 O. And did she come back out with People's 7? 24 A. 2.5 Yes, she did. 26 Q. And is that when, after she came out, you asked her how much the reading was? 27 28 No. It was before she went back to get the potion.

1	O. And how much did she say the reading was?
2	<b>A</b> . \$10.00.
3	O. And did you pay her the \$10.00?
4	A. I put two \$5.00 bills on the table.
5	O. And after you put the and I take it she gave you
6	this?
7	A. Yes, she did.
8	You took this with you and you left the \$10.00 on
9	the table.
10	What happened after you took People's 7 and left
11	the \$10.00 on the table?
12	A. Then I left the location and met OfficersShelley an
13	Falkenborg and advised them that a violation had been obtained.
14.	Then I returned to the station to prepare the report.
15	MRS. STEIN: No further questions.
16	THE COURT: Which attorney is going to conduct the
17	cross-examination?
18	MS. BUCKLEY: I will.
19	THE COURT: Thank you•
20	Ms. Buckley?
21	
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
24	0. Officer Kimberlin, I just have a few questions.
25	I must say I would like to compliment you on your
26	testimony. I think that you, were very honest and very fair
27	toward the situation.
28	THE COURT: Ms. Buckley, let's not comment on anyone's

7. 10 M. South

1 testimony one way or the other. Let's proceed to cross-2 examination. BY MS. BUCKLEY: You said that there was a complaint made? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And that was what sent you to the shop. How was that complaint made, if you know? 7 8 I was advised that it was a telephone complaint, anonymous. 9 10 Were you advised who made that telephone call? It was -- I was told it was an anonymous complaint A. 11 So .on the basis -- to the best of your knowledge, 12 on the basis of an anonymous phone call, you were then sent to 13 this shop to talk to Z Budapest? 14 Α. Yes, I was. 15 16 Now, the story that you told Z Budapest was a cover 17 story; is that correct? A. Yes. 18 In other words, it was not the truth? 19 No. 20 Α. 21 Are you married? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Do you have a daughter? 24 Yes. A. MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant, your Honor. 25 26 THE COURT: Objection's overruled. The answer may stand. 27 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 28 You do not believe in tarot card reading yourself;

1 is that true? 2 MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant& THE COURT: The witness may answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 5 Now, just one question about the reading. 7 I'm sure that you remember it well. When the Queen card was shown to you, was that the Queen of Swords, if you 8 remember? k I don't remember cause I really didn't look at the cards. I was just going by what she told me, and -- yeah, she 11 did show it to me but I really can't remember which queen it 12 13 was, 14 MS. BUCKLEY: May I approach the witness, your Honor? THE COtm'r: You may. 16 BY M:S. BUCKLI:Y: 17 Showing this card -- does showing this card to you (}. 18 in any way refresh your memory? Does that appear to be the 19 same card? 20 I can't really be sure. It could be but I'm not 21 positive. 22 And now I show you this card@ Will you identify 23 that? 24 Yes, the death cardg 25 Q. And that's the card that appeared when she said there 26 would be a change of circumstances? 27 A. Yes. 28 MS. BUCKLEY: Could this be mark d Defendant's A, your

1 Honor, the death card? 2 THE COURT: It may be so marked. MS. BUCKLEY: And the Oueen of Swords card? 3 THE COURT: You want that marked as Defendant's B? I have just one other question. MS. BUCKLEY: 5 BY MRS • BUCKLEY: 6 In reading your fortune and telling you the answers to your questions, did she speak in positive terms, or did she 8 say things like it would probably be thus and so? 9 MRS. STEIN: Objectionr calls for speculation. 1 0 THE COURT: Objection is overruled. 11 The witness may answer what was said to her. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, she did both. On the mention about 13 the divorce, she said at first there probably would be a 14 divorce. Later on she said -- when she was referring to the 15 Queen card, she said that this meant, you know -- showed the 16 person to be single, . so there -- she definitely said there 17 would be a divorce. 18 BY MS. BUCKLEY: All right. Thank you. 20 MS. BUCKLEY: I have no further questions of 2.1 witness, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Anything further, Mrs. Stein? 23 MRS. STEIN: Nothing further, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: You're excused at this time. 25 People may call their next witness. 26 MRS. STEIN: People call Officer Laurence Shelley.

1 LARRY B. SHELLEY, called as a witness by the People, having been sworn, 2 testified as follows: 3 THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please. THE WITNESS: Larry B. Shelley, S-h-e-1-1-e-y. 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MRS. STEIN: 8 Officer Shelley, do you recognize the defendan.u 0. seated at the counsel table? 10 Α. Yes, I do. 11 Is she the woman in the middle? 0. 12 Yes, she is. Α. 13 0. And what was your initial contact with the defendant, 14 Α. The first time I met her? 15 How did you first come to meet the defendant? 0. 16 Originally I received a phone call that fortune 17 telling was being conducted at 442 Lincoln Boulevard and that I 18 could also obtain advertisements to the fortune telling from 19 local newspapers in the Venice area. 20 0. Incidentally, how long have you been a police 21 officer? 22 Α. Five years. 23 *O*. And what is your current assignment? 24 25 Α. I work vice, Venice vice. 0. And is that the reason for your appearance, your 26 physical appearance? 27 Α. Yes, it is. 28

1 THE COURT: There are to be no comments from people in the audience. As the Court stated yesterday, if there is any 3 disturbance, outbursts or comments, the Court will have to clear the courtroom. I want that remembered by everyone in the 5 audience. 6 Let us proceed, Mrs. Stein. 7 BY MRS. STEIN: Now, did you see newspaper articles or newspaper 8 O. advertisements regarding the defendant's place of business? Yes. I went to a local liquor store, picked up Α 10 local newspapers, went through the newspapers. And in The 11 Beachhead Newspaper there was an advertisement. 12 a Incidentally, is the defendant's location at 13 442 Lincoln Boulevard i:n the City of Los Angeles? 14 Yes, it is. Hi O. Now, other than seeing an ad in the newspaper, 16 Beachhead Newspaper, were there any other advertisements that 17 you saw regarding the defendant? 18 P.dI don't recollect any others. 19 Calling your attention to People's 5 20 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness? 21 THE COURT: You may. 22 BY MRS. STEI: 23 Is this the advertisement that you saw? 24 A. Yes, it is. 25 Now, calling your attention to your initial contact O. 26 with the defendant, when did you go to the defendant's place 27 of business at 442 Lincoln Boulevard? 28

A. This was here on December 19, 1974. After reading the ad I went to the location with a fellow officer. I entered the location, noted that there were many signs of candles for sale that would bring love and happiness, et cetera. I seen

many potions, witch powder, bats blood, et cetera.

I observed on the east wall a poster that stated "tarot card readings, \$10.00 a half hour." I then walked over to the counter which is about the southeast wall of the location where the defendant and one other person were standing. I talked to the defendant, stated that I didn't know what a tarot card reading was and asked her to explain it to me. She said that it was a spiritual reading in which she could guarantee three months predictions of the future to me. I asked her --

Q Excuse me. Let me interrupt you one second.

Is there a cash register located -- was there a cash register located on the counter?

- A. As I recall, there was.
- O Please continue..

2.8

A. I asked her if I could have a reading. She stated that she normally didn't give readings to men; however, that she had received good vibrations from me and that she would give me a reading; however, that she was busy that afternoon and that I would have to set up an appointment, which I did.

- Q. Did you keep that appointment?
- A. No, I didn't.
- Q. Now, what was your next contact with the defendant?
- A.

  My next contact was on the 10th of February,

1 this year, 1975, in the early morning hours. Myself, 2 Officer Falkenberg and Investigator Kimberlin went to the 3 location of the defendant's business. Was that after you had a conversation with 5 Officer KirnLerlin regarding the fact that the defendant told her fortune? 6 Yes. Investigator Kirrberlin went inside the 8 location, was in for approximately 45 minutes to an hour. She exited the location and gave us a detailed summary of what 9 happened inside the location. Q. Have there been other ads that you have seen? 11 Α. Yes. Th2t ad has been in the Beachhead every month 12 from Deceri', ber until current. 13 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness? 14 THE COURT: You may. 15 BY MRS. STEIN: 16 Could you please read for the jury what the ad says? 17 Yes. The ad says The Feminist Wicca. Detailed 18 tarot card rea.dings, occult supplies, magical jewels, books, 19 herbs. 442 Lincoln Boulevard, Venice. And the phone number 20 is 399-3919. 21 Now, after Officer Kimberlin told you about her 22 experience there and that there was a violation, what did you 23 then do? 24 I then entered the location, went to the center room 25 of the location where the defendant was seated at a table, 26 seated on the south side of the table, with another person 27 seated on the north side of the table. 28

(), Excuse me. Let me back up to the first contact. 1 I forgot something. 2 In the first contact that you had with the defendant 3 on Decerr er 19, 1974 where she agreed to tell your fortune, 4 although you were a male and she said she usually does females, 5 what if anything did she supply you with? 6 Α. I asked her if she had any brochures or business cards, at which time she gave me a yellow business card and a 8 large blue brochure that is similar to the advertisement. 9 Do 1-ou havu a file in this case? 10 IL Yes, I do. 11 Can you retrieve MRS. STEI>J: l:'l<.ly I approach the witness? 13 'I'HE COURT: You may. 14 BY !-rnS. STI::L:: 15 Can you retrieve from your file what the defendant 16 gavt) you on your first visit to the Wicca business establishment 17 on the 19th of December, 1974? 18 Ye:::;. I'm now entering my file. I have stapled 19 here the blue brochure and the business card that I received 20 12-19-74 from the defendant, 21 And did you mark your appointment on the back of the 22 business card? 23 Yes, I did. 24 May this be marked as People's 8 next in MRS. STEIN: 25 order? 26 THE COURT: Perhaps you should start marking them, since 27 we've had so many markings. 28

Just put' an 8 on the back of it, or People's 8, so 1 2 we know w':lich it is. MRS. STEIN: People's 8. 3 THE COURT: It may be marked for identification. MRS. STEIN: For the record, it is an advertisement 5 brochure together with the defendant's card that she gave to 6 Officer Shelley. BY MRS, STEIN: 0. And she said that this is her card, her business 9 card? There's a business card holder on the counter Α. Yes. 11 in the location. 12 Anc did sr:e get this card from that business card 13 holder at the location? 14 I believe I retrieved the business card from the 15 holder myself, and she gave me the flyer, the brochure. 16 And you had asked her for some kind of advertising 17 brochure; is that correct? 18 A. Yes, I di.d. 19 20 Now, getting back to the 10th of February, 1975, following your conversation with Investigator Kimberlin 21 regarding the violation that had taken place, that she had her 22 fortune told, now can you tell the jury what you did on entering 23 the location? 24 Okay. After entering the location and seeing the 25 defendant and the other person seated at the table, I informed 26 the defendant that she was under arrest for Section 43.30 27 L.A.M.C., fortune telling. 28

1 Excuse me. Was there another person in there then? Q. 2 Yef; there was a third person. 3 0. Was she having her fortune told? There were three females in the location. One person was having her fortune told by the defendant, and 5 there was another person sweeping the floor. 6 Could you please continue what you did? At which time the person that was having her fortune tole left the location. I asked the defendant if she wished to 9 10 turn her sho over/Ll1e person sweeping the floor or if she wished to close it. I called for a camera and took pictures in 11 the locatio:1. 12 13 Did you retrieve any evidence that is here today? YeL.. From the table I retrieved a deck of tarot 14 a scarf that was placed beneath the tarot cards on the table, and one busines: card with a -- that had a potion 16 for a price arked on it. 17 I approach you with People's 3, this cloth, and 18 Peoplers LJ., the cards. Are these the items that you personally 19 retrieved from the defendant's place of business? 20 Yes, they an,. 21 Now, what ...,.rn8 the other iten that youstated you 22 retrieved? 23 It was another small business card which -- on the 24 25 back is writing of a price of -- it was herb or potion. I don't recall what it 26

THE COURT: Show it to Ms. Buckley first, please.

May I approach the witness?

MRS. STEIN:

7

1 BY MRS. STEIN I show you here a little card, a business card 2 saying The Feminist Wicca, with the 422 Lincoln Boulevard 3 business location, with a telephone number, the defendant's, 12:00 to 6:30 stating "Tarot card reading, spells, candles, 5 books, incense, occult supplies and other magical things." on the back it says "magical feather earrings, twoseventy five, 7 lov0" a.nd then some -- what appears to be sonebody's nrune spelled C-h-i-e- -- with an accent -- n-a. 10 Is this the card that youalso retdeved, besides the tc>.rot cards and the cloth? 11 Yes . it 5.s. 12 Α. MRS. STEIN: Mav this be marked as People's 9, your Honor? 13 14 THE COURT: Please put a mark on it indicating People's 9 15 for identification. 16 MRS. STBIN: Thank you. BY MRS. STEIJJ: 17 Now, did you also retrieve this red vial? 18 O. 19 No, I did not. From whom did you get this red vial? 20 O. That red vial came from Investigator Kimberlin. 21 r,. Did she give it to you? 22 0 23 Yes, she did. Α And did you book it into evidence? 24 2.5 Yes, I did. A. Now, other than the cards, the tarot. cards, this 26 cloth, the card with the writing on the back, magical feathers 27 and -- did you retrieve anything else? 28

Α. Yes. I retrieved a brochure on an upcoming event 1 in the Santa Monica area. 2 3 MRS. STEIN May I approach the witness? THE COU:RT: You may. BY MRS. STEIN: 5 I show you a copy of a purple brochure entitled O. Witchy Dance, a dance for women by witches, et cetera, a 7 particular holiday celebration and fundraiser, which was to takE 8 place on February 22nd, 1975 at 8:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m. Is this poster or brochure, handoutr or whatever you 10 want to call it, this purple thing entitled Witchy Dance, one 11 you retrieved from the defendant's shop? 12 Yes, it i. 13 MRS. STEIN: May this be marked People's 10, your Honor? THE COURT: It may be so marked for identification. 15 BY HRS. STE:::: 16 O. Odwr than the tarot cards, cloth, the original 17 brochure and card and this Witchy Dance brochure, did you 18 19 retrieve any other evidence from the defendant's shop? 20 No, I didn't. 21 Q. Did you have occasion to take pictures of the inside 22 of the defendant 's shop'? 23 Yes, 24 Could you please describe for the jury what pictures Q. 25 you took? This was on February 10, 1974. 26 THE COURT: Before you begin, has Ms. Buckley seen any of 27 these pictures? 28 MRS. STEIN: Excuse me.

1 May I approach the witness? THE COURT: You may. 2 BY MRS. STEIN: 3 Q. Could you please describe for the jury --First of all, how many pictures did you take 5 originally? 6 Originally I took 12 pictures. 7 Could you please describe for the jury 8 MRS. STEIN: May these be marked People's 11 and then 9 A, B, C, D collectively? 10 THE COURT: They may be so marked. 11 BY MRS. STEIN: 12 Could you please describe for the jury --13 .MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, in order to facilitate my 14 keeping a record of this, may I stand and see which pictures 15 are being marked which? 16 THE COUR'l': You may. 17 BY MRS. STEIN: 18 Q, Could you please describe for the jury of what 19 People's 11-A consists? 20 THE COURT: Perhaps see that they are marked that way at 21 this point so we keep them straight. 22 THE WITNESS: 11-A is a nicture of some plants and 23 candles on a table that was in the centar portion of the 24 building that was next to the table where the fortune -- the 25 tarot card readings were occurring. There is also a cellophane 26 bag in the picture. I don't recall what was in the cellophane 27 baq. 28

## 1 BY MRS. STEIN: 2 O. Which are the candles in here? 3 A. The candles show on the lower right-hand corner. Q. -Those little small blocks? 5 A. It's approximately six to eight inches tall. This? 0. 6 About two-and-a-half inches in diameter. Α. I see. Those little round things on the table are 8 Q, candles, then, on People's 11-A? 9 Yes. It looks like a glass -- it's a candle. 10 Now, referring to People's 11-B, could you please 11 describe for the jury what that picture shows? 12 11-B is a photo of a poster in the front portion of 13 the building. 14 Which is the store portion? Q. Ηi Yez, in the store portion of the building, the front 16 portion. 17 Q. 18 Where was that located on the diagram? A. 19 It would be Section A of the diagram. The P, the first Pon the right -- left --20 Towards where it says 442? 'l'IIE COURT: 21 THE WITNESS: Yess 22 This here flyer advertises candles, magical candles 23 for sale, and tells what each color candle will do. 24 2.5 BY MRS. STEIN: 26 Did you have occasion to make a blowup or enlargernen:: Q. 27 of People's 11-B so that it could be read in court? 28 Yes, I did. Α

```
1
                And is this the enlargement that you made of 11-B?
           Q.
2
           A.
                Yes, it is.
           MRS. STEIN: May this be marked 11-B-b?
3
           THE COURT: Well, let's make it 11-C just so we keep
     some sequence.
5
     BY MRS. STEIN:
                And 11-C is an enlargement of what is said on 11-B?
7
           A.
                Yes, it is.
8
           Q.
                Could you please read to the jury what that says?
           A.
10
                Yes. In enlarged letters on the top it says IMAGE
     CANDLE MAGIC.
11
                 Then it has Green, the sign of a female or the sign
12
     of a male: For Money, An, 'oint With Moneyoil-, uring -- some
13
     type of Moon. Burn a little each.morning and night.
14
                 Then it has Red, the sign of a female or then the
15
     sign of a male: Burn It For Love, An7oint With Musk or
16
     Attraction or Lover's Oil.
17
                 Then it has Purple Skull: To Influence The Mind Of
18
     Others. Annoint With Controlf' Oil.
19
                And it has Black Skull: To Stop An Attac On You:t.
20
     Mind.
21
                 It has Black Cat: 'ro Stop Slander And Gossip.
22
                 Then Red Snake: To Burn Away Obstacles Between
23
     Lovers.
24
                It has Black Snake reversible: To Send Back Evil
25
     Vibes.
26
                 Then it has Black Snake: Against Enemies. An, {oint
     With Black/Arts Oil. Burn During waxing Moon.
28
```

1 THE COURT: Waning moon, wouldn't it be? THE WITNESS: Waning moon. 2 3 Then it has Gray Images: To Cancel Out Bad Luck. An; oint With Uncrossing Oil. For Purification And Health. BY MRS. STEIN: Now, 11-D, what does that depict, to the jury? 6 Excuse me. 11-D is the table that was next to the 7 card reading table with the candles on it, and the -- a sign 8 behind it that is an advertisement for The Feminist Wicca. 0 Was this the table at which the reading took place? 10 No. This was a stand behind the table to the Α. 11 it would be to the southeast of the card reading table. 12 'I'his other table? Q. 13 Α. 14 And what does this table have on it? Q. 1[, It has two candles and -- on either side of a glas!, 16 candle in the middle with writings on it. 17 Q. And what was this sign, La Bruja Feminista, 18 The F'eminist Wicca, was that on the southeast or the south wall 19 of the middle section of People's 1? 20 Yes, it was. Excuse me. It was on the east wall. Α. Q, Southeast wall? 22 23 It was on the east wa.11, south of the table. Q, 24 Could you please describe for the jury what 11-E depicts? 2.5 11-E is jars of herbs and grasses, et cetera, and Л.. 26 there's posters staple<1 to the counters which the jars are on 27

which tells what each one of those herbs represents and the

```
1
     price. To the right of the shelves with the jars are some
     bones.
                 What kind of bones?
           O.
                 I don't recall those particular bones.
           Α.
                 And where in 11-E are the bones?
           0.
5
                 They're on the
           Α.
                 Could you just circle
           Q.
7
                 Okay.
R
                 'l'hese arepotions, did you say?
9
                 Yes, herbs and potions.
           Α.
10
            Q.
                 Did you make a blowup of this one?
11
           Α.
                 No, I didn't.
12
                 Now, directing your attention to 11-F, would you
13
     tell the jury what that is?
14
                 Yes. This is a poster that was in e store section
15
            Α.
     of the -- the front section of the location. It's two posters
16
     describing oil essence, and it's aiso shelving with more
17
     potions and herbs.
18
                 Did you make a blowup of a portion, the chart,
19
     People's 11-F?
20
                 Yes, I did.
            A.
21
                 Could you please tell the jury what that says?
22
            THE COURT: That will be marked 11-G?
23
            MRS. STEIN: 11-G.
24
            THE WITNESS: It says Oils, Essences
25
                 Used As Incense Of The Body. Manipulates the Aura.
26
27
                 Bast: Sacred To The Sun Goddess. Used As Powerful
```

Goodluck Vibe.

```
1
                 Then Musk: Excitas Sexuality. For Love -- you
2
     can't read the word.
                The next one
           MS. BUCKLEY: It may be spells. I'll inquire, if I may.
           THE COURT: Let us continue.
5
           THE WITNESS: Okay. The next one is Rosemary:
6
     Protection, wear it to battles.
7
           /THE DEFENDANT: Of Life.
8
           THE COURT: Please, Ms. Budapest, don't make any
9
     comments.
           THE WITNESS: The next one is Bewitching: Amplifies
11
     Will Power. Use It Only With Clear Purpose.
12
13
                The next one is Priestess: Ritual Oil, Anfoint
     Candles With It, Furthers Spirituality.
14
                The next one's Uncrossing: Wear It If Bad Luck
1.5
     Struck.
16
                Then is Cleopatra: Heavy Love Vibration With
17
     Control.
18
                The next one's Forget Her/Him: If An Affair Is Dest
19
20
     Be Forgotten.
                The next one's Lover's Oil: Freshens Up Bad Affairs
21
           MS. BUCKLEY: Love affairs.
22
           THE WITNESS: Excuse me. That s Freshens Up Love Affairs
23
                The next one is Money Drawing: Annoint your purse -
24
     and then there's a word that can't be read.
25
                The next one's Bat's Blood: Breaks Hexes.
26
27
                The next one's Bergemont B-e-r-g-e-m-o-n-t:
     Protection and Money Drawing.
28
```

1 The next one is Hi Joan Oil: For Sacred Candles. Rose Oil: Sacred To Dianna. 3 Violet Oil: Sacred To The Fairy Queen. 4 Black Art: Used In Self Defense To Lap. Sacred To Lilith. 5 The next one's Cinnernon: Attracts Lovers, Good 6 Luck -- I can't read the word. 7 And the last one is Come-Inside: To Be Visited Often By Great People. 9 BY MRS. STEIN: 1 0 Now, could you please describe for the jury 11-H? 11 11-H is the exterior of the building showing occult Α. 12 signs, and a tapestry with the words The Feminist Wicca. 13 In other words, 11-H depicts the exterior of the 14 defendant's business establishment? 15 Yes, it does. 16 And that is located at 442 Lincoln Boulevard; is 17 O. that correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 20 (1 11-I? 21 11-I is shelving in the front portion of the building which is the candles that were described in the picture 11-C. 22 And they're located in the front portion also? Q. 23 A. Yes, they are. 24 And next to then, is the chart describing them that 25 you have blown up in 11-C is that correct? 26 A. Yes, it is. 27 11-J. O. 28

11-J is a picture of the south wall in the center 1 Α. section of the building where the tarot cards are read. It's 2 a tapestry with a snake skin on it. So that this is the tapestry that was in the center section where that table is and where the circle is drawn? 5 It's behind that on the south wall, that snake skin? A. Yes, it is. Q. And 11-K? 11-1( is a picture of the table where the cards are read, the tapestry, and the stand with the two candles in it --10 this is the center portion of the building again. 11 There appears to be some kind of other thing on 12 there. 13 Do you know what that is? 14 Yes. On the east wall there's a poster that has the Hi words Feminist Wicca. There are some other words, and a 16 picture of a chariot being drawn by a peacock. 17 O. 11-L, is that the same picture, the candles, that 18 you earlier described? 19 No. 11-L is more candles and potions on **shelving** in 20 the front portion of the building, and there's also in the 21 center of the picture a frame with some skeleton. I believe 22 this was a skull. 23 0. Could you please circle for the jury the skull or 24 skeleton? 25 26 11-M is the front portion of the store, is it not, 27 the counter where business takes place? Is that not correct? 28 A. Yes. 11-M is the front portion of the store, and it

```
shows the southeast wall area which -- there is a counter,
1
     candles and potions.
                 And is this the counter on which the cash register
     is located?
                 Yes, the counter has the cash register.
           Α
                 And telephones?
6
           Q,
           A.
                 And business cards, telephones, et cetera, on it.
7
                 11-N is a picture of what?
8
           A.
                 11-N is a picture which has in the center shel ves
9
     containing herbs and potions. There's a pair of cut-off jeans
10
     on the right side, a pair of long jeans below the cut-off jeans
11
     on the right side. There's some bones in the upper. righthand
12
     corner,. Below the herbs, there's a chart which explains what
13
     the herbs are.
14
                 And did you make a blowup of 11-N?
           Q.
Hi
           A.
                 Yes, I did.
16
                 Is this depicted in 11-0?
            Q.
17
                 Yes, it is.
18
                 Now, this last picture, what is that a blowup of?
19
                 The last --
           A.
20
           'l'HE COURT: 'l'his would be 11-P?
21
            MRS. STEIN:
                         11-P.
22
            THE WITNESS: 11-P is a blowup of the chart just below
23
     the herbs in the center of 11-0,
24
     BY MRS. STEIN:
                 And what is this on top?
26
                 Excuse me. I don't -- excuse me. There's a
27
     correction. There was no blowup of the portion on 11-0e
28
```

```
11-P is a blowup of the lower chart on 11-F which is the
1
2
     continuation of Oils, the description of Oils.
                 And could you just read to the jury what it says on
3
     11-P regarding Oils Cont.
4
                 Yes. It says Oils Cont.
5
                 Witches Oil 120* Used to anp'oint written commands.
     Also to wear.
7
                 Patchuli: Sacred To Pan.
8
                 Ava Rosa: To Bind Your Enemies.
9
                 Double-Crossing: For Revenge.
                 Protection: Daily Wear It Against Danger On All
11
     Levels.
12
                 Nmv, after you placed the defendant under arrest,
13
     Officer Shelley, did she make any voluntary statements to you?
14
                 Yes, she did.
1G
                 Could you please tell the jury what she said to you?
16
                 Her statement was, "I'rn glad you're arresting me.
17
     You're going to make me famous and rich."
18
            MRS. S'I'EII: No further questions.
19
20
            THE COURT: You may cross-examine, Mss Buckley.
21
22
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
                 Officer Shelley, you said you received a phone call.
24
25
     Did the person who called identify themselves?
26
                 No, they didn't.
                 Was it a man or a woman, if you remember?
            Q.
28
            A.
                 Yes.
                       It was it appeared to be a female voice.
```

Now, you said there was another person at the table О. 1 and a person sweeping the floor when the arrest was made. 2 Did you get either of their names? 3 A. Yes, I did. I obtained the name of the person that sweeping the floor. And did you ask them to be a witness? Objection; irrelevant. MRS. STEIN: 7 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 8 BY MS. BUCKLr.Y: q What is the name of said person? O. 10 MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant. 11 THE COUR'I': Objection's overruled. 12 The witness may answer. 13 'fHE WITNESS: I'll have to look it up. 14 BY HS. BUCKLI:Y: 1G Q. I would appreciate it. 16 Her name was Hancken, H-a-n-c-k-e-n, first name 17 Helen. 18 19 Thank you, r,:iS. BUCKLEY: M.ay I approach the witness, your Honor? 20 '.Ci-iE COURT: You ma)'. 21 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 22 With regard to People's number 10, there was a Q 23 description of that as to the time t.l-iat it was ta.king place. 24 Does that indicate where this Witchy Dance was to 25 be held? 26 Yes, it does. 27 Α. And does it indicate? Q. 28

1 This Witchy Dance is in the Santa Monica area. 2 It's at the Church in Ocean Park, Second and Hill Streets. 3 Now, we had a description of the pictures you took Q. and that are now -- have been marked for identification. 4 But I didn't notice any picture of the sign for the tarot card 5 reading. 6 Did you attempt to take a picture of that sign for 7 tarot card reading? 8 Yes, I did. Α. And did it turn out? Ο. 10 I believe that we ran out of film. 11 All right. Now, you said you went in and, after Q. 12 being arrested, she made a voluntary statement. 13 Would you describe the arrest of the defendant? 14 MRS. STEIN: Objection; vague and ambiguous, the form of 15 the question. 16 THE COURT: Objection sustained to the form of the 17 question. 18 MS. BUCKLEY: We11, I would like to know exactly what the 19 officer did in arresting the defendant on February 10th. 20 BY ! ill • BUCKLEY: 21 You have described going in and retrieving evidence. 22 I would like to know the steps you took in arresting the 23 defendant. 24 MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: The officer may explain what happenede 26 Officer, once you entered the premises and 27 approached the defendant, could you then explain what

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

```
THE WITNESS: I entered the location, went to the center
portion of the location; using my badge, ID'd myself and told
the defendant that she was under arrest, at which time she --
I then asked the person to leave who was having her cards
her fortune being told. I asked the defendant to go into the
front portion of the location and to have a seat.
BY MS. BUCKLEY:
      O,
           Did you attempt to handcuff the defendant?
           No. The defendant was walking around. I told her
that if she couldn t remain seated -- that I would have to
place cuffs upon her person.
           So did you at any time ttempt to place cuffs on her'
      Α.
           Isn't it true thut, if fact, you went to place cuffs
      O.
on her and she objected?
      MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant, your Honor.
      THE COLiRT; The witness may answer.
           Objection¹s overruled.
      THE WIT ESS: ho. I never had handcuffs with me to put
on her.
BY MS. BUCKLEY:
           Was there another officer present with you in making
      O.
that arrest?
           Yes.
      Q.
           All right. Thank you.
           Now, before -- when the defendant was placed under
arrest, did you read her constitutional rights to remain silent
      MRS. STEIN: Objection irrelevant and beyond the scope
```

of the --1 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 2 M.S. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I approach the bench for a 3 minute, please? 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 (The following proceedings were had 6 at the bench:) 7 MS. BUCIG.JI:Y: Your Honor, in this matter, the Officer has just testified that my client made a voluntary statement after 9 her arrest. And my question goes to whether or not she was 10 advised; because, if she was not advised, there's a question 11 as to whether that statement should come in. 12 '1'HE COURT I didn't hear any objection at the time the 13 stateDent came in. 14 MS. BUCKLEY: No, But, your Honor, am I not entitled, 15 since he said it was voluntary, to lay the ground work for 16 whet.heir -- to make a notion to hnve it stricken? In othe.r 17 words, I don't knrn-; at this point whether it was voluntary 18 because she had already been read her rights, whether there was 19 in fact a reason to object to it. I can always make a motion 20 to strike once I find out whether or not it was truly voluntary.. 21 Mrs. Stein? THE COURT: 22 MRS. STEIN: It's my understanding of the law tp.at z. 23 voluntary statement or spontaneous statement -- the question of 24 any Miranda rights is irrelevant. 25 THE COURT: Well, Ms. Buckley says she wants to find out 26 was it a voluntary statement or something. She 1,my go into it. 2.7

MRS. STEIN: May she limit it to the voluntary or

spontaneous nature, rather than getting into constitutional rights which might confuse the jury due to the -- they may think it's sorre other rights, in other words, you know, the freedom of speech and

THE COURT: Well, no, I don't thing they're going to think that.

MS. BUCKLEY: I just want the one question. I'll be glad to limit myself to that.

(The following proceedings were had in open court:)

## BY MS. BUCKLEY:

1G

Q Officer Shelley, after you arrested the defendant, did you give her -- read her her constitutional rights to remain silent?

No. The defendant s id that she wanted to make an immediate phone cal.land talk to her lawyer before she said anythL,\_\_;,

- I. But you said that she rnade this voluntary statement, "I'm gla.d you are arresting me". I\:'.ow, at what t:Lme did this statement ta\:e place, if she went over to make he:r immediate phone c?.Ill'i'
- A. As I recall, she never made the phone call. The other person at the location made the phon call. And it wab during this -- as I recall, it was during this here period of time. 1-\nd when the other person did contact her lawyer I was called to the phone, and I talked to the lawyer.
- Q. And then when, in relation to that, did she make that voluntary statement?

1	A It was prior to me talking to the lawyer@
2	Q. As I understand it, she first youhadarrested
3	her, and she first said, "I want to make a phone call to my
4	lawyer", and then she said to you, "I am glad you are arresting
5	me. You'11 make me farnous".
6	.t-: IRS. STEIN: Object to the form of the question. It's
7	compound.
8	'I'HE C0U3.'.l': The witness may answer if that's howit
9	occurred, or else he r.,ay clarify what the situation was
10	'l'EE WITN1:SS: Again, as I recall she asked to make the
11	phone call. Shethen instructed or asked her friend to dial
12	the phone for her and to make the phone call for her. And it
13	was during this time while she was seated behind the counter
14	that. she mw: c the s ta te;:ien t.
15	MS. BUCILLY: I h&ve no further questions at this time,
16	your Honor.
17	'l'll:L: COl,;l 'l': Thank you.
18	Any redirect?
19	1'11":S. STLilL Just one question, your Honor.
20	
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MRS. STEIN
23	U Officer Shelley, was this statement to you, "I'm
24	glad you <sup>1</sup> re arrestin .r me. You an,: goin9 to make me rich and
25	famous" was this in response to any question that youasked
26	the defendant?
27	A. No, it wasn't.
28	MRS. STEIN: No further questions.

```
THE COURT: Any recross?
           MS. BUCKLEY: No recross, your Honor.
           THE COURT: You're excused at this time.
3
                Ladies and gentlemen, at this point we'll take the
    morning recess until about ten minutes after 11:00.
                I admonish you during the recess period you must not
6
     converse among yourselves 11or with anyone on any subject
     connected with the case or the trial thereof. Also, you are
     not to form or express any opinion thereon until the entire
     cause is finally submitted to you.
10
                (There was held a short recess.)
11
           THE COURT: In the matter of Zsuzsanna Bartha, the record
12
    will reflect 12 jurors, two alternates present. Defendant is
13
    present with counsel. The City Attorney is present.
14
                People may call their next witness.
15
           MRS. STEIN: Thank you.
16
                People call Officer Carl Falkenberg.
17
18
                            CARL FALKENBORG,
19
     called as a witness by the People, having been sworn,
20
     testified as follows:
           THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please.
           THE WITNESS: Carl Falkenberg, F-a-1-k-e-n-b-o-r-g,
23
    c-a-r-l-y-1-e.
24
25
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
26
    BY MRS. STEIN:
27
                Officer Falkenborg, what was your occupation and
28
```

```
1
     assignment on February 10, 1975?
2
                 Police officer, City of Los Angeles, assigned to
     Venice Vice.
                On that day did you participate with Officer Shelley
           O.
     in the arrest of the defendant seated at the counsel table?
5
                 I did.
                And do you recognize the defendant as the female
7
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I ask the City Attorney
8
     to let the witness identify the defendant?
9
           THE COURT: The objection is well taken.
10
                 Do you see the defendant in the courtroom, Officer?
11
           THE WITNESS:
                          I do.
12
           THE COUR'I': Would you identify her for the record, pleasei
13
           THE WITNESS: She's seated right there int.he middle.
14
           THE COURT:
                        Would you describe what she has on?
15
           THE WITNESS: White blouse with a red bag around her neck,
16
           MRS. STEIN: The record will so reflect.
17
     BY MRS. STEIN:
18
                 Now, during your participation in the arrest of the
19
     defendant with Officer Shelley, did you at any time attempt to
20
     put any handcuffs on the defendant?
21
                 No, we did not -- or I didn't.
22
                 And did you have any handcuffs with you?
           Q,
23
                 No, I didntt.
           A.
24
           MRS. STEIN: No further questions.
2.5
           THE COURT: You may cross-examine, Ms. Buckley.
26
           MS. BUCKLEY: May I have a moment, your Honor?
27
           THE COURT: You may.
28
```

1	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
3	0. Officer, on the day in question what were you
4	wearing?
5	A. I don't know. I can't recall. Civilian clothes,
6	not a uniform.
7	Were there any officers in uniform to assist in
8	making this arrest?
9	MRS. STEIN: Objection; beyond the scope of the direct.
10	THE COURT: The objection's overruleds
11	The witness may answer
12	THE TNESS: No, not to assist in the arrest. There was
13	a uniformed officer theres We asked him to bring film.
14	A traffic officer showed up.
15	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
16	Q< So would these officers, as part of their uniform,
17	have had handcuffs?
18	A The uniformed officer would.
19	0. Do you normally carry handcuffs when you're on
20	undercover duty and expect to make an arrest?
21	A No, I don'L
22	$^{Q}$ Had you that day expected to make an arrest?
23	That day?
	Yes.
25	MRS. STEIN: Objection; calls for speculation.
26	THE COURT: The witness may answer.
27	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
28	

```
1
     BY MS. BUCKLEYi
2
           Q.
                You had gone there to make an arrest.
           MS. BUCKLE?: I have no further questions.
           THE COURT: Anything further, Mrs. Stein?
                            REDIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MRS. STEIN:
7
           Q.
                Did you have any opinion about making an arrest of
8
     the defendant before your conversation with Investigator
     Kimberlin?
           A.
                No.
11
           MRS. STEIN: Nothing further.
12
           THE COUR'r: May this witness be excused?
13
                Do you have anything further, Ms.. Buckley?
14
              BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor, I have one question.
15
           MS
16
                            RECROSS EXAMINATION
17
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
18
                If you had no intention of making an arrest, what
19
    were you doing at the location?
20
           MRS. STEIN: Objection; argumentative*
21
           THE COURT: The witness may answer.
22
           THE WITNESS: Conducting an investigation.
23
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
24
                Thank you.
           'l'HE COURT: May this witness be excused?
           MRS. STEIN: Certainly.
27
           THE COURT: You're excused at this time.
28
```

1 People may call their next witness. 2 MRS. STEIN: Thank you. People call Sergeant Jose Alcantara. JOSE ALCANTARA, 5 called as a witness by the People, having been sworn, 6 testified as follows: 7 THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Jose A. Alcantara, A-1-c-a-n-t-a-r-a DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MRS. STEIN: 12 Sergeant Alcantara, what is your occupation and 13 assignment? 14 I'm a police officer for the City of Los Angeles. Hi I'm assigned o Bunco-Forgery Oivision, the Bunco Section. 16 And does the bunco section deal with the problem 17 of fortune telling? 18 Yes. I arm responsible for fortune telling for the 19 City of Los Angeles. 20 How long ha,,e you heen a police officer? 2.1 22 I've been a police officer for 13 and 1/2 yea.re. I've worked bunco for 6 years; and I've had the responsibility 23 of maintaining reports and giving advice on fortune telling for 24 5 years. 25 Could you please describe for the jury your 26 background, training and experience, insofar as dealing with 27 fortune tellers. 28

1 I obtain all the copies of all reports dealing with 2 fortune telling; that is, crime reports and arrest reports. 3 I advise on most all investigations dealing with fortune tellin I train, at the police academy -- or Iteach, at the police academy -- I teach police officers how to investigate this type of crime. I also testified in court, in Municipal court, as an 6 7 expert in fortune telling. I have been a quest speaker before the Criminal Complaints Committee of the Los Angeles County 8 Grand Jury who were interested in fortune telling I have been trained by my predecessor. I also deal with and talk to other 10 cities within the State of California and other cities in 11 other states throughout the nation. I maintain photographs of 12 all fortune tellers arrested. 13 Approximately how many fortune tellers have you been 14 involved in investigating over the last five a.nd a half years? 1.5 Approximately two to three hundred. Α 16

 $\it Q$ . Have you attended any classes at any universities regarding fortune telling?

A. I attended a class at U.C.L.Ae dealing with gypsies that included something about fortune telling.

- g, Do you teach a.ny classes to the police
- A. Yes

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- O -- regarding fortune telling?
- A. Yes, at the police academy.

Have you written any articles which are being published on the subject of fortune telling?

A Yes. I've written an article for the Police Chief Magazine entitled Past, Present And Future, which is to be

published within the next month or two.

- Q. Now, Sergeant Alcantara, could you please tell the jury, define for the jury what fortune telling is?
- event that will te place in a person's life, as opposed to the prediction of the future conduct of that person which would not be fortune telling) Fortune telling deals strictly with an event that will take place in a person's life.
- Q. And, based on your training and experience, is this prediction of future events based on any ascertainable facts or according to any reason you know of?

The -- there are many tools used in ascertaining this information, astrology and palmistry and cards and crystal balls and sand readings that are used to -- or as vehicles for fortune telling.

Q. Now, based on your training, experience, are these vehicles that are used based on the predicting, based on any ascertainable facts or anything in reason that you know of?

No, there's not.

- Q. Now, can you please describe for the jury what tarot cards are?
- A. Briefly, it's a deck of 78 cards divided into a major Arcana and a minor Arcana. The major Arcana has 22 cards in it. The minor Arcana has 56 cards in it; and it's the forerunner of our modern-day playing cards.

The major Arcana is composed of mainly picture cards; and the minor Arcana has from the ace to ten and then four face cards.

1 And, based on your training, experience, are tarot O. cards used to predict future events? 2 Yes, they are,. 3 Now, calling your attention to this red vial containing a substance, based on your training and experience 5 what significance does this have insofar as fortune telling is concerned? Things of that nature are used to represent anything by the reader to the subject. The different potions I'm not familiar with, but they are represented to be some potion for 10 some particular purpose 11 Are they used to promise something which people can't Q. 12 do? 13 Yes. They are usually used to -- under false A. 14 pretenses. They are -- the reader tells the subject of the 15 reading that this particular substance is capable of performing 16 something that is not possible. 17 Now, regarding the way that fortune tellers operate, 18 Sergeant Alcantara, can you tell uswhat t..'"leir mode of operation 19 20 21 Fortune tellers will advertise in many ways. They'll 22 advertise on bus benches, busses, telephone book, newspapers, 23 by leaflets. And they will tell fortunes to most anybody that comes along. 25 The more serious cases, not the ones that usually

come to the attention of the police department, are people who

go to a fortune teller to try and solve their problem.

have a problem, either physical or mental, and, as a last resort,

26

27

The fortune teller will promise to cure all physical and/or mental ailments that a person might have, and, in the process, drain that person of his life savings and further injure their health.

Q. Now, is there a problem which you have faced in your experience as an expert in this area in having victims report problems that they've had?

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I don't see how the question of the problem that the police have has anything to do with the expertise of this officer in what fortune tellers do.

THE COURT: I'm not exactly sure what you're getting at, Mrse Stein, by the form of the question.

BY MRS. STEIN:

Is there a problem, based on your experience in investigating these matters, with having victims reporting the fact that they've been victimized by fortune tellers?

A Yes. Normally, a person who goes to a fortune teller does not complain to the police department, unless that person has been injured physically or monetarily to an extreme. Then they will, as a last resort, come to the police department and report the incident.

And why is that?

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, as an expert, I don't know how he can explain what is in victims' minds.

THE COUR'l': Well, the objection's overruled. I believe the witness may answer.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Because of embarrassment, usually, because

2.5

of the situation that they've placed themselves into, and the embarrassment of making other people aware of the situation.

## BY MRS. STEIN:

- Q. That they have fallen pre.t to this; is this correct?
- k That's correct.

Now, you defined fortune telling as the prediction of future events, Sergeant Alcantara.

I would like to ask you certain hypothetical facts. THE COURT: I just wanted clarify one point.

You said prediction of future events is fortune telling but predictions of future conduct is not. Perhaps you can give an example and explain to the jury exactly what the difference is.

'l'HE WITNESS: The future event would be: You will receive a letter in email tomorrow.

Future conduct of a person's life would be such thing to as you read every day in the horoscopes; that day you should not make any contracts, watch your step, be thrifty, things that pertain to how a person should conduct his life, be it present or future.

## BY MRS. STEIN:

As contrasted with something that will actually happen to that person ---

- A That's correct.
- Q. An event that will take place in that person's life in the future?
  - A That's correct.

And, in line with his Honor's question, based on your

1 experience, is the problem with fortune telling, as contrasted--2 in predicting future events, is the problem further exaggerated ,3 because of a face-to-face contact with the fortune teller and the victim, as opposed to what you just said in reading your horoscope in the newspaper? Well, it's definitely a personal -- it's a personal thing. When a reader is talking to a subject, he is talking 7 to that one person as an individual and telling that one person what will happen in his life, be it past, present or future. It's a personal thing, and he's indicating to that person that 10 that thing will happen to him. It's not a general thing that 11 we're talking about, then, lat you might read. 12 Now, Sergeant, I'd like to present to you certain O, 13 statements and ask you what these statements mean to you. 14 Number one, a dark-eyed man in your past would turn up again. 16 That means that -- it's an event that will take place, 17 in her life; that a man will come into her life, somebody that 18 she already knows is coming back. 19 And is that a prediction of --0. 20

- It's an event that will happen in the future, A.
- Next, that she would be divorced because a Queen Card showed her to be single.
- The statement that she would get a divorce is a prediction of the future.

Future event; is that correct?

Α. That's correct.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

0. As contrasted with conduct. 1

A. Right.

2 **3** 

Q. Number three, that she would move out of state to Florida.

4

A, That's a prediction of a future event that will take place in her life.

5

Q. That her daughter would not be able to attend veterinary school.

7

A. That's also a future event.

9

Q. That her mother would not leave the state with her and go to Florida with her.

10

A That's also a future event.

12

13

14

Q. Regarding the vial, People's number 7, that if she rubbed it under noses of people or on people -- that they would bend her way and that it would smooth things over with her husband.

lG

What does that mean to you?

16 17

Jt To me it means that the defendant promised to accomplish something with this vial that was not possib.e.

18 19

In other words, it was a false pretens€:'.

20

future events in this woman's life, the fact that a dark-eyed man in the past would turn up again, that she would be divorced; that she'd move out of state to Florida, that her daughter

Now, taking all these items and these predictions of

23

22

would not go to vet school, that her mother would not leave the

25

state with her, and that she had given her this vial to benc3

26

people her way, do you have an opinion, Sergeant Alcantaro, as t> whether or not this defendant was fortune telling?

2728

Yes. In my opinion she was fortune telling.

```
1
                Now, you've heard the evidence about --
           Q.
                Well, first of all I'd like you to examine these
     photographs, being People's 11-A through P.
3
           MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
4
           THE COURT: Yes.
5
                Those are the photographs that Ms. Buckley saw
6
7
     p re V'iously?
           MRS. STEIN: Yes.
           MS. BUCKLEY: Yes.
9
                Your Honor, is it my understanding that he's
10
     testifying as an expert in a particular area and that his
11
     expertise should be used on hypothetical questions?
12
           THE COURT: Well, I assume that's what the People are
13
     putting the witness on what the expert believes these
14
     hypotheticals were. I believe Mrs. Stein said these were to be
15
     hypothetical questions she was asking the witness.
16
           MS. BUCKLEY: Fine, your Honor. I just wanted to clarify
17
     that.
18
           THE COURT: As I understood, if this one to six, we'll
19
     say, hypotheticals were presented to the witness -- the witness
20
     heard them -- in his opinion, did or did not fortune telling
21
     take place.
22
           MS. BUCKLrY: Fine.
23
           THE COURT: You may proceed, Mrs. Stein.
24
     BY MRS. STEIN:
25
                Have you examined the photographs?
26
                Yes, ma'am.
           Α.
27
           Q.
                And could you also look at People's 1, the diagram,
28
```

1 and the advertisement and the brochures and the evidence that 2 we have on the table, People's 1 through 10? Yes. Α. Do you have an opinion, Sergeant Alcantara, as O. 5 whether or not the defendant was in the business of fortune telling? The circumstances, yes, indicated that the defendant 8 was in the business of telling fortunes. It's a typical situation or store set up for that purpose. 10 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I would object. If it's a 11 hypothetical question, would a person like in the position of 12 defendant - · · 13 MRS. STEIN Your Honor, I object to Counsel's -- I didn't 14 say anything before but she's testifying. 15 THE COURT: I believe her objection is well taken as to 16 what an expert would testify, I believe, as to hypothetical 17 questions, not as to actual facts. I believe the question 18 would be: Officer, if you examine People's 1 through 11, and if 19 a person engaged in iterns 1 through 6, the hypothetical question; ;;t 20 would it be your opinion that, if a person did that, that person 21 was in the business of fortune telling? 22 MRS. STEIN: I'll refrarne the question. 23 THE COURT: Well, I believe Ms. Buckley's objection was 24 well taken. I believe your question was: Did the defendant do 25 X, Y and Z, or 1 through 6 or --MRS. STEIN: Okay. 26

Q, Assuming that a person had a store such as is

BY MRS. STEIN:

depicted in People's 1, set up like that, and assuming the defendant -- excuse me.

Assuming that someone, a person, was reading the tarot cards, and assuming they were using an item such as this cloth, People's 3, and assuming that this person was passing out cards, business cards, Feminist Wicca, and so on, assuming they advertised in a newspaper to give detailed tarot readings, occult supplies, and so on, and assuming that they passed out posters, such as you can see on the table, and also assuming that the business in question -- or assuming that the place in question looks like the photographs as depicted in People's 11-A through P, do you have an opinion as to whether or not this person would be engaged in the business and advertisement. advertisement and business of fortune telling?

- A. Yes. Under those circumstances I would assume that fortune telling was being conducted at that location.
  - Q. And on what do you base that opinion?
- A. On my expertise and the use of the tarot cards and my knowledge of the different things that are usually present  ${\mathfrak a}{\mathfrak i}$  a fortune telling location,
- Q. Now, Sergeant Alcantara, can you highlight in specifics, from your training and experience, some of the more particular dangers that stand out in your mind, for the jury, in an operation of his kind?

## A, The dangers?

Yes, from your cases that you may have had or something like that, to give the jury an illustration of what can happen.

1 2 I 3 f

1G

 A. Usually a victim of a fortune teller is a person, as I said before, who has -- as a last resort is looking for help for a particular problem. And, in my experience, I've found that people will go to have cancer cured by a fortune teller.

The fortune teller will, of course, promise to cure cancer and the subject of the fortune teller will -- the condition will

worsen until finally she is taken to a doctor again

Fortune tellers will claim to cure baldness, bring back your lost lover, to multiply money. And in the process people will be taken in by them and eventually hurt. These are all experiences that I've had\$ They are all actual reports that I've read and seen and, in some cases, that I have investigated.

Now, how does the fortune teller go about, other than the initial visit which may be \$10 00 or \$2.00 or whatever how does the fortune teller go about parting the victim with his money or from his money?

- & Usually the fortune teller will promise to accomplish whatever the subject wants, will gain the person's confidence[ and then, through interrogation or conversations and the fortune teller's ability to read characters, the person will be bilked out of whatever the fortune teller wants
- Q. And can you give us some examples as to how that happens?

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I beli.eve thiB is repetitious. We have had more than several examples from him on the same thing already.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. But I think if we go any further Ms. Buckley may be correct.

1 THE WITNESS: You want an example? 2 BY MRS. STEIN; 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 subject of the reading 13 14 lfi 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

You may answer the question. Right, of how the fortune teller will go about specifically taking the money of a person; aside from the initial first visit; what they look for, for instance. Well, a fortune teller, like I say, through discussion will learn about a victim, learn the problems the victim might havee Of course, the subject of a fortune teller does have a problem when he goes there or she goes theree And the fortune teller will eventually learn what this problem is and promise to cure the problem or offer some relief for the And then does the price go up or whatever? MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to make an objection, because it is my understanding that this witnesq, testified that fortune telling was the prediction of future events; and he is now beginning to give us examples of how people are bilked and promised to have their problems solved. But that does not fall within his definition of prediction of future events as the definition of fortune telling. Well, I believe you can go into that on The witness may answer THE WITNESS: Would you please repeat the question?

THE COUR'I': cross-examinatiot.

MRS. STEIN: Could you read it back?

THE COURT: Do you want to restate it? I think it's somewhat complex to follow

BY MRS. STEIN:

Upon gaining the person's confidence, the victim, how does the fortune teller -- some examples of how the fortune teller goes about parting the victim from his rnoneye What are some of the

A Oneexample might be that the fortune teller will tell the subject of the reading that somebody has placed a curse on him and it cost that person a lot of money to place this curse, and that it would take an equal amount or a larger amount of money to remove the curses. The subject is then talked into obtaining this money in order to remove the curse or the subject's problem will not be alleviated or taken care of

Q. Now, these examples that you, ve given us, Sergeant Alcantara, cancer cures, baldness, bringing back lost lovers, or whatever, and curses, removing curses, are these examples, based on actual cases that you've come across in your trainin,;:r and experience?

A. Yes, they're examples of actual thefts by false pretense that I've investigated or had occasion to assist in investigating.

p, And they were involving fortune telling; is that correct?

A.

MRS STEIN: No further questions.

THE COURT You may cross examine, Ms. Buckley.

MS. BUCKLEY: Nell, your Honor, my cross examination of this witness is going to be rather lengthy, and I note that it's seven minutes to 12:00, and I was wondering whether you wanted

```
1
     me to begin or whether we would just do it as one -- in one
2
     piece.
           THE COURT: Well, on the statement it will be somewhat
     lengt..11.y --
                 Ladies and gentlemen, at this point we'll take the
6
     recess until 1:30@
                 I admonish you during this period you must not
7
     converse among yourselves nor with anyone on any subject
8
     connected with the case or the trial thereof. Also, you're
     not to form or express any opinion thereon until the matter is
10
     finally submitted to you
11
                 ("I'here was held the noon recess.)
12
13
14
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, APRIL 11, 1975, 1:30 P.M 1 --000--2 3 (The following proceedings were held in chambers:) 5 THE COURT: The record will reflect we're in chamberss 6 Present is the Clerk, the Marshal, both defense counsels, the 7 City Attorney. 8 Yes, Ms. Buckley? 9 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. I have a request, and that 10 is that, if the Court would permit, I would like to postpone 11 cross-examination of the expert witness for the following 12 reason: Two of my main witnesses, one an anthropologist from 13 the University of California at Los Angeles, and the other a 14 mathematician from Stanford, are both going to be unavailablE:: 15 after today. MY witness, Allison Harlow from Stanford, must 16 return tonight to San Francisco.. The anthropologist has tz.ken 17 two days off from u.C.L.A., and she just can't take a third 18 day So I would request, if the Court would permit, for me to 19 take them out of line andthen do the cross-examination of the: 20 expert witness who's on the stand now at the conclusion of their 21 testimony 22 THE COURT: From your statement earlier, you figured the 23 case would be almost to the jury by nowe I gather you aren't 24 planning detailed examination of any of the defense witnesses? 25 MS. BUCKLEY: No, your Honor. 26 THE COURT: Mrs. Stein? 27 MRS. STEIN: Well, Sergeant Alcantara has to be in 28

Oakland on Monday, as I understand it. He has a case up there. The Marshal just told me, and he told me, too.

2'i

"I'ME COURT: Well, what are you saying? I assume you migh! want to call him if some rebuttal is offered, since he is your expert witness. I would assume he'd be with you throughout the trial. I don't know. But I just sort of assumed that would be your practice.

MRS. STEIN: Well, I think this is -- I would object to this happening before the People rest, the evidence is in, and so on. I don't know what will be elicited on cros8-examination of Sergeant Alcantara, and --

THE COURT: I don't follow your statement. Perhaps he may even be able to respond to some testimony given by these two defense witnesses or something rather than having to brin9 him back. I mean I don't know -- I wasn't planning to go rea1 late today because I think the jurors have heard a lot.

Only so much can sink in. And they've had qui t.e,l bit of tes tirnony today. But it's merely discretionary as to whetrw:: I let these two witnesses testify out of order. And as to whether the People's witness isn't going to be here on Monday, I just assumed that he'd be an expert witness who would be present throughout the trial.

MRS. STEIN: Well, that was what he had told me, and  $\mbox{\footnote{A}}$  guess

THE COUH'l': Don't ask the Marshal. You re the representative of the People.

MRS. STEIN: I beg your pardon?

THE COURT: You're the representative of the People.

1 I'm just trying to find out the People's position. MRS. STEIN: Yes. And he would like to be available 3 to testify in Oakland on Monday. And he can be brought in, if you want, and tell your Honor --5 THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute. 6 Yes? 7 MRS. STEIN: Can I say something before I forget it and we get involved in this thing? There is a girl outside now. I don • t know to whom she is related, but she snapped pictures of myself and the 10 officers inside the court in the corridor. And she was trying 11 to snap the jurors. 12 THE COURT: Well, I would have the camera taken away, if 13 14 they're photographing the jurors. They certainly aren't to be intimidated. lG 16 Do you know anything about that, Ms. Buckley? 17 MS. BUCKLEY: I don't know a thing about it. THE COURT: Is she out there now? I'll have her brought 19 in now before the jury corles 1.n. 20 But, as to this matter, very possibly, i.f we start 21 with the two defense witnesses, maybe we can get all th,': 22 testimony in todaye 23 I don't know how long your examination is goin, to 24 be. 25 MS. BUCKLEY: I plan to have a very short examination, 26 your Honor. And, if cross-examination isn't too long, I think we could easily get both of them and maybe get back to cross-

28

examination.

1 THE COURT: Well, that's what I was thinking. I just --2 I don't think it's really an unusual request to ask that Itake the witnesses out of order. I assumed the defense was going to put on a witness anyway. So I'll just explain to the jury we are taking a witness out of order and let them put on their 5 witnesses, and then we will go back to the cross-examination. So if you wish to tell the Sergeant what the procedure will be 8 I will advise the jury we're taking the witnesses out of order, and we'll then begin. 10 So, Mrs. Stein, if you will gc, out -- if you see the 11

woman who is the photographer, someone with a camera, if youell go with Mr. Holloway and indicate who she is and bring her into the courtroom before we have the jurors in -- we'll resume as soon as -- if they find the woman. Otherwise we'll start in with the testimony.

(There was held a short recess.)

18

12

13

14

16

17

## ('l'he following proceedings were

had in open court:}

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

20

THE COURT: In the matter of Zsuz'Sanna Bartha, thn record will reflect the jurors and alternates are not present Defendant's present with Counsel, the City Attorney is present.

We had a discussion in chatr'l)ers. I am advised that some woman was taking photographs possibly of the jurors or some of the participants in this case.

Mrs. Stein, is that person in the courtroom at this

```
time?
1
           MRS. STEIN: Yes.
2
           THE COURT: Is she the woman standing next to the Marshal'i
           MRS. STEIN: Yes, your Honor.
           THE COURT: May I have your name, please?
5
6
           MISS PINNEY:
                           Sandra Pinney.
           THE COURT: Who are you? What is your connection with
7
     this case?
8
           MISS
                  PINNEY: I guess I really don't have a connection
9
     with this case.
10
           THE COURT: Were you taking photographs of the jurors?
11
           MISS PINNEY: No, I was not.
12
           THE COURT: Did you take photographs of any participants
13
     in this case?
14
           MISS PINNEY: Yes, one.
15
           THE COURT: Who is that?
16
17
           MISS PINNEY:
                           The woman in the brown sweater,,
           THE COURT: Mrs. Stein, the City Attorney7
18
           MISS PINNEY: Yes,
19
           THE COURT: You have only taken one photograph in this
20
     building?
21
           MISS PINNEY: No. I took some other photographs but it
22
     was just general shots of the people that I know.
23
           THE COURT: Did it include any of the jurors in this case,
24
           MISS PINNEY: No.
25
           THE COURT: I will order your camera seized if I see that
26
     you are using it anywhere around this building throughout the
27
     continuance of this case, because you are not going to
28
```

125 1 intimidate the jurors by taking their pictures. Do you understand that? 3 MISS PINNEY: Yes. T --THE COURT: And I am advising the attorneys for the 5 defense, if any intimidation of the jurors is taking place the Court will take action. There is a Penal Code section against intimidating jurors. And I state that for the people in the audience. The Court has at points had to make comments about people making noise. And if anything is being done, the Court 10 has the authority to order a closed trial to see that these 11 jurors are not intimidated. The Court does not wish to have to 12 lock up the jurors for the weekend or have them sequestered to 13 a hotel every night But if such natter continues I will have 14 to do it. 1G

And! advise you, ma'am, that, if you. take any more pictures, if it's reported to me, you will be ri contempt of court, which will mean five days in jail and a \$500.00 fine.

Do you understand that?

MISS PINNEY: Yes, I do.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: You have been advised of that at this point.

Mrs. Stein:

MRSe STEIN: Your Honor, at the time the photograph was taken, I was with Sergeant Alcantara and Officer Kimberlin.

And I believe Officer Falkenborg's picture was also taken.

THE COURT: Was it one picture or was it

MRS. STEIN: We were together. But his was taken separately.

THE COURT: Did you take an individual picture of the

```
1
     gentleman seated in the back row who had previously testified,
2
     Officer Falkenberg?
3
                  PINNEY: No, I didn't photograph him. I've seen
           MISS
           I did walk by there but --
5
           THE COURT: Did you take a picture of where he may have
6
     been standing in a group?
           MISS PINNEY: No, I haven't.
7
8
           THE COURT: Officer Falkenberg, do you have a y statement
     regarding this?
9
           OFFICER FALKENBORG: I was standing in the waiting room --
10
11
     or the phone booth out there. I heard a snap, turned around.
     There was a camera pointed at me, and this young lady was
12
     pointing it.
1.3
           THE COUR'l': Ms. Buckley, do you have anything to say?
14
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I had known nothing of this.
Hi
     I don't know for what purposes she is taking the pictures,
16
     although I think
                          I understood she might have been connected
17
     with some newspaper or magazine. But I don't know her, and she
18
     has not been taking the pictures at my request or that of any
19
     of the counsel for defense or, I'm sure, defendant.
20
           THE COURTY: Miss Bartha, is this woman taking picturer;
21
     at your direction?
22
           THE DEFENDANT:
                           No, no, she is not.
23
           THE COURT: I advise you, if any more pictures are taken,
24
     I will have the camera seized and the film destroyed: because
25
     you do not intimidate jurors.
26
                Do you understand that?
27
           MISS PINNEY: Yes.
28
```

1 2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

lfi

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: And I just don't want -- if anyone reports to me that any photograph was taken -- then you spend five days in jail and a \$500.00 fine for contempt of court -- that you weren't warned in advance.

Understand that?

MISS PINNEY: Yes, I do«

THE COURT: And there are to be no cameras in this courtroom.

Any camera, any recording device brought in this it will be surrendered to the Marshal, because the Judicial Council rules provide there will be no electronic recording of any proceeding except that done by the official reporter at the direction of any judge§

Please bring in the jury.

(The jurors returned to the courtroom.)

THE COURT: In the matter of Z Zsuzsanna Barth record will reflect 12 jurors, two alternates are present. Defendant is present with counsel. And the City Attorney is present.

Ladies and gen:.lemen, at this point we will be taking two witnesses out of order, rather than continuing or be::;:i.nnin9 the cross-examination of Sergeant Alcantara. We will begin with two witnesses for the defense who would be unable to appea:r on another date than today. So, just to tell you, we will return to the cross-examination. But to accomodate the pa ties to the case, the witnesses will be taken out of order.

Does defense wish to make an opening statement at this time?

1	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, to expedite the trial I will
2	waive my opening statement.
3	THE COURT: You may call your first witness.
4	MS. BUCKLEY: My first witness will be Barbara Chesser
5	And, your Honor, as many of our witnesses our
6	witnesses will affirm.
7	THE COURT: All right. The Court is so advised.
8	Come forward, please, Miss Chesser.
9	
10	BARBAR:'\ CHESSER,
11	called as a witness by the defendant out of order, having
12	affirmedq testified as follows:
13	'l'HE CLERJ,: Will you state your name, please.
14	THE WITNESS: Barbara Chesser, C-h-e-s-s-e-r.
	THE COURT: 'Who is going to examine, you or Ms. Colaneri?
16	MS. BUCKLEY: Ms. Colaneri wi:l, your Honor.
17	
18	DIRECT <b>EXAMINATION</b>
19	BY MS. COLANDER :
20	Ms. Chesser, would you please describe for us your
21	academic background?
22	I am an anthropologist,
23	Q. And you have your Bachelor Degree from where, please?
24	A. Berkeley.
25	Q. In anthropology?
26	A. Right.
27	Q. Do you have a Master's Degree?
28	A. Yes, I do.

```
1
           O.
                And that is t hat university, please?
           Α.
                University of Chicago.
                Is that also in anthropology?
           Q.
                Yes, it is.
           Α.
                Are you now a student at U.C.L.A.?
           Q.
6
           A,
                I am a doctoral candidate. I will get my degree in
     June.
7
           Q,
                And that, again, is in anthropology?
8
                 Right.
           Α
                Have you written any articles in an anthropological
10
     field?
11
                Yes, I have.
           Α.
12
           Q,
                Could you briefly state these articles and where they
13
     are to be published or have been published!!
14
                     right.
                            I have written an article on the
Η,
     Wapishana, They are a tribe in what was formerly British Guianak
16
     And this will appear in Antropologica. This is a journal that
17
     is published in both Venezuela and the United States.
18
                Are there any other articleg that, you have published
           Q.
19
     or ---
20
                Yes. I have an article that is going to appear in
2.1
     a book on Shamanism which is being edited by Dr. Johannes Wilber. .:.
22
     who is director of the Lat.in American Center at u.c.L,lt.,
23
     And the article is on female practitioners of Ethno-Medicine;
24
     Shamans, witches and other kinds of healers.
25
                Do you currently teach anthropology or any associated
26
     subject?
27
           Α.
28
                Yes, I do.
```

1 Q. And where is that, please? 2 u.c.L.A. A. 3 What is the subject that you teach? Q. A. This quarter I am teaching physical anthropology. Have you at all times in the past? O. Last quarter I also taught physical anthropology, and I taught a course in women's studies. 7 As an anthropologist, could you very, very briefly tell us what it is you do study? Well, my particular area is comparative religion. I have a background, though, both as a physical and a cultural 11 anthropologist. So I am interested in the physiological 12 aspects of religion including trance states, and so on, and otlH r 13 things that are related; for example, divination which includeB 14 you know, ESP abilities and things of this sorte 15 Il..c; an anthropologist, you have stated that you Q, 16 done some studies in witchcraft. And as an anthropologist you 17 stated --18 My particular field of research is spirit encounterf, 19 and that is included in witchcraft, yeal-i. 20 As an anthropologist, is witchcraft a religion? 21 Objection, your Honor; no foundation. MRSs STEIN: 22 And may we approach the bench? 23 (The following proceedings were had 24 at the bench.) 25 In the first place, there is no foundation MRS STEIN: 26 established that witchcraft is, in truth and in fact, a 27 religion. Secondly, any testimony relating to witchcraft, and 28

R

q

1 4

Hi

so on, would be totally irrelevant to the issues in this case which are whether or not on February 2nd, 1975 the defendant was fortune telling or even if, in truth and in fact, the defendant was a witch. Even if, in truth and in fact, that was a religion, she still would not be allowed to violate Section 43.30 under the guise of calling it a religion. So any testimony relating to the religious sanctification of such types of practices as fortune telling would be irrelevant since it is a violation of the law. And the cases unanimously hold that religion cannot be used as a shield for violating the law.

THE COURT: As I read the cases during the noon hour trying to draw up some jury instructions, if a person tells fortunes in the performance of his pastoral or religious duties, that is an exception to the code.

MRS. STEIN: No, that is not an exception, your Honor, under the cases.

THE COURT: If he doesn't do it as a business, but if he tells fortunes as part of his religious function, I believe that's an exception.

MRS. STEIN:, First of all, it hasn't been established that this is a religion. Secondly, even if it is, they -- the cases that I supplied your Honor with -- even if a minister, ?, regular bona fide minister, tells fortunes, that is a violation.

THE COURT: If the testimony in this case shows that the defendant was engaged in some religious duties at elat point, that may well be a defense. But I think that's for the jury to determine.

MRS. STEIN: Well, to tell fortunes is a crime, under

1 And even a minister can't tell fortunes, period. 43.30. 2 Nobody can predict the future. MS. COLANERI: Your Honor, in the Appar case which I 3 notice you have there -- I don't know the citation offhand. 4 But in that case, for example, the constitutionality of 43.30 5 went on the line. And what the Court said was that, because of 6 the exemption provided by 43.31, the constitutionality 43.30 7 would not be challenged. In essence, 43.31 is the only thing 8 that keeps 43.30 from possibly violating the constitutional prohibition against the abridgment of freedom of religion. 10 11 THE COURT: Mrs. Stein? 12 MRS. STEIN: Here, your Honor, is the statement as to the 13 defense, that it can't --THE COURT: That's not really controlling authority. 14 For the record, she's handing me a page or something 15 printed. 16 17 Now, if someone can give me the Apgar case --18 Do you have that citation? MRS. STEIN: I never heard of it. 19 20 Apparently somebody wrote it here and THE COURT: 21 MS. BUCKLEY: That's my THE COURT: My reading of the law is that, if a person 22 is engaged in religious activities, perhaps it is an exemption 23 But it must be a bona fide church or religion. 24 That's assuming facts not in evidence. MRS. STEIN: THE COURT: That's what the defense is doing.

MRS. STEIN: It says here the law is well settled that, although the government may not interfere with mere religious

27

beliefs and opinions, it can prohibit and punish religious 1 practices which are criminal offenses. And then there's all 2 these citations. 3 MS. BUCKLEY: May I ask you a question, Mrs. Stein? What is the meaning of 5 THE COURT: What does 43.31 mean, then? MRS. STEIN: The meaning of 43.31 exempts ministers and 7 recognized religions in the performance of their duties as R such. But if a minister, under the cases, and those ones that I gave you, were to tell fortunes, predict future events, he 10 himself would be violating the law. And this is raised in 11 every single fortune teller case. 12 THE COURT: I think you can raise that in your jury 13 instructions. But I believe they can go into it if they want 14 to show that this was practiced as part of the religion. If it 15 turns out the person, as a minister of some type, is still 16 engaged in a business, then the person may well be in violation:. 17 MRS. STEIN: Well, it hasnit been established by any 18 testimony whatsoever that there is any valid religior. 19 20 THE COUR'!: I think that is what they're trying to do. 21 That's what they're going to do nol; .r. If they don't present it, 22 you can argue that to the jury. But we're goi.ng into it now 23 (The following proceedings were had 24 in open court:) 25 THE COURT: You may continue. 26 MS. COLANERI: Thank you, your Honor. BY MS. COLANERI:

Q. As an anthropologist, Ms. Chesser, is it your

opinion and the opinion of other anthropologists that witchcraft 1 is a religion? 2 Yes. It's an outgrowth of Shamanism. 3 Q. Could you define Shamanism for us, please? 5 Well, it's very difficult to do quickly and briefly. Shamanism is basically the most archaic of religion. It's a 6 world-wide phenomena, and basically it involves a person who 7 has some kind of contract with the supernatural which gives this person certain kinds of abilities -- and they tend to be the 9 same all over the world -- with which he or she uses to help his 10 or her fellow man. 11 How old would you say witchcraft or Shamanism is? 12 13 Well, the best evidence we have is probably -there's a painting in a cave in France that's 30 or 40,000 14 years old which shows a Shaman in a trance. 15 Would that be roughly the upper Paleolithic period? O. 16 Right. A. 17 Do witches believe in a God, Goddesses, supernatural 18 powers? 19 Object to that question, your Honor, no MRS. STEIN: 20 foundation., 21 22 The objection's overruled. THE COURT: 23 The witness may answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, by definition, because they get 25 their power through a contract with the supernatural, some supernatural deity. 26

Q. What is the purpose of this contract with a

BY MS. COLANERI:

supernatural diety? 1 To help their fellow man. 2 Α. In essence, would it be correct to say that by this Q. 3 contract they gain powers to help? A. Right. Do you want -- well 5 6 If you would like to give an example. Q. Okay. As I said, there's a pretty standardized 7 group. Now, since -- in hunting and gathering societies, very 8 primitive societies, usually this involves game, animals, and 9 so on, or -- but normally it involves control of the weather, 10 ability to heal the sick, to heal both psychic and physical 11 illnesses, to find lost articles, different kinds of 12 clairyoyance and divination, finding -- as I said, finding lost 13 articles, telling people future events, and so on, or events 14 that are occurring at distant points, 15 Is divination or prophesy a part of this religious 16 belief? 17 Yes, it is. 18 Is it an essential part of: that religious belief? 19 Very much so. 20 How would one go about becoming a witch! 21 MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant. 22 THE COURT: The objection's overruled. 23 The witness may answer. 24 BY MS • COLANE RI: 2.5 Q. In essence what would be the steps towards becoming 26 a witch?

Well, in anthropological terms, it's an achieved

27

28

A.

rather than an ascribed status. You 1 it's something that it's the result of divine revelation or an encounter with a spirit or whatever. It's not something that in itself is inherited. This is the contrast between Shamanism and formalized religion where one becomes a priest or a minister 5 because one feels the urge, not because of any direct command from some divinity. And in witchcraft or Shamanism there would be a O.

- kind of direct command?
- Yeah, the it would be based on personal, you know, revelation.
- After this personal revelation, then what would the procedure be?
- Again, it -- you know, the ritual differs in different areas of the world. In some places you can join a Shamanistic society, a witches coven, whatever1 but it depends, you know, upon the -- you know, what's ever been established in that particular society.

T see.

In European society, for example, what would the next step towards becoming a witch be?

MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant.

MS. COL ERI: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. COLAHERI: I think one of the major issues in the case is whether or not someone is an accredited representative of a religion. Therefore, how one goes about becoming accredited in this specific religion --

28

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

1 THE COURT: Well, I think we're talking about what's happening at the present now. MS. COLANERI: I think the fact of the matter is that this defendant, if she is indeed an accredited representative, would have accomplished this feat in Euro.pe since that is her 5 background. THE COUR'l': Objection so overruled. 7 The witness may answer. 8 THE WITNESS: Normally the person joined a group or a coven of witches. It was also ability, such as second sight; 10 and things of this sort were also familial. And it was pretty 11 much a cult of women. And, for example, women would be trained 12 by their mothers or other relatives or whatever. 13 BY MS, COLANERI: 14 After this training period or apprenticeship, then what would the next step be? 16 Well, really, it's membership in a coven, although 17 in some areas witches worked independently. 18 'I'HE COUR'I': What is a coven, just for clarification? 19 THE WITNESS: A group of witches of a specific number, 20 and so on. 21 BY MS. COLANERI: 22 Can we go back, please, to the divination, when a 23 witch or a Shaman practices this divination or prophecy? 24 Is it a direct outgrowth of their spiritual beliefs 25 or contract with the supernatural world? 26 What the person actually does differs from, Α 27

society to society, culture to culture: but basically, yeah,

this is why this person can do it and everyone else can't.

Q. Ms. Chesser, as an anthropologist, would it be correct to say that you have also studied various ethnic groups:

k Yes, I have.

Have you studied gypsies?

a Yes. I haven't done field work among them, but I'm familiar with the literature and have some personal acquaintanceship, yeah.

You have heard the testimony of Sergeant Alcantara -

A This is true.

Q. - relative to fraudulent -- people who bilk the public.

Did that description sound like a description of a gypsy fortune teller or a witch fortune teller?

MRS. STEIN: Objection; no foundation, your Honor. It's also irrelevant.

THE COURT: What is the no foundation?

MRS. STEIN: Well, she just said she is not an expert in gypsies; and it's irrelevant. This is not a gypsy case. It's a fortune telling casE.

THE COURT: I believe the witness can clarify the answer Objection's overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes, you know, gypsy, fortune telling, which the Sergeant described as a bunco racket, and it's a tradictiona bunco racket of gypsies. And I believe he was speaking solely of gypsies and not from anything he said that he had any knowledge of witchcraft as practiced by any other group.

MRS. STEIN: Move to strike the answer as speculation on

the part of the witness. 1 THE COURT: The objection is sustained, and the motion to 2 strike is granted. The witness is assuming something that is 3 not before the Court. The jury is admonished to disregard the last answer of the witness. 6 BY MS. COLA.NERI: 7 Ms. Chesser, would you describe for us the Q. 8 distinction between a gypsy fortune teller and witch who uses divination. 10 MRS STEIN: Objection, your Honor, no foundation, assume£ 11 facts not in evidence, there is a difference. 12 P . COLA.NERI: Your Honor, I believe --13 THE COURT: Is there a difference between gypsy fortune 14 tellers and other fortune tellers? Hi THE WITNESS: Yes, very strongly. 16 THE COUR'I': Perhaps you can explain as to the othe.r 17 fortune tellers, as gypsies really are not the direct issue cf 18 this case 19 THE WI'I'NESS: Well, unless I can distinguish between the 20 two, I -- shall I just talk about fortune tellers --21 THE COURT: Yes, as applicable to this case,. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, I am talking about, then, 23 people who are acting in a tradition as witches. And various 24 forms of clairvoyance and second sight are --2.5 THE COURT: Answer the attorney's question. She asked 26

28

27

you the question.

1 BY MS. COLANERI: 2 What is the intent of these people, as you understand it? MRS. STEIN: Objection: calls for speculation. MS. COLANERI: Your Honor, this is her specific area of eYpertise.. THE COUR'l': Objection is overruled. She may answer. THE WITNESS: To help people solve their problems through her specific knowledge and skills which are above those of 10 ordinary people. 11 MRS. STEIN: Move to strike the latter as being 12 conclusion of the witness. 13 THE COURT The answer will stand. 14 BY MS. COLANEitr If. Could you qualify for us some of the historical 16 background of witches? At any time were they respected 17 member£ of the community for example? 18 Yes. 19 Up until what period of time? 20 MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant. 21 M2. COLANERI: Your Honor, one of the major problems and 22 issues in this case, I think, i the rather negative connotation 23 to the word "witch". The biggest issue in the defense s case; 24 is whether or not this woman was doing this act in the specific 25 function of her religion. Now, the point --26 MRS. STEIN: Object to counsel's testifying in front of 27 the jury. 28

1 THE COURT: Yes. Just get to the point, M.s. Colaneri. 2 MS. COLANERI: The issue is, is she a member of a bona fide religion? Now, whether or not this religion is bona fide has got to be established, since it has been 5 considered --THE COURT: I realize that is the defense, but what does this question have to do with that part of the defense? 8 MS. COLANERI: Because at this period of time the connotation is definitely a negative one. 9 THE COURT: I would sustain the objection. : r dontt 10 think it applies, really, to the defense as such. 11 BY MS. COLANETII: 12 Ms. Chesser, why do witches practice in covens? O 13 Because the energy or the -- the people usually 14 the term used sometimes is battery, the energie of 15 people, 1G say, or at least, you knmr -- more than one person is worth 16 more than one person. 17 O. Has witchcraft in the United States up untll thir, 18 period of time been an organized religion? 19 MRS. STEIN: Objectioni calls for conclusion, 20 'fiIE COURT: The witness may answer and give her opinion, 21 THE WITh'ESS: Yes. 22 BY MS. COLANERI; 23 It is an organized religion? Q. 24 It has been at time and places, yes. At the present time in the United States? 26 Yes. And how would it be organized? Q. 28

1	I, In covens and associations of witches, and so o .
2	Q, I see.
3	A. Much of this belongs its ambivalent reputation
4	might be covert rather than overt, say, more formalized
5	recognized religions
6	Q Now, when a Shaman or witch performs one of his or
7	her acts of divination, do services for money or a chattel of
8	some sort change hands?
9	A. Yes, that's a pretty much universal feature.
10	Why would that be?
11	Well, a Shaman or witch is a professional and
12	obviously is entitled to compensation for his or her livelihood
13	Is this a universal
14	Well, in some soc.:ie:.ies, the Shaman doesn't charge
15	a direct fee, or the witch The person is given a donation or
16	a gift. but there ${f rs}$ a pretty common understanding about ${f hm}$ :
17	much that should be, you know, under what circumstances.
18	MS. COLANERI: I ha.ve no further questions.
19	THL COURT: You may cross examine, Mrs. Stein.
20	
21	CROSS EXAMINATION
22	BY MR.S. STEil:
23	Q. Hrs. Chesser, do you know the defendant ::!! thir:
24	aser
25	Yes, I do,
26	Q. And how long have you known her?
27	Probably three and a half, four years.
28	Q. And is she a close personal friend of yours?

1 No. Q. In what capacity do you know her? 2 Through the women's movement. 3 Q. And are you a witch? 4 Please define your terms. Α 5 Well, you were -- defined it --THE COURT: Please don't fight with the attorney. Just 7 answer the question. R THE WITNESS: Well, unless -- I cannot answer that in any 9 way, your Honor, unless she defines what she means by witch. 10 THE COURT: Perhaps you can explain the word "witch" and 11 then see if you fit into tliat category. 12 THE WITNESS: There are various, you know, meanings of 13 the word. Now, if she -- you know, the popular image of 1 4 somebody who conducts spells, sticks pins in images, and this 1.5 kind of thin9. Okay. There are also people who have certain 16 kinds of ability, such as second sight, that a.re classified we 17 witcheP, 18 THE COURT: Well, do you consider yourEclf a witch of any 19 categor:.7? 20 THE WITNESS: r certainly do not cast spells, and so on. 21 THE COURT: Well, then please answer the Court'E 22 question. Do you consider yourself a witch cf any type? 23 Do you fit into any of those cate; ories? If sc, which one'/ 24 THE WITNESS: I have a certain amount of clairvoyant 25 ability, yes. 26 BY MRS. STEIN: 27 So you do consider yourself a witch? 28

1 Depends on your definition of witch. 2 THE COURT: We're going on your definition, Ms. Chesser. 3 Do you consider yourself to fit into any cat gory that would come under the definition of the word "witch"? 5 THE WITNESS: Under certain -- see, you have to be specific. 6 THE COUR'I': Please answer the Court's question. You said there are numerous definitions. And, under the numerous 8 definitions, whether five or five hundred, do you consider that q you fit --10 THE WITNESS: All right. 11 Just one moment. Do you consider that you THE COUR'I': 12 fit into a category called a witch? 13 THE WITNESS: If you are referring to the possession of 14 clairvoyant abilitie:;::r yes; othendse, no, 15 BY MRS. STEIL\': 16 So you have this personal divine revelation --17 18 A. I did not say that .. Do yo-,.1? Oh, 19 Ne spirit has anpeared before me and commanded me to 20 be a witch, no, 21 But you do consider yourself to be some form or 22 another of wit:::1,? 23 I said I had some degree of second sight or clairvoyance. 25 And is that one of the cat 3ories of --26 It's one of the abilities that is usually 27 attributed to witches, yes. 28

And you know that for a personal fact is that Q. 1 correct? 2 Α. Yes. And can you tell us how you ascertained that you had this certain amount of clairvoyance? 5 Because of various experiences that have occurred to me in my life. If that's on -- I can bring in witnesses, if 7 that's an issue here, which I don't believe THE COURT: Please just answer the questions as asked. BY MRS. STEIN: 10 Are you a member of the Susan B. Anthony Coven Number One? A. No. 13 14 Are you concerned or associated with the defendant in this case in any of her Feminist Wicca movements and 1G teachings? 16 A. No. 17 Well, in your three and a half years' acquaintance 18 with the defendant, how did you first become acquainted with 19 her? 20 She had attended one of my classes. A. 21 And frequently do you see her? 22 A. 23 It depends. 0. 24 How frequently have you seen her, let's say, in the last year? 25 Α. Well, I moved -- before I was living in a different 26 area of Los Angeles. When I moved to Santa Monica we got in 27 touch. And then we hadn't seen much of each other before this 28

1 trial crone up. Then she asked me to testify on her behalf. 2 Now, Mrs. Chesser, you are getting your Ph.D.in O. anthropology; is that not correct? Α Yes. You have your bachelor's degree and you have your 5 master's degree; is that correct? 6 A. That • s correct. And you know that there are laws in this State governing the licensing, for instance, of persons who can 9 practice psychology, are there not? 10 A. Yes. 11 And there are laws concerning the persons who can O. 12 practice psychiatry, are there not? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And there is a state board of behavioral sciences O. 1 G and examiners which licenses people to conduct marriage and 16 family counseling, is there not? 17 A. Yes. 18 19 And are you aware that all these laws and rules exist before people can concern themselves with the care and 20 the treatment of other people. Is that not correct? 21 Α. True. 22 And you are getting your PhD in anthropology so 23 that you can teach or do whatever it is that you want because 24 that is within the law; is that not correct? 25 Well, I'm getting it, yes. 26 Α. Now, do you know of any law or any recognized law 27 which licenses witches?

I believe that people are entitled to practice 1 A. their religion. 2 That is correct. But you are familiar also with O. 3 the laws that, when people deal with other people other than what their own personal beliefs are -- there are laws which 5 require certain courses of study, just like you're doing, and 6 certain licenses before a person can engage in the practice of psychology, psychiatry --MS. COLANERI: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Please let her finish the question. 10 11 BY MRS. STEIN: -- psychology, psychiatry, marriage and family 12 13 counseling, even being a lawyer? MS. COLANERI: Objection, your Honor, on the grounds that 14 she is asking the witness to give an expert opinion on the law 15 16 which, I think, is beyond her expertise. 17 THE COURT: The objection's overruled. 18 Can you answer the question, Mrs. Chesser? THE WITNESS: 19 I believe that the issue here is religion. And the laws, as I -- I am aware that, by paying a certain fee, 20 21 anyone can become a minister of the Church of Universal Life. "The idea, I believe, in anything associated with religion is 22 some kind of divine sanction rather than state sanction@ 23 BY MRS. STEIN: 25 And that is a personal thing, is it not? Religion is a personal thing, is it not? 26 27 It is also -- it also involves some kind of

acceptance by a community of peers.

1	Q. Well, can you distinguish between the meaning of
2	religion and theology?
3	A. Theology is like the formal study of systems of a
4	religion.
5	Q. So, then, isn't it a fact that religion is a persona]
6	belief that a person will hold themselves? Theology is an
7	organized system of religion?
8	A. No, it's not a organized system of religion. It's
9	Q. Religion belief?
10	A. No. It's an organized system of religious beliefs.
11	It's ${f a}$ bunch of documents.
12	Q. Doctrines?
13	A. Well, doctrines, documents, whatever.
14	Q. So there is a distinction between religion and
Hi	theology? And would you not agree with me on that point?
16	A. Yes.
17	$oldsymbol{Q}.$ Now, do you know the methods of having a theology
18	organized and licensed within the State of California to
19	operate <b>a</b> church?
20	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, it is my understanding that
21	tllere is no law that requires the licensing of churches in the
22	State of California or in the United States.
23	'l'HE COURT: Mrs. Stein?
24	MRS. STEIN: Well, I'll rephrase the question.
25	THE COURT: Objection is sustained.
26	MRS. STEIN: I'll rephrase the question.
27	BY MRS. STEIN:
28	Q. Are you aware of certain organized theologies?

```
What do you mean by that?
 1
            A.
 2
            Q.
                 That exist in this country.
                 All theologies are organized, by definition.
 3
            A.
            Q.
                 Right. And are you aware of certain ones?
 4
            MS. BUCKLEY: Objection, your Honor --
 5
            THE WITNESS: Yes.
 6
            MS. BUCKLEY: -- vaque.
 7
            THE COURT: Apparently the witness understood the
 8
     question, but perhaps it could be somewhat more specific.
 9
     BY MRS. STEIN:
10
                 Now, these theologies are recognized theologies,
11
      are they not, by --
12
            Α.
                I don't
13
            MS. BUCKLEY:
                           Your Honor, the term "these theologies"
14
     I don't believe that there has been any evidence as to which
15
      theology the City Attorney is talking about. If she would
16
      like to ask whether the Catholics, the Protestants, the
17
     Christian Scientists, the Jews, the Mohammedans are organized -
18
            THE COUR'l': 'I"he objection appears well taken.
19
      BY MRS. STEIN:
20
                 Are you aware of the major theologies in this
21
            Q.
      country?
22
                 You mean schools of religious beliefs?
23
            A.
                 Yes, as you've defined theology.
24
            Q.
            Α.
                 Yes.
25
26
            Q.
                 And what are they?
                 What do you want, a list of the dominant religions
27
      in the United States?
28
```

THE COURT: Please just answer the questions. 1 THE WITNESS: I'm asking if that's what 2 THE COURT: Please don't fight with the City Attorney. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. I do not understand 4 what she wants. If she will clarify, I will try and answer. 5 BY MRS. STEIN: 6 You list what you consider the major religions or 7 O. 8 theological groups in the United States. Α. Christians, Judaism. That's probably it. 10 How about Buddhism? A. It is not a dominant religion, in your sense. 11 not a majority religion. It certainly exists. If you want a 12 list of my knowledge of religions in the United States, I could 13 probably list a hundred, but that's 14 Now, you are a candidate for your Ph.D. in Hi anthropology. Please define the word "anthropology" for us. 16 Anthropology is the study of man. 17 Q. Is it the historical study of man? 18 A. It can be. 19 20 Can you give us a more detailed definition of what anthropology means? 21 Well, there are various branches. Would you like me 22 to describe them? 23 Q. Please. 24 25 Okay. The basic divisions are between cultural and social anthropology and physical anthropology. Physical 26 anthropology is the anatomical study of man and his evolution 27 from the other primates. It involves comparative anatomy, and

so on, primate behavior. It also involves, you know, the study of the fossil record, archeological sites, and so on.

Cultural anthropology covers such factors as archceology, psychic -- linguistics and -- basically, though, people think in terms of ethnology which is the study of different cultures.

So that your field of speciality is physical anthropology, is it not?

A No, it is not.

q

- Q. That is the class you teach, didn't you tell us?
- A. Oh, well, see, I am somewhat unique in that I have a dual background in both physical and cultural anthropology. My doctorate will be, you know, involving both.
- Q. And in both, the basis, in essence, of your field is in the comparison and contrast of the historical background and development of man; isn't that correct?
- & Not historical. As man now exists, contemporary society all over the world including United States. It's not historical. It's current. l\.nthropologists do field work with living people, if you're talking about cultural anthropologists.
- Q. Didn't you just tell us that you go into the anatomical development of man as he has come to be? Wouldn't that be an historical development?
- A. Well, no. You can study the racial differences between people of today. You can also look at the bones of people who lived in the past. You can look at primates and at -- you can study all of these things, but basically we're interested in how man is as he is now and also how he became

that way. But basically we're not an historical science.
That's history. If you're talking about culture

The comparison and contrast of the various cultures of man are done either by means of history or studying other types of cultures that are historically -- or unique or different than the present one, isn't that correct, like you might study a tribe that is in Australia that's not like us?

A. Oh, no. Of course, I can study anybody I wanted. I could study attorneys in the City of Los Angeles; and anthropologists have. Any group is legitimate, for human behavior, you know.

- Q. Now, are you familiar with the scientific method?
- A. Of what?

- Q. The scientific method of analyzing data?
- A. In the social sciences, yes, and in the physical you know, in the biological sciences as it pertains to physical anthropology.
- Q. Are you familiar with the collection of empirical data and forming a theory based thereon?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And can you describe that to the jury?
- A. Are you speaking in a case -- I mean like how would I do ethnographic field work or how would I do -- I mean, you have to specify the kind of problem you have in mind.
  - MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as non-responsive.
- MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, then I would like to object to the
- THE COURT: Do you understand the question, Ms. Chesser?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, but I cannot answer it unless 2 she's more specific. If she wants me to tell how, you know, 3 the evolution of a bone -- or does she want me talk about 4 ethnographic field work. 5 THE COURT: The question may be - somewhat vaque. 6 Perhaps it could be reworded, Mrs. Stein, if you 7 wish to go into this area. BY MRS. STEIN: 8 9 Now, let's take, for instance, the fact that the sun 10 is in the center of our universe and there are planets that 11 rotate around the sun. Now, are you familiar with the work, 12 for instance, of Kepler? 13 Α. I have heard of it, yes. I haven't 14 Q. Are you familiar with Galileo? 15 A. Yes. 16 Now, Galileo made certain observations --Q. 17 And was accused of witchcraft and convicted. A. 18 - which led him to believe that the planets rotated around the sun in a circular manner. 19 Is that not correct? 20 21 Well, basically, yeah. A. 22 Cl And that was a scientifically accepted theory. 23 Is that not --24 No, it wasn't. He was attacked as a witch. 25 MRS. STEIN: Move to strike the latter as being non-2.6 responsive. 27 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 28 Please do not volunteer. Just answer the questions

1 as asked of you. THE WITNESS: No, it was not accepted. BY MRS. STEIN: 3 Are "FOU familiar with the work of Kepler? 4 By reputation. I have not read it. 5 Now, I'd like you to assume that Kepler made certain 7 observations in which he found that the planets did not rotate around the sun in a circular fashion, based on his observations 8 of how the planets were positioned, and so on; that they 9 rotated around the sun in the form of an ellipse. 10 Do you understand that? 11 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I am having difficulty 12 understanding the relevance of Kepler and Galileo --13 excuse me, not Galileo. 14 I am having difficulty in understanding what this 15 has to do with our trial, your Honor. 16 17 THE COUR'I': I assume Mrs. Stein's getting to a point. And the objection is overruled. 18 19 You may continue, Mrs. Stein. 20 MRS. STEIN: Thank you, your Honor. BY MRS. STEIN: 21 Now, when Kepler made his observations, they did 22 not fit in with Galileo's theory that the planets moved around 23 24 the sun in a circular manner. He ascertained that the planets moved around in the shape of an ellipse, in an oval. 25 26 Therefore, Galileo's theory no longer fit in with the empirical 27 data that had been collected by Kepler.

Do you understand that?

12

- 1

- 2
- 0.

I hear what you're saying.

- 3 4

A.

- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- Yeah. And do you understand what I mean by it?
- I think I see the point you're trying to make.
- Right. And Kepler developed a new scientific theory based on empirical observations that he had made, that the planets rotated around the sun in an ellipse rather than in a circle.
- Do you understand that as being an example of the scientific method?
- Well, both of them utilized the scientific method to the best of their avail with the instruments they had at the time.
- That is true. Galileo's theory being used by Newton *{.).* and subsequently -- Isaac Newton and subsequently by Kepler was the theory until new empirical data was found, to wit, a different position of the planets by observation. Therefore, -
- I believe it was the planets' motion, not the placement of the planets that was changed.
- Whatever. The empirical observations of the planets was rotating in an ellipse now, not a circle, so therefore the circle theory which had been formerly based on scientific data and a theory was developed Galileo made X, Y, Z observations and developed a theory. Then, when new empirical observations were made by Kepler, a new theory was developed to explain the empirical observations that he made which, to this day, are valid.
- MS. COLANERI: Your Honor, I'll have to object at this time. I have been hoping that some kind of relevancy would be

forthcoming. Although this is a fascinating discussion, I T absolutely cannot see any relevancy nor can I see any 2 forthcoming. 3 THE COURT: I think we're getting there. 5 BY MRS. STEIN: Q. Now, do you understand this as the scientific method? k Yeah. Kepler had better equipment, mechanical 8 equipment. 9 10 Right. And he was able to make -- he made certain 11 empirical observations that no longer fit into the old theory; and a new theory was developed to explain his observation. 12 He refined -- yeah. 13 A. That is basically what the scientific method is all 14 about. Now, if somebody should make some different 15 16 observations that are not explained by Kepler's elliptical path of the planets, that would be -- those observations would be 17 recorded and a new theory developed. That's basically the 18 19 scientific method, is it not? 20 k Yeah, but you're talking about astronomy which is based on mechanical equipment and the perfection of it. 21 22 Right. And, basically, that's the scientific O. method, isn't it? 23 24 No. And in trutt and -- it isn't? 25 (). 26 It has no relevance to the scientific method in a 27 lot of other areas; for example, the social sciences.

Well, nothing really is empirically proved even

28

О.

insofar as physiology until it can be explained by chemistry 1 2 and physics; isn't that the correct statement? Well, I'm talking about human behavior. 3 Α I said --O. That would include human behavior. 5 A. Well, body chemistry -- well, an analysis of the 6 chemicals in the human body does not necessarily tell you too much about human behavior, if that's 7 But it might someday? 9 It's conceivable. Α. 10 O. That's right. And so that, when we get right down to it, that what you are studying is really historical and --11 A. I beg your pardon, but it is not historical. It is 12 13 contemporary people and contemporary human behavior. Now, you discussed a contract with a supernatural 14 Q. 15 deity. Is this contract oral, writ en or how is this contract 16 made? 17 It is a personal experience of the person. Α. 18 Q, And have you ever experienced such a contract? 19 I believe I've already told you I haven't. Α 20 Q. So then you don't know what this contract is? Α. I have read a number of accounts. I've also dealt 21 22 with people who have had thern. Our religious conversion is the 23 most common example of that. A lot of them have experienced 24 this and everyone, I'm sure, is aware of 25 But what is this contract with a supernatural deity? O. 26 It is an experience with God, whatever you classify A.

Q. **rs** there any contract?

that God or however you perceive it.

27

1	A Yes.
2	Q. And what is that contract?
3	A Well, it depends on whatever the person's <b>experience</b>
4	is. It may be that the person is saved. This is the
5	fundamental Christian experience. Many people believe <b>when</b> the
6	pray to God that their prayers are answered. This is a form
7	of contract.
8	Q. But you have never had such a contractual experience
9	with a supe:rnatural deity?
	A. With God?
11	With a supernatural deity, to use your words.
12	A. When I prayed as child Ifelt that God was <b>there.</b>
13	Q. And dia you then have any contract with a
14	supernatural deity?
15	A. I wouldn't have prayed if I hadn't felt God was
16	there.
17	Q And did you feel this was <b>a</b> contract?
18	. this A. I think I'm using; contract in the way that <b>social</b>
19	scientists use it which is an expectation or an agreement
20	between two parties. It is not a legal contract, if you are
21	looking for a signed piece of paper by 1e hand of God, no.
22	Q. So that at one time you believed in God?
23	A. I experienced God as a child, or what I felt was God,
24	Q. And then you lost this, I take it, at some point?
25	A. Yes, I did.
26	Q. And when was this?
27	A. When I was about 13.
28	Q. And what were the circumstances surrounding the loss

```
of your contract with God?
1
2
               Because I have been brought up in a Fundamentalist-
    Christian kind of background, and I could not deal with the
3
    problem of evil; why, if God exists, there could be such evil
4
5
    in the world.
                Are you now -- now you have no contract, is that true,
6
    with a supernatural deity? You no longer believe in God?
7
                I have not personally experienced God.
8
9
               And so do you feel that you are better able to deal
10
    with people in the world, even though you have no contract now
11
    dealing with any supernatural deity?
12
          MS. COLANERI: Objection, your Honor. I think that we
13
    have gone far beyond the scope of Ms. Chesser's expertise as an
14
    anthropologist and --
15
                       Objection is overruled.
          THE COUR'r:
16
                The witness may answer.
17
          THE WITNESS: Would you rephrase the question or restate
18
    it, please?
19
                (The question was read by the reporter.)
20
          THE WITNESS: I don't believe there's any way that I
21
    personally can stop mass evil. I cannot stop wars, poverty, no.
22
    I feel hat, you know, perhaps as we all do, that within my
23
    personal rights I can, you know, alleviate certain -- at least
24
    be helpful.
25
    BY MRS. STEIN:
26
                Now, these people that you talk of that have a
27
    personal divine revelation, in your words, an encounter with a
28
    spirit, this is a personal thing within that person --
```

```
1
                There are physiological symptoms.
           THE COURT: Please let her finish the question.
2
3
     BY MRS. STEIN:
                -- is it not?
 4
 5
                It's a personal experience, yes.
6
           0.
                And you have never had that experience?
                 But I have seen it.
7
           .MRS. STEIN: Move to strike the latter
8
9
           'I'HE COURT: Objection is sustained.
10
                Please answer the question.
11
                Do you wish her to answer the question?
12
                Please answer the question. Don't volunteer any
13
     statements.
14
                \,iould you read back the question, please?
15
                 (The question was read by the reporter.)
16
           THE WITNESS: It occurs both externally and interna!:!.:z.,
17
     yes.
     BY MRS. STEIN:
18
19
                 And it is only insofar as that particular person is
20
     concerned, is it not?
21
                 It is visible to those around the person, very often
22
     In fact, if it weren't visible I would doubt that it were a
23
     real encounter.
24
                 Now, what do you mean by a real encounter?
           O.
25
                 This is -- it's usually related to trance states
26
     which are, of course, visible, physiologically measurable.
27
     If you have ever been to a Pentecostal meeting, you know --
     even if you're an untrained observer, you can spot who has the
28
```

spirit and who doesn't. 1 2 Now, are you trained in spotting who has the spirit and who doesn't? 3 This particular area is my particular area of 4 5 expertise. It's my area -- well, it's tile area in which I'm doing my dissertation, yes. I don't know that there's any 6 degrees in recognizing spirit encounters, but, yes. 7 And you yourself have clairvoyance; is that correct? 8 I said I have a degree of second sight, yes. 9 And that is above what you would consider the averag 10 normal reasonable person to have; is that correct? 11 No, it is not. 12 Α. Well, what is clairvoyance, then? 13 14 Α. Well, I believe that many reasonable people have it, 15 one; and also many people, if they paid attention to their 16 dreams, for example -- I think that's a fairly well-established fact that prophetic dreams, and so on, are very common. 17 18 Q. You are not a psychologist, are you? 19 I am not a -- I do not have a degree in psychology, 20 no. 21 You are not a psychiatrist, are you? Q. A. 22 No. 23 Q. You are not a licensed marriage-family counselor, 24 are you? 25 A. No. 26 Q. You are not a sociologist, are you? 27 Α. No. 28 Q. Other than perhaps taking classes in college for

requirements, have you taken any specialized training in the fields of sociology, psychology, psychiatry or marriage and family counseling?

Of course, yes. I also worked as a professional counselor for five years before I came back to school, if that's of any relevance.

Did you have to be licensed as a marrjage and family counselor?

A. No. I worked for the State. It did not require a license. It required a master's degree.

Now, since -- you said you saw people that had you personally saw people that had this personal divine revelation

A. Yes.

- **Q** That is correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q That was as to that person, is that correct, only? I mean it was only that particular person? It was an individual?
- A. No. Many people in the congregation including the minister, for example, in a Pentecostal church, get the spirit, or, you know, in other religions, voodoo, for example. Trance states are obviously visible to anyone.
  - $\mathfrak{D}$  Now, what is obviously visible?
- A. Okay. There are differences in motor behavior or well, maybe I should speak from my personal experience which is with Pentecostals, for example. A person who has the spirit evidences certain kinds of motor behavior. The person's cheeks

1 usually get very pink. The eyes dilate and get very shiny; and there are various motor actions which I could the person may get the gift of tongue and start prophesying, or so on. 3 Now, what direct evidence -- can you cite to anybody, any one of us here in court, that this is something that is related to any kind of connection with God or a divine 6 spiritual power? 7 MS. COLANERI: Objection, your Honor. I think that that 8 question practically calls for --9 THE COURT: Objection's overruled. 10 The witness may answer. 11 THE WITNESS: I think it depends on whether or not you 12 13 believe in God. It also -- it depends on whether you think 14 that the healings that take place -- and these are documented at Lourdes. Healings that take place in various kinds of Hi religious ceremonies are documented. Now, for whatever source 16 you wish to attribute that 17 MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being non-responsive. 18 THE COURT: I believe the answer will stand. 19 BY MRS.. S'l'EI: 20 You indicate, as being related to God. Is that not 21 true? 22 The usual -- yes. 23 And you do not believe in God? 24 2.5 I would say I am agnostic, since I have not experienced God as an adult. 26 So then, when it comes right down to it, you don't 27 know whether these personal divine -- where they come from --28

1	would that be a fair statement these personal divine
2	revelations that you said you've witnessed?
3	k If you mean, do I have the word of God that these
4	people have are in direct contact with Him, no.
5	Now, are you aware of the fact, as an anthropology
6	student that Adolph Hitler was a ruthless practitioner of
7	divination?
8	k I believe he was interested in astrology.
9	Are you aware of the fact that Adolph Hitler, as an
10	antllropologist, was the owner of one of the world's largest
11	collection of books on fortune telling?
12	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, objection. I do not believe
13	there's any evidence that Adolph Hitler was an anthropologist.
14	THE COURT: Please. There will be no comments from the
15	audience.
16	I don't believe the question was that Hitler was an
17	anthropologist.
18	MS. BUCKLEY: She said Hitler, as an anthropologist.
19	THE COURT: I think she was referring to the witness as
20	an anthropologist; that Ms. Chesser, as an anthropologist
21	did she understand that Adolph Hitler
22	Did you answer the question, Ms. Chesser?
23	THE WI'I'NESS: I wasn't personally aware that he had a
24	huge collection of cult books or books on divination, but
25	BY MRS. STEIN:
26	O Fortune telling.
27	& Well, there is a distinction. Are you sure it was
28	fortune telling and not divination?

THE COURT: Are you aware either way, whether Hitler possessed either a large collection of

THE WITNESS: I am not aware of anything about Hitler's personal library, no.

## BY MRS. STEIN:

- Q. Are you familiar with the Nazi swastika symbol?
- A Yes.
- Q. And isn't it a fact that the Nazi swastika symbol is an ancient good luck symbol in reverse?

A. No, it is not. It is one of -- Jung would say it was an archetypical symbol. It shows all over the world; and it's the form of the self. It's equivalent to a square, so that you can find a swastika in almost any culture because of this archetypical nature.

- Q. And as an anthropologist or student of anthropology, are you aware that, like the diviners of old, Hitler relied upon human sacrifices, astrologers, omens and even his "i\text{i\text{titution}"} in dealing with political and military problems?
- A. I am not a personal -- I am not that personally familiar with Hitler. I'm not familiar with that.
- Q. You are getting your Ph.D, and apparently you have served as a counselor because you have a master's degree in some field. And you are seeking your Ph.D; is that not correct?
- A. Well, essentially I have it, depending on whether I turn in my dissertation, right. I've done all the other requirements.
- Q. Right. Now, you intend to use this insofar as communicating with other people in some form or another?

Well, it's a credential. I don't think it's my 1 Α. basis for communicating with other people, no. 2 You have to have certain courses, take certain courses, write certain papers, take certain tests, in order to be certified to get your Ph.D, do you not? 5 Α. That's true. 6 O. And in order to use this insofar as communicating 7 with the outside world you must have this credential; is that 8 not correct? 9 To teach in a university, yes; to do field work, no, 10 not necessarily. 11 Whatever. 0. 12 For dealing with officials, yeah. 13 Q. 1 4 Now, these people with the divine revelation, and so on, that we're discussing, witches in particular, they have 15 no degree in psychology, do they? 16 Most religious leaders don't. 17 They have no degree in sociology, do they? O, 18 Α. Sometimes they do. I mean, you know, witches in 19 are you speaking of witches in the United States? If you are; 20 they come from very diverse backgrounds. Many of them do have 21 degrees, especially within the women's movement. 22 О. How about the defendant? Does she have any degree 23 in sociology, psychology, psychiatry, marriage and family 24 counseling? 25 I personally don't know. I know she attended the 26 University of Chicago. That's all I know. 27

Q, Now, this personal divine revelation that is use:d to

1 help people solve their problems with powers above the ordinary 2 powers -- is that correct? Yes. A. And this would apply, I believe you said, in sick Q. people, people that are ill --5 If that is part of the belief or whatever, or if 6 that's part of the system, like there are healing witches. 7 Of course, there are many healers in the United States. But I 8 don't believe that's an issue here. There's no question of 9 healing in this trial. 10 MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being non-responsive. 11 THE COURT: Objection sustained. Motion to strike is 12 granted. 13 The jury is admonished to disregard the last 14 answer. Please just answer the question you're asked, 16 Ms. Chesser. 17 BY MRS. STEIN: 18 These people with supernatural powers, and so on, 19 Q. that have lis so-called personal divine revelation gift, you 20 said, have the ability to heal sick people; is that correct? 21 Sometimes they do. A. 22 Q And telling people future events; is that correct? 23 24 A. Sometimes they do. Q, 25 And in helping people resolve their, let's say, emotional problems; is that correct? 26 Sometimes they do. A. 27 And helping people decide the future course of Q. 28

question?

## BY MRS. STEIN:

- 0. It involves treating people who may have emotional problems, problems with their husbands or problems with personal relationships with other people, and so on.
  - A. Fortune telling?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. No, it does not.
- Q. Oh. It doesn't involve that at all? Does it involve predicting future events about a person's conduct?
  - A. It might, yes. It could.
- Q. Would it make a difference to you if I told you that the -- well, how would you define fortune telling?
- A. Telling the person something about himself or herself, and probably predicting the future course of this person's life and events that might occur to this person.

  But that's the limit of fortune telling, you know, in the usual definition of the term. This legal business, and so on, is related to something else.
  - O. And is that witchcraft?
- A. It can be. If that's -- but, as I said, witches have different kinds of abilities. It depends on what that particular individual does.
- Q. Do you have any idea of what types of abilities the defendant has?
  - A. I haven't scientifically studied her, no.
- Q. So, in other words, you have no basis for knowing what her religious beliefs are or anything about any personal

1 divine revelations she had had? 2 A. I would say THE COURT: Did you finish the question, Mrs. Stein? You may now answer. 5 THE WITNESS: The answer, I believe, is no. BY MRS. STEIN: So that you do know what powers she -- or what her 7 Q. personal divine revelations -- is that true? 8 I know what she does, yes. A. Do you know what her personal divine revelation is? 10 A. Well, depending -- okay. Within very -- you know, 11 like I have never questioned her specifically on this topic; 12 but I know in general, yes. 13 Q. And how many times have you seen her about this 14 subject? Hi 16 A. Well, you know, I've known her for three or four years. 17 18 Q. How often did you see her in the last year? 19 I couldn't make a good guess. Perhaps -- I don't know. Somewhere -- between 10 and 15 times, maybe. 20 And you have never studied her personal divine 21 revelation, if any, made a study of --22 She has never been a subject of a study, no. A. 23 By you? Q. 24 By me, no. 25 A. And you've also never made a study of any contract O. 26 she may have with a supernatural deity, have you? 27

I know what she believes in that area, yes, but I

A.

```
1
     have never specifically -- I have not made her subject for
     scientific study, no.
3
                 So then you have no basis whatsoever to form any
     opinion as to what her powers may or may not be; is that
4
     correct?
5
           A.
                No, it is not.
6
                 You've never studied her; is that correct?
7
           Α.
                 As an object of scientific study. I know her as a
     person, and I, you know -- I know what she does and stuff.
9
                 In the last year, you've seen her some 13 times.
10
     Where have these meetings taken place?
11
                 At her house, at the Wicca, at my house; and I guess
12
     we've encountered each other other places perhaps. I don't
13
     recall any offhandg
14
                 So you are personal friends, then?
15
16
                 Yes. I know her through -- yes, through the
     movement.
17
           Q.
18
                 Through the what?
19
                 Through the movement, yes.
           0.
20
                 What movement?
           A.
21
                 The women's movement.
           Q.
22
           A.
23
                 Is there some specific group that you two belong to?
           Q.
24
                 No, there is not.
           A.
25
                 There's no name to this movement?
           O.
26
                 The women's liberation movement, yes.
                 So that these 13 occasions in which you've seen her
     have been basically social in nature?
27
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, that's the second time the
```

1 City Attorney has misstated the evidence. The witness has 2 stated she saw her 10, perhaps 15 times. That is not 13 times. THE COURT: Well, I think Mrs. Stein just took a middle nwnber, when she said, 10 to 15 times. You may proceed. 5 BY MRS. STEIN: 6 This was on a social basis; is that not correct? And business, you know, business with regard to the A. 8 trial, and so on. This trial? 0. 10 A. Yes 11 Q. 12 Did you discuss your testimony with the defendant before coming here? 13 A. No, I did not. 14 Well, what business regarding the trial? When is Q. 1[, the last time you saw the defendant? 16 Yesterday, at the trial, and, of course, today. A. 17 Q. And how much time did you -- and when did you see 18 her prior to yesterday? 19 I can't recall offhand; maybe two or three weeks ago A. 20 And was that about this trial? O. 21 A. 22 No, it was not. 23 So that you're telling us here that -- how long did you spend with her two or three weeks ago? 24 Maybe an hour or so. A. 25 Where did this meeting take place? Q. 26 At my house. She came by a party. A. 27 Q. 28 And you at no time during this hour discussed this

trial; is that true?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

1 4

1[,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- A. Not particularly that I recall.
- Q. And in the last two days you haven't either; is that true?
  - A Not with the defendant, particularly.
  - Q. Did you discuss it with anybody?
  - A. Yes, with the defense attorneys; in particular, Marie Colaneri.
  - Q. Do you, sitting there on the stand -- can you tell us in this court that you believe that a person can predict a future event in somebody's life?
  - A. Yes. I believe that's been scientifically established.
    - Q. And what scientific studies are you referring to?
  - A. If -- the whole literature on ESP, for example, extrasensory perception, for example, like the Rhine experiments in the United States are well established.
  - Isn't it a fact that you -- there is no empirical study that has ever proved that you can predict, through any ascertainable facts whatsoever, a future event in some person's life?
  - A. That is not true, no. There is evidence going back for several hundred years in the western world.
    - Q. Now, I'm talking about an event.
    - A I'm talking about events.
  - Q. Okayl not conduct. Okay. Let's assume that you can predict some future event. How does a person go about, a person predicting -- how does one determine that a person's

fortune telling predictions about the future course of a customer's life will come true?

A. If this person happens to have certain kinds of extrasensory ability, then this person can make accurate predictions. Most predictions are based ON shrewdly reading things that the customers or himself may volunteer. There is very much -- you know, anyone who's even an amateur psychologist can say a great deal about someone else if they are perceiving this person, without any particular social expectations from, you know, past acquaintanceship or whatever. Most people are shrewd enough observers of other people that they could make some very good guesses, if that's what you're talking about. But there are some people who have -- and this is documented; for example, Mrs. Piper is a famous late 19th century-early 20th century medium, and so on, in the United States, can come up with clairvoyant kinds of information.

THE COURT: Do you have many more questions, Mrs. Stein?

MRS. STEIN: Just a few, a couple, about three or four. THE COURT: All right.

## BY MRS. srrEIN:

You used the word -- first of all, you just spoke about somebody. There's nothing documented, any literature that you know of or have ever heard of documented, about the defendant in this case, is there?

To my knowledge, she has not been the subject of a scientific study, no.

Q. I, ow, you are studying a social science

q

And a physical 1 Α. THE COURT: Let the City Attorney finish the question. 2 BY MRS. STEIN: -- a social science called anthropology; is that not correct? 5 No, it is not correct. Anthropology is both a Α. physical and a cultural science. I happen to have a background in both areas of anthropology. But it is what would be termed a social science, as O. contrasted with chemistry and physics, is it not? 1 0 No, it is not. Physical anthropology is as much a 11 physical science as physics. 12 O. Well, is there any empirical data that you know of 13 or can cite us here to support any of the propositions that 14 you've discussed regarding personal divine revelation? 15 A. Yes. 16 And what is that? 17 Α. That has been the subject of study for thousands of 18 years, and -- you know, beginning with some of the Indian 19 documents on Yogis, and so on, that were written well before 20 the birth of Christ. 21 O. 22 is there? 23

There is no empirical study on the defendant, though,

Not to my knowledge. A.

24

25

26

27

28

Q. Now, you used the word "amateur psychologist".

THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I try to take all the questions at once. Do you have many more questions? I wanted to at least finish up this witness and then take the witness --

MRS. STEIN: I had about three more.

THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off; but if we can get them done and have a reasonable break

MRS. STEIN: Okay.

BY MRS. STEIN:

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

 $\it{Q}$ . You used the word "amateur psychologist,. in answering a question.

What do you mean by an amateur psychologist?

I was using that in, you know, a usual conversationaJ
way, in just -- in the meaning of paying attention to someone
else's social behavior.

Q. You also used the word "customer", insofar as a fortune teller is concerned.

Now, isn't it a fact that, to your knowledge and you were a former believer in God -- Mrs. Chesser isn't it a fact that a pastor or minister does not keep money that's given to him for himself but he gives it to his church?

No, that is not true. Many ministers in the Christian religion, at any rate, especially the fundamentalists, Pentacostal, non-state religion types, do pass the hat or whatever; or the collection pays the minister's salary and his living expenses, and so on.

Q. But he does not keep the money that is donated in what you call the hat? He doesn't keep that himself? He doesn't pocket that personally?

Yes, he does.

Q. And he keeps it himself?

2'i

1 Yes, he does --That's your understanding 3 It is my knowledge. of the Christian religion? 4 5 THE COURT: Let her finish the question, and let the witness finish the answer. 6 BY MRS. STEIN: 7 Q. Now, people that go to church or to temple in a 8 recognized religion, there is a pastor or priest, minister or rabbi -- they do not refer to the people who attend their churct 10 or temple -- they do not refer to them as customers, do they, 11 Mrs. Chesser? 12 I have never heard a minister refer to anybody as a 13 customer. 1 4 MRS. STEIN: No further questions. If> THE COURT: Any redirect? 17 MS. COLANERI: No, your Honor. THE COURT: May this witness be excused? 18 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: You're excused at this time. 20 Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we'll take the recess until approximately 3:25. I admonish you during the 22 recess you must not converse among yourselves nor with anyone 23 on any subject connected with the case or the trial thereof or 24 form or express any opinion thereon until the entire cause is 25 finally submitted to you. 26 (There was held a short recess.) 27

(The following proceedings were had 1 at the bench.) MRS. STEIN: At this time the People would like to request an offer of proof as to what a systems analyst and computer programer would have to do with any of the issues in this case. MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, one of the questions asked by the City Attorney was whether witches had any degree. My clien1 8 is a witch and a high priestess, and she also has a degree. 9 And she also has a profession. And I believe that that --10 THE COURT: That may be true, but what does it have to do 11 with a computer programer analyst? 12 MS. BUCKLEY: That's what she is. 13 THE COURT: Who is? 14 MS. BUCKLEY: My client has just told the Court and the 1 G jury that she is a systems analyst -- excuse me. My witness 16 THE COURT: What does a systems analyst have to do with 17 this case? 18 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, the City Attorney said that 19 asked the former witness whether witches had any kind of 20 degrees. My witness is a witch. And I'm just giving to the 21 jury -- I mean I'm not going into it any furthere But they 22 have a right to know what her background is. 23 THE COURT: What has her background have as bearing on 24 the case? 25 MS. BUCKLEY: Oh. She's going to be discussing the fact 26

27 that witchcraft is a live and growing religion in the United 28 States. She is going to testify to the existence of Nemeton

```
1
            THE COURT: The matter of Zsuzsanna Bartha. The record
 2
      will reflect 12 jurors, two alternates present: defendant's
      present. Both attorneys and the City Attorney are present.
                  The defense may call their next witness.
 5
            MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you, your Honor. We call
 6
      Allison Harlow.
 7
 8
                               ALLISON HARLOW,
      called as a witness by the defendant, having affirmed,
 9
      testified as follows:
10
            THE CLERK: Please state your name.
11
            THE WITNESS: Allison Harlow.
12
13
                             DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
      BY MS. BUCKLEY:
lfi
                  Ms. Harlow, what is your occupation?
            Q.
16
            Α.
                  I am a systems analyst and computer programmer.
17
                  And what is your academic background?
            Q.
18
            Α.
                  I have a master's degree in mathematics from
19
      Columbia University.
20
                  And where are you presently employed?
21
            Q.
                  At Stanford Medical Center, Stanford University.
22
23
                  Now, in addition to being a mathematician and a
      systems analyst -- strike that.
24
                  Ms. Harlow --
25
            MRS. STEIN: Excuse me.
                                      Hay we approach the bench,
26
      your Honor?
27
            THE COURT: You may.
' 28
```

which is a federation of pagan and earth religions in the United States and has a formal organization, has a magazine which is published and distributed, and that it is part of a bona fide religion. She will also testify to the bona fide character of Zand her recognition throughout the United States as a high priestess of this Susan B. Anthony coven.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mrs. Stein?

MRS. STEIN: I don't know what the foundation would be; and as an offer of proof is -- what a systems analyst would have to do with discussing -- she may have her personal religion, whatever her personal beliefs are. But what her expertise is and what the foundation is for her testifying as to any kind of qualifications of the defendant in this case --

THE COURT: Well, the objection's overruled. If perhaps we get far afield, then I'll consider objections. But if it's limited to what was mentioned by Ms. Buckley I think she can go into it in those limited fields. If we get far afield talking about computer programer, obviously it has no bearing on the case one way or the other.

MRS. STEIN: That was the point. In other words, how can she be an expert --

MS. BUCKLEY: She's a witness.

THE COURT: We'll get to that.

Objection's overruled.

(The following proceedings were had in

open court.)

28

2'i

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 2 Ms. Harlow, are you a member of a religious Q. 3 organization? A. Yes. 4 5 And what is that religious organization? If more than one, would you describe your primary organization first? 6 A. I am a priestess of the Goddess; that is, a 7 priestess of the old religion. Our name for it is Wicca. 8 It's commonly called witchcraft. I am also director of a national pagan organization whose members comprise many 10 different traditions and branches of the old religion as well 11 as other earth religions, nature religions. Most but not all 12 of these religions are focused on a primary female deity, most 13 but not all. My own particular religious affiliation does 14 worship primarily the Great Goddess and other subordinate 15 deities. 16 Are you what/known in the vernacular as a witch? Q. 17 18 A. I am. 19 Do you have any special powers or talents which were given to you by the Goddess? 20 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor; calls for speculation. 21 THE COURT: The witness may answer. 22 The objection's overruled. 23 THE WITNESS: Could I explain my answer a little bit? 24 THE COURT: Well, first answer it and then proceed from 2.5 there, please. 26 THE WITNESS: Okay. I will answer it yes. 27 If I may explain --28

1 THE COURT: You may.

THE WITNESS: It has been my conviction in working with and teaching many, many people in the craft throughout this country that psychic ability is a normal human ability, like creativity, musical ability or such similar gifts, and probably follows a normal curve of distribution like intelligence and many other human qualities.

It is the case, I have found, that some religious systems help people to train and discipline that ability.

I do not believe that I was born with more than average ability along these lines. And, in the training I have received as preparation for the priesthood of my religion, I have been able to develOF those abilities I was born with to a point of reasonable reliability.

## BY M'S. BUCKLEY:

Q. Now, you talk about

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I'd move to strike that answer on the basis that there's no foundation for it, and it's strictly a conclusion of the witness.

THE COURT: You may cross-examine as to that. The answer will stand.

MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

#### BY MS. BUCKLBY:

Q. Now, you referred to certain training that you received or preparation.

What kind of training or preparation did you receive for becoming a priestess in worshiping of the Goddess?

A. It began for me with an experience that I can only

describe as revelation, and from then I began to seek someone who could explain to me what was happening. I was fortunate to find a teacher. My belief is that the lady sent me to this teacher who trained me in his knowledge.

And what do you believe are your talents that set it aside and make it possible for you to be a high priestess?

A Primarily empathy, I think, and being able --

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object to this as being irrelevant to the issues in this case, as to whether or not the defendant told -- irrelevant to the issue in this case as to whether or not the defendant told fortunes on February 10, 1975.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Perhaps you can move on to what was discussed at the bench, without all these preliminaries at this point.

BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Q. Now, you say that you worship the Wicca and you are a part of that organization, your primary organization of which you are a high priestess.

What is that organization called?

A The pagan organization I referred to is Nemeton.

It's a Celtic word. It means grove or sanctuary, because it's the way of our people to worship outdoors under the trees, in the grove.

- Q. Now, are there certain practices or rituals as part of your practice of your religion?
  - A. Yes.

Would you describe some of those. practices -- and that is the practice of witchcraft; is that correct?

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

11.

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. Yes.

would you describe some of those practices? O.

In our rituals we celebrate the changing seasons. A. We have eight holidays a year. And in our practices we try to be aware of reverence for life, respect for nature and the earth, and to use the gifts the Goddess gave us, including divination, if we are so gifted.

Now, what do you mean by the term "divination"?

I mean the ability to reach out and reach in at the same time to a source of wisdom that comes through to us througt whatever techniqueswe find most suitable for that purpose, sometimes by meditation, sometimes by seeing patterns in the stars or in the cards or in a bowl of water; and sometimes this helps us to obtain insights that we have learned to trust because we are trained to know when it's really coming through and when it's just guessing.

- What type of information are you talking about receiving from inside and outside?
- An inner sense of patterns of the shape of things, of how things are moving, which sometimes helps you to see where things are going.

Now, are you talking about prophecy or seeing things in the future?

- A. Both, in a way.
- How would you define "prophecy"? Q.
- I can't distinguish between prophecy and seeing, in that sense. It comes usually as a vision. It's an image in your mind of something that will happen if present patterns

1 continue.

2

3

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

1G

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

26

27

28

Q. But it's a seeing, then, of the future?

Yes. It's not a seeing of the certain future.

It's the seeing of the likely future on the basis of present realities.

Q. Now, would you divide that into a difference between **events** and conduct, perhaps?

No.

- Q. It wouldn't make any difference?
- A. No.

Q. Now, this divination, do witches when they practice divination use any kind of tools or instruments in connection with obtaining this information?

Yes. Probably the major ones used by witches are astrology and the tarot. People in a more -- working in a more purely Celtic tradition will do a casting of sticks which is somewhat analogous to the I Ching of China which is the primary method of divination used there. But I would think probably most modern witches are primarily palmists, astrologists or tarot readers.

Now, do witches receive payment for telling the future or for -- do you call it telling the future or do you have another term for what witches do in divination?

Well, foreseeing, I suppose, is a good word. As to payment, that's an individual matter. The laws of our religion prevent us under an absolute level from selling our religion for moneyi that is, we may not teach for money; we may not prepare someone for initiation for money. But the skills that

we have developed that help us to God and counsel and help the people in our congregations or help outsiders -- these can be done for money or for payment. For many witches, this is their only form of income. 0 Now, does that payment generally come in a number of forms depending upon the person who is being counseled? Yes. This is an individual matter. Any witch may 7 expect to receive a gift, to compensation for her services.

Would you liken that to the offering made in the Christian and Judaic religions?

MRS. STEIN: Objection; calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Well, she may give her opinion.

Objection's overruled.

THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge, yes. Could I add that very often many -- again, many witches I know -- and I am acquainted with many witches throughout this country, having traveled extensively to meet others of my faith -- for m0.ny of us, the money we receive for our service goes to our coven which is our word for our congregation, our immediate working family. It is for our church. Those of us who have no other source of income also use it to buy food. But that's a time-honored tradition, too.

#### BY MS. BUCKLEY:

1

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, this organization which you have spoken of, the Nemeton, would you describe that a little bit formally? It's a nationwide organization; is that correct?

It's a religious fellowship of followers of the earth religions, many but not all of whom are witches.

1 of the organization receive our publication and a private 2 newsletter circulated to members and are able thereby to 3 communicate with others. One of our major functions of the organization is 5 that people write to us seeking information on how they can 6 find a group of like-minded people to worship with or prepare 7 them for initiation. And we have a system of secretaries throughout the country, and we send them these inquiries, to the nearest region. And they write a personal letter and try 10 to help tile seeker to find whichever group, whichever religion will be most beneficial or congenial to that seeker. 11 sort of is a clearing house in that way. 12 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I have this exhibit marked 13 C, Defendant's Exhibit C for identification? 14 THE COURT: It may be so marked. Hi I might just say, just to try to keep the exhibits 16 together -- I see several tllere and several on that table. 17 Have you shown that to Mrs. Stein? 18 MS. BUCKLEY: I am going to show it to her right now, 19 20 your Honor. MRS. STEIN: .May we approach the bench? THE COURT: You may. 22 (The following proceedings were 23 had at the bench.) 24 MRS. S'I'EIN: An objection to this as being hearsay. 25 THE COURT: We haven't even heard anything about it yet. 26 MRS. STEIN: No, but the objection to the introduction 27

of this booklet as being strictly hearsay --

1 THE COURT: I would guess the testimony is going to be: Is this the magazine your organization puts out? 2 Isn't that more or less about --MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. We're trying to show the bona fides and the fact that this is a nation-wide, you know --5 THE COURT: I don't believe Ms. Buckley is going to ask 6 her to read what might be 50 pages. She's going to say: your organization put out a magazine? And the answer is yes; 8 and "here's the magazine." Is that correct? 10 MS. BUCKLEY: That's right. 11 MRS. STEIN: But I would object to it being offered into 12 evidence. 13 'I'HE COURT: What ground? 14 MRS. STEIN: On the ground that it's hearsay. 1G THE COURT: Hearsay of what? 16 MRS. STEIN: Magazine itself. I can't cross-examine the 17 authors of this, the writers, and so on. 18 THE COURT: I don't thing they're going into the contents. 19 It's merely to show that a magazine exists. It would be like, 20 does Time magazine put out a magazine? 21 You can't cross-examine the editors of Time, but 22 there is testimony that Time does put out a magazine each week. 23 The objection's overruled. 24 (The following proceedings were had 2.5 in open court.) 26 THE COURT: Ms. Buckley, you may continue. 27

# 1 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 2 Now, Ms. Harlow, does your organization put out a Q. magazine? A. Yes. And what is it called? O. Nemeton. 6 A. MS. BUCKLEY: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 7 THE COUR'r: Yes. 8 Mrs. Stein? 9 MRS. STEIN: I object on the grounds of no foundation. 10 'l'here has been no evidence that the defendant is a member of 11 that organization. 12 THE COURT: The objection's overruled. The answer of 13 the witness may stand. 14 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 1G Ms. Harlow, I show you defendant's exhibit C for 16 identification and ask you whether you recognize that. 17 That's the third issue we published. 18 That's the third issue that you published. And is 19 this the magazine distributed nation-wide? 2.0 A. Yes. 21 And does that magazine contain articles with regard 22 to what you would call your theology? 23 Yes, and the theology of other earth religions that 24 are not Wicca'. 25 Now, the magazine is entitled The Journal of 26 Witchcraft And Paganist. 27 You have used this term to describe your religion. 28

1 Would you define the term "pagan" for this court. 2 As we use it and as it's used in our magazine, it 3 means followers of the indigenous local native religions of their area rather than the formalized elaborated religions. We would consider all American Indian religions pagan, the Polynesian religion, the native religions of Europe which is 6 primarily Wicca, and African religions, and so forth. The word pagan comes from the Latin Pagani meaning 8 country people. It's the local religion, the honoring of the 9 local deities of our home place. 10 11 And this would be opposed to Christianity or Judaism, 12 In general, all pagan religions are polytheistic; so it would be in contradistinction to monotheistic, external 13 religions, as it were. 14 Now, you used the term Wicca. Does that have a 11. meani'ng? 16 Yes. That's the ancient Anglo-Saxon word from which 17 our word witch is derived. It means the wise one. 18 MS. BUCKLEY: May I have one second, your Honor? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 21 Now, in your position as a member of Nemeton which 22 is the national organization, have you become acquainted with or 23 do you know the reputation of the defendant Z Budapest? 24 A. Yes. 25 And what is that reputation? 26 Objection, your Honor; no foundation. MRS. STEIN: 27 THE COUR'I': Objection sustained. 28

BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Q. You have testified that you are a high priestess of Wicca; is that ocrrect?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you are a member of a coven in San Francisco?
- A. In the Bay Area, yes.
- Q. You are also, I understand, a member of Nemeton which is a national organization; is that correct?
  - A. I'm a director of Nemeton.
  - Q. You are a director of Nemeton?
- A And in that capacity I have traveled and met other high priestesses throughout the country.
- Q. And does this organization, Nemeton, have knowledge of ardrecognize high priestesses or priests of the various pagan and earth religions which belong to the Nemeton organization?
- A. In a way, yes. The problem with the way you've asked the question is that Nemeton is a pagan organization, as my work -- in my work as a high priestess of the Craft, of the Wicca, this has been my basic background work for knowing who my fellow high priestesses are. Nemeton officially, as a pagan organization, does not concern itself with Craft organization. It is my work in Craft organization that qualifies me to know who my colleagues are.
- Well, then as a member of your Craft organization and as a high priestess yourself, do you recognize the defendant as a high priestess?

A. I do.

1 Q. Now --2 THE COURT: What do you base that on? Why is she 3 considered a high priestess of Wicca? 4 Is that the organization of which she's considered a high priestess, or Wicca? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: What is that based on? What is your belief based on, that she is a high priestess of Wicca? 8 THE WITNESS: It is based on our knowledge of her and her 9 knowledge of us. How does a protestant minister in one place 10 recognize a colleague in another place? 11 THE COURT: Well, what have been your dealings with her 12 upon which you are basing your opinion? 13 THE WITNESS: My personal contact with her? 14 THE COURT: Upon what are you basing your opinion, or 1.5 what has bE?en your dealing with the defendant? 16 . THE WITNESS: My knowledge as a priestess. (we know ea h\ 17 18 ::.=\_'I'H-E "COURT: You may continue, Ms. Buckley. 19 MRS. STEIN: I move to strike the answer, then, as being 20 without foundation. 21 WIT SS: Should I try again? 22 I'HE---- T ---. T on to--; t t t anted. t t23 The jury's admonished (',---disre t 1 st statementj' 24 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 2.5 As a high priestess of one Wicca organization, have Q. 26 you spoken to members of other Wicca or ganizations? 27

Yes.

Α.

And have they informed you who their high priestess 1 O. was? MRS. STEIN: Objection; hearsay. 3 THE COURT: Well, no. The witness may answer. 4 The objection's overruled. HE WITNESS: I d •t understand the guestion. 6 BY MS. BUCKLEY: Q. Well, in other words, we have asked you how you know 8 she is a high priestess. One of the ways that you have 9 indicated is that -- in this very general way. But I am 10 asking you in specifics of how you know she is a high priestess. 11 Let me try to be specific. 12 MRS. STEIN: t?: c:ti,c:>-// ,7 13 THE COURT: Just a moment. , 1 4 MRS. STEIN: Excuse me, your Honor. Object to the manner in which Counsel is advising the witness on how to testify. 16 THE COURT: Objection is sustained. 17 Please answer, upon what do you base your opinion, 18 or what would lead you to believe that the defendant is a high 19 priestess of Wicca? 20 THE WITNESS: I can answer most simply by saying that our 21 religion, although scattered and varying in minor details, is 22 essentially the same in ritual practice and belief. Through 23 communication with the defendant, by asking certain questions, 24 answering certain of her questions, we, myself and many other 25 priestesses of my religion, are satisfied that her beliefs, 26 practices and rituals are in essence the same. Therefore, 27 we recognize her as a member of our religion. 28

1 MRS. -TEIN'l: <tf ve to strike;) your Honor, the testimony of the witness on grounds of no foundation. 2 THE COURT: Well, have you had dealings with the defendan as a high priestess, or are you merely basing it on what people have told you? 5 THE WITNESS: Personal correspondence with the defendant. 6 THE COURT: Have you had any direct dealings in the area 7 of Wicca? 8 THE WITNESS: Our personal correspondence dealt with thes 9 areas. 10 THE COURT: And what is that correspondence related to? 11 THE WITNESS: We published a magazine. She saw it. She 12 wrote to us. We wrote to her. One of my colleagues met her. 13 \ve talked about the things that witches talk about when we talk 1 4 about our religion. And we have no question but that we are talking about the same religion. 16 THE CODR'l': Mrs. Stein? 17 MRS. STEIN: I move to strike. 18 TIIE {COURT: ' Motion to strike is granted.'i 19 The jury is admonished to disregard the last few 20 answers of the witness. 21 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 22 Now, you said you had had actual correspondence with 23 the defendant. Did that correspondence 24 What did that correspondence relate to? 25 MRS. STEIN: Asked and answered, your Honor. 26 MS. BUCKLEY: It was stricken, your Honor. 27 MRS. STEIN: It was asked and answered and stricken. 28

```
THE COURT: Objection is sustained.
1
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I approach the bench?
           THE COURT: No.
3
                Is the only correspondence with the defendant having
4
    been with the magazine?
5
           THE WITNESS: No.
6
           THE COURT: What other correspondence has there been?
           THE WITNESS: Before I met the defendant, the defendant
8
    met one of the most respected and principal leaders of our
9
     religion in this country who said to me that she had met the
10
     defendant and was delighted to meet such a powerful and
11
    beautiful priestess.
           THE COUR'I: Please answer the question.
13
                What has been your correspondence with the defendant?
14
     Don't volunteer any statements. Just answer the questions
Hi
           THE WITNESS: Pardon me, your Honor. I thought the
16
     question was whether I had any knowledge aside from the
17
                            I believe it was: What has been your
           THE COURT: No.
18
     correspondence with the defendant.
19
                          We have shared our views as to our beliefs
           THE WITNESS:
20
     and practices, and we have found them to be the same.
21
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
22
                In correspondence?
           0.
23
           k
                In correspondence.
24
                And they were also around religious -- your religious
25
    beliefs?
26
27
                Yes.
           THE COURT: Has it been anything other than the magazine
28
```

1 Nemeton? Have you corresponded with anything other than the magazine which is defendant's C for identification? THE WITNESS: Yes. These were personal letters. 3 were not published. THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Buckley. 5 MRS. STEIN: Well, I'll renew my motion; no foundation. 6 THE COURT: The answer to that question -- the only 7 question pending has been with correspondence. That answer may remain. MRS. STEIN: Thank you, your Honor. 10 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 11 Now, after the correspondence did you in fact meet O. 12 with Z Budapest personally? 13 Yes. Α 1 4 And at that time did you, through your discussions, come to any kind of an opinion as to whether or not Z Budapest 16 was in fact a high priestess in your religion? 17 MRS. STEIN: No foundation, your Honor; objection. 18 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 19 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 20 As a high priestess in Wicca, are there any 21 credentials passed out to witches, written --22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Are there any written documents passed out --Α. 25 In some traditions, yes. 0. 26 In your tradition is there a written document? 27 Yes. 28 In the Susan B. Anthony coven, if you know, is there

```
1
     any such credential?
           MRS. STEIN: Objection1 no foundation.
           THE COURT: No.
           MS. BUCKLEY: I said, "If you know".
           THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
                The witness may answer.
6
           THE WITNESS: I don't know. Our religion is similar to
7
     the congregation
           THE COURT: In other words, you don't know if they give
p
     out any documents on the Susan B. Anthony coven?
10
                Just answer that question yes or no.
11
           THE WITNESS: I do not know.
12
           'rHE COURT: You need not volunteer any more statements.
13
                You may proceed.
14
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
15
           0.
                Now, are there any other methods of recognizing
16
     high priestess or witches than credentials?
17
           Α.
                 Yes.
18
                 And what are those means of recognizing a sister
            О.
19
     high priestess, if you will describe to this Court?
20
                 It is one of the psychic senses that we are trained
21
     to develop to help us to recognize each other. There are also
22
     certain signs, words, symbols, other things that identify us
23
     to each other
24
            MRS. STEIN: Move to strike, your Honor.
25
            THE COURT: Well, the answer may remain on what something
26
     may or may not be based on.
27
                 The objection's overruled.
28
```

```
1
           MS. BUCKLEY: By way of laying a foundation for my next
2
     line, your Honor.
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
4
                Ms. Hclrlow, by profession you are a mathematician;
           O.
     is that correct?
           A.
                Yes.
                Now, do you use the same logic or methods in your
7
     field of mathematics as you do with your religion?
8
           Р..
                No.
9
10
           MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor; irrelevant.
           THE COURT: Well, the answer may stand.
11
                Objection's overruled.
12
           THE WITNESS: No.
13
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
14
                So your religious belief is based on something else
15
     is that correct?
16
                Yes.
           Ä.
17
                And what is your religious belief based on?
           MRS. STEIN:
                        Objection, your Honor. It's irrelevant as
19
     to what her religious belief --
20
           THE COURT: Objection sustained«
21
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
                Now, you said earlier that one of the ways that
23
    witches recognize each other is through psychic means, through
24
     symbols, words, and the like?
25
           A.
                Yes.
26
                 Now, when you met Z Budapest face to face, did you
27
     recognize her as a high priestess through those means?
28
```

MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor1 no foundation.

1

```
THE COURT: Objection sustained.
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I approach the bench?
           THE COURT: No. The court has made its ruling. The
    objection is sustained.
5
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to make an offer
6
    of proof on the record.
7
                (The following proceedings were had
8
                at the bench.)
9
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, my offer of proof is that, if
10
    given the opportunity, this woman would testify that she is a
11
    h, igh priestess in a religious organization r1nd that her religiou,
12
    organization claims to have certain kinds of powers to recognize
13
    each other, and that she, using those techr: jques, recognized the
14
    defendant as a fellow high priestess. Now, I know of no otbf::';;r
lfi
    way to lay a foundation for that information.
                                                    She has testiiied
16
    as a high priestess that this is their method and their system
17
    of recognizing each other; and you are, you know, not perrnittiw:1
18
    that information to come in. And I think it is prejudicial
19
    because I know of no other way to prove that Z Budapest, other
20
    than through her own words, is recognized as a witell. And we
21
    have here another woman who is a director of a national
22
    organization who has been attempting to testify that she is
23
    recognized nationally as a witch. And you're not permitting
24
    I don't know any other way to get this in.
25
           THE COURT: Mrs. Stein?
26
           MRS. STEIN: Well, there is no way. I mean, this is, I
27
     think, something totally nebulous.
28
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

1fi

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: All I can see is her testimony -- some power hit her that the other woman was a witch, and therefore that's why she believed it. And I don't think that is sufficient testimony.

MS. BUCKLEY: Well, your Honor, then you are not understanding witchcraft. And that is -- my feeling is that, regardless of what you or I believe about witchcraft t.hat. witches believe that this is the way they recognize each other; and, if that is their belief, we can not go ahead and impress upon them our Christian-Judaic beliefs that you have to do it by getting a certificate of the Pope.

THE COURT: \\nether I'm a witch or not isn't the iBsue. I'll continue this case until Monday morning for you to come up with so, ne authority that this witness is allowed to give that opinion. And I'm ruling on the law. It has nothing to (: o with my opinions on witchcraft.

MS. BUCKLEY: We've had testimony that witchcraft is a religion. And I don't know how, other than by having high priestesses, come in and tell yot.. what it's all about -- there:

#### --no-bo ks and Bibles.

----T RT: 'What is her foundation? It's only that something hits her that the other woman was a witch. And I don't think that is sufficient.

MS. BUCKLEY: That is not what she said. She testified, your Honor, that in correspondence -- that their rituals were similar; tha their beliefs were similar.

THE COU11T: She has not testified to that.

She did, your Honor. MS. BUCKLEY:

THE COURT: There has not been any testimony about rituals involving Z Budapest, the defendant. She says there has been some correspondence over the magazine because the defendant liked the magazine. Then something hit her, and she said therefore she's a witch. And that's the only testimony you have presented in laying a foundation. And is the objection that Mrs. Stein has raised.

1.5

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, if I may review the testimony, she testified that there was personal correspondence between them on religious matters and on their philosophy, and that it was on the basis of that that she recognized  ${\bf Z}$  as a witch.

THE COURT: I don't believe she went into that. There was testimony, and part of it was stricken but the main testimony I heard in correspondence had to do with the magazine. She said there are unpublished letters. And I have no idea whether they're supposed to be published or what.

MS. BUCKLEY: Than let me go into that with her, because my understanding of what she said was they were personal letters. And, when you asked, was it about the magazine, she said no, your Honor; these were unpublished letters. My understanding of her saying that was that they were personal letters between ther.1. Your understanding perhaps was that she sent them to the magazine. I can clarify that if

THE COURT: I said letters about the magazine, and the woman said yes. That's why -- they apparently sent the magazine to her; "what do you think of it?" and she apparently wrote back and said, "It's great". "Oh. You're a witch."

Now, perhaps I misunderstood the testimony but --

1 Mrs. Stein? MRS. STEIN: Your Honor is correct. 3 MS. BUCKLEY: I beg to differ with you. If that's your interpretation of what she said, then I must re-ask those questions. 6 THE COURT: Okay. You may. 7 (The following proceedings were 8 had in open court.) BY MS. BUCKLEY: 9 To clarify some of your earlier testimony, 10 Ms. Harlow, you stated that you had received some correspondence 11 with regard to Nemeton from Ms. Budapest; is that correct? 12 A. I believe I said that it was her reading of our 13 14 magaziLe that initiated our personal correspondence. Q. Now, this personal correspondence between you, was that about the magazine? 16 No. 17 What was the personal correspondence about? 18 She gave me her personal views of some of the 19 theology mentioned in the magazine. I replied with my 20 21 personal views on these and other issues; and a personal correspondence was begun from that point in which we shared our 22 religious views and our attitudes and exchanged information 23 about our practices and beliefs. 24 So from these letters in which you exchanged 25 information about your practices and beliefs and your religious 26 practices and beliefs, you formed an opinion 27 Α. 'l'hat's correct.

1 THE COURT: How long a period of time did this 2 correspondence occur between you and the defendant? THE WITNESS: About a year. THE COURT: Is that up until now, or when did you first contact the defendant in writing or did she first contact you? 5 THE WITNESS: About a year ago, as best I remember. THE COURT: And for the past year you've been carrying on 7 8 correspondence regularly? THE WITNESS: Yes. q THE COURT: You may continue. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 11 And as a result of this correspondence and exchnnJa 12 of information about practices and beliefs, did you fo::rm ,m 13 opinion as to whether Z Budapest was a witch? 14 MRS. STEIN: Objection no foundation, your Honor. 1.5 THE COURT: How long after the letters did you first 16 meet her? From when you first received a letter, when did you 17 first meet her? 18 THE WITNESS: I met her two days ago when I came down her < 19 for the trial. 20 BY MS. BUCKLEY: That was the first time you met her? 22 That's right. 23 When did you form your opinion, if any, THE COURT: 24 regarding her? 25 THE WITNESS: I suppose, shortly after I received the first letter from her. 27 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, on the basis of the answer to 28

THE COURT: No. Just one moment.

The objection is overruled.

The witness may answer.

The weight, if any, is to be given the trier of fact. The trier of fact is to determine the weight, if any, of the testimony.

### BY MS. BUCKLEY:

1fi

- Q. What was the opinion you formed?
- A That the defendant and myself were priestesses of the same religion.
  - Q. Thank you.

Now, are there any procedures in your religion for dealing with high priestesses who misuse their powers?

MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. But I think we can limit this area without branching off on another tangent.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: The ethics of our religion are very stringent. If we have reason to believe that any witch, much less a priestess is misusing, doing what we call psychic malpractice, exploiting the public, for example, or any other such matter, we would first try to talk to the person; and, if persuasion was unsuccessful, we would attempt to make it publicly known that we disassociated ourselves from that persoz so that that person's actions would not bring discredit on the

```
entire religion.
1
            MS. BUCKLEY: I have no further questions, your Honor.
2
            THE COURT: Mrs. Stein, do you have any cross-
3
      examination?
            MRS. STEIN: Well, unless --
5
                 People would renew the motion to strike the
6
      testimony of this witness as being without foundation. She
7
      formed the opinion --
R
            THE COURT: Well, the Court has made it's ruling.
9
      agrument can be made to the trier of fact.
10
            MRS. STEIN: I do have some cross-examination, your
11
      Honor.
12
            THE COURT: I can't hear you.
13
            MRS. STEIN: I do have some cross-examination, in that
14
      event.
                        Then you may begin your cross-examination at
            THE COURT:
16
      this time.
17
18
                             CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
      BY MRS. STEIN:
20
                 You said you live in San Francisco, Ms. Harlow?
21
                  No. I said the San Francisco Bay Area.
22
                  And you didn't meet the defendant until a few days
23
      ago; is that correct?
24
                  That is correct.
25
                  Do you belong to a similar group of the group as
26
      the defendant? Is that Nemeton? Is the defendant a member
27
      of Nemeton?
28
```

```
1
            A.
                 No.
                 Are you and the defendant a member of any mutual
2
            Q.
     groups?
3
                 We are members of the same religion.
                 Are you members of any groups bearing any particulax
5
      name, such as Nemeton, and so on?
6
                 We're in the process of building a state-wide
7
      organization which is not yet complete.
            MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being non-responsive.
9
            THE WITNESS:
                          No.
10
            THE COURT: Please just answer the question, Ms. Harlow,
11
12
      as asked of you by the attorney.
13
            THE WITNESS: No.
14
      BY MRS. STEIN:
                  So you are not a member of any mutual group.
            O.
                  These letters that you've been writing over the
16
      last year, approximately how many letters did you write?
17
                  Six or ten. I can't remember the exact number.
            A.
18
                  And how many did the defendant write?
            O.
19
                  About the same number.
            Α.
20
            Q.
21
                  And she initiated them?
            A.
22
                  Yes.
            0.
23
                  The letter writing?
            A.
24
                  Yes.
            Q.
2.5
                  And that was because of your magazine?
            A.
                  Yes.
26
                  And this is approximately one year ago in February
            Q.
2,
      of 1974 or April of '74?
28
```

```
1
                 I think it was March.
            A.
                 What does that thing mean that you have around your
2
      neck?
3
                 It is a symbol worn by witches, much as a cross is
      worn by Christians. It is a Pentacle. It's the primary
5
      symbol of our religion.
6
            THE COURT: And how would you describe that for the
7
      record?
8
            THE WITNESS: A Pentacle? Five-pointed star.
9
      BY MRS. STEIN:
10
            0.
                 Surrounded by a circle; is that correct?
                 Yes.
12
            A.
                 It's silver in nature.
            Q.
13
                 Mine is silver.
            A.
14
            Q.
                 And that means that you are a witch?
1[,
            A.
                 Yes.
16
            Q.
                 Does it mean you are a high priestess?
17
                 Not necessarily.
            A.
18
                 You stated that you had some training to be a witch.
            Q.
19
                 That's right.
            A.
20
                 Who trained you?
            Q.
21
                 You want his name?
            A.
22
                 Was it another witch?
            Q.
23
            Α.
                 Yes.
24
                 And it was one person?
            Q.
25
                 Yes.
            Α.
26
            Q.
                 And --
27
            THE COURT: was it a male, did you indicate?
28
```

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And where did that training take place? THE WITNESS: In San Leandro. BY MRS. STEIN: And he was the only that trained you to be a witch? 5 Q. He was my teacher. I also worked with the rest of 7 the coven, but they were not -- did not have the status of my 8 teacher. And what is his name? O. THE COURT: Excuse me just one moment. 10 There will be no standing in the audience. If there 11 are no seats, I would have to ask the people to please go 12 outside. Fire regulations require it. BY MRS. STEIN: 1 4 What is the name of this male witch? 0. 1D He's known in the Craft as Lono. A. 16 And is he a witch or a warlock? 0. 17 He is a witch. We do not recognize the term A. 18 "warlock". It was not our word. 19 You do not recognize the .word war.lock? 20 No. We do not use it. Α. 21 How long a period of time did it take you before 22 you became a witch? 28 A year and a day. 24 Is that a year and a day. Is that standard? Q. 25 In some traditions. 26 THE COURT: ow long have you been a witch? 2, THE WITNESS: Five years. 28

BY MRS. STEIN:

1

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

Q. Now, does this year and a day of training to be a witch apply to everybody that is being trained, or does it depend on how much you assimilate, or is it just a set period of a year and a day for everybody that is going through this training?

A. It varies with different witchcraft traditions. There are many different traditions.

- Q. I'm asking about yourself.
- A. My tradition? It varies,
- Q. So that some people, it might take a year and two days, or some people, it might take two years, or some people might be able to do it in six months? Is that what you're saying? Or is it always a year and a day, is what I'm saying,

A. I said it varies.

THE COURT: Could it be less than a year?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Up to 10 years or what?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Initiation happens whenever the teacher and the rest of the coven and the initiate feels that the time is right, that the initiate is ready.

### BY MRS. STEIN:

- Q. Is there some specific reason why you recall that yours was a year and a day?
- A. Our teachings describe that as the ideal way, and that's the way I wanted it.
- Q. So that most people, unless there is some exception, it takes a year and a day?

In my tradition. A. 1 0. Do you know anything about the tradition of the 2 defendant? I know she comes of a middle European tradition, that her teaching and training, comes from Hungary where she 5 was born. Do you know anything specific about her training, Q. 7 background, training and experience? 8 A. No. 9 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, on the basis of that last 10 answer, I move to strike; renew motion. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Buckley? 12 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. The witness did not say 18 she recognized her as a witch on the basis of her training but 14 rather on the basis of also about her practices, her religious 11. theology and their beliefs. And there has been no indication 16 that -- I mean, it would be like saying you can't recognize 17 another mathematician unless you know which school they went 18 to. 19 THE COURT: The objection's overruled. 20 You may continue, Mrs. Stein. 21 BY MRS. STEIN: 22 So you know nothing of her training or background 28 background, training or experience of being a witch? 24 All I know is from observing the results of that Α. 25 training. 26 But you know nothing directly about her; is that O.

correct?

1 How she was trained? No. A. 2 By the way, what did you discuss in these letters 3 that transpired between you? We discovered that we worshiped the same Goddess, Α. we celebrate the same holidays; and we have the same feelings about nature and respect for the earth. And we discovered some differences in emphasis which we found fascinating to explore together. Do you have a lot of correspondence --O. Yes. Α. 10 -- that you engage in? 11 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you write to many other witches all over the country? 14 A. Yes. 16 And, with respect to your correspondence with the 16 defendant, does your correspondence with the defendant have 17 any particular significance, as distinguished from your 18 correspondence with any of the other people that you 19 correspond with? Is there anything different -- was there 20 anything different about your correspondence with the 21 defendant? 22 Your Honor, I don't know how to answer that 23 question. Each person is different. 24 THE COURT: Well, I think the question is: With your 25

You formed an opinion that you have given us. Was her correspondence any different than anybody else that

correspondence with the defendant, --

26

2i

writes to you? 1 THE WITNESS: No. In -- if I understand your meaning 2 correctly, I write to many witches, and we all write about 3 things to each other. BY MRS. STEIN: Q. Same things? 6 Same kinds of things, yes. THE COURT: Do you usually form your opinion whether 8 somebody else is a witch merely by writing them, or do you 9 usually have to see them personally and observe them? 10 THE WITNESS: Both. We talk to each other a lot, and 11 we --12 THE COUJ1T: No. Just -- is your usual practice to form 13 an opinion based on people writing, or do you usually have to 14 see them and observe them? 15 THE WITNESS: At first, it was by -- to begin with, I've 16 written to more people than I've met. But as I meet them, 17 thtm 4t goes from there. 18 THE COURT: Well, I think you're just notanswering the 19 question. 20 THE WITNESS: I'm having trouble understanding it. 21 THE COURT: When people write to you, is that your normal 22 basis of determining if they are a witch, or do you prefer to 23 see them in person and form that opinion? 24 25 THE WITNESS: The usual way I determine someone is a

THE COURT: Mrs. Stein, you may continue.

witch is if they are vouched for by someone I know personally

26

27

28

to be a witch.

MRS. STEIN: On the basis of that and the total testimon<sub>1</sub>
of the witness, I renew my motion, your Honor, that there's
no foundation for this witness's opinion. She based it, she

said, on the first letter that she wrote.

THE COURT: What exactly, Ms. Harlow, was the basis of your opinion regarding the defendant? What was everything you considered, and at what point did you first determine that she was a witch in relation to the first time you heard from her?

THE WITNESS: On the basis of the first letter I received from her, I concluded that she claimed to believe as I believed and to practice and worship as I practice and worship. Further letters which asked deeper questions and elicited answers and further questions tended to convince me that her claim was justified. Further than that, two witches whom I trust completely in their judgment and their integrity vouched for her to me who had met her personally.

THE COURT: The motion to strike is denied. The answer will remain.

You may continue, Mrs. Stein.

### BY MRS. STEIN:

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

1 4

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

2'i

- Q. Now, isn't it possible that anybody could write you a letter and claim to be a witch?
  - A. Yes.
- 0. And on what basis do you differentiate a letter received from somebody who would be a non-witch as compared and contrasted to a witch?
  - A. One way is to ask everybody else if they've ever

heard of her. And, if everybody says no, then we try to find 1 out more information. MRS. STEIN: Well, I renew my motion, your Honor, because her opinion now is not only based on one letter but it's just based on hearsay, and it's unreliable. THE WITNESS: You asked me if I got a letter from 6 someone I never heard of. 7 THE COURT: Just one moment, please, Ms. Harlow. The motion to strike is denied. The answer will -the witness's opinion may stand. 10 BY MRS. STEIN: 11 Are you on the editorial staff of this Nemeton 12 magazine? 13 A. Yes. 14 Do you go by your first name, Allison? 11. That's correct. A. 16 Your last name is not used? 17 Α. Never. 18 Is there any reason for that? 19 Yes. A. 20 And what is that reason? 21 Many of us feel somewhat vulnerable because of the Α. 22 image our religion has received to the public at large and 23 prefer to keep somewhat hidden. In deciding to come and 24 testify, I have made the choice to reveal name and my place of 25 employment. It's nota choice we make lightly and it's not a 26 choice made by many of us. 27 How many hours a day did you spend being trained in 0 28

being a witch by Lono?

A. I met with him regularly for about four hours once a week; but in between I was given many exercises to perform.

I was assigned many books to read, and found myself spending a rather significant portion of my time in my studies for the Craft.

Q. And what did he teach you?

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, at this point I believe that question of religious practices should be curtailed, if not kept out of the trial altogether. But I would at least ask that that be curtailed somewhat. The religious practices of witches, as I understand them, are somewhat akin to Masonic practices and, as such, are not lightly aired to the public.

THE COURT: The objection's overruled.

The witness may answer.

THE WITNESS: Primarily, I was taught the traditions and legends and books of our theology, our tradition, our practice. I studied a great deal of anthropology, not to obtain credentials but to learn what the practices of other pagans had been and are now. I was taught how to use my own psychic gifts and how to train thPr.i so that they would become more reliable. And I learned to know when they were reliable and when they weren't.

BY MRS. STEIN:

- Q. i::J.lat psychic gifts do you possess?
- A. The usual ones.

THE COURT: What are the usual ones?

THE WITNESS: Okay. A fairly reliable sense of hunch.

Sometimes, say, I'm driving, and I suddenly get an impulse to slow down, and I slow down; and a moment later something happens that could have led to an accident had I not slowed down. I think most drivers who survive driving on the freeways have had that experience.

I'm meeting for the first time. Sometimes I can look in a bowl of water and meditate and suddenly see insights about my own life or something about a friend that I can share and help with.

Sometimes I can give spiritual counseling and guidance to my people if they ask for it. We never interfere.

What else? I have been taught the knowledge of divination, but personally I'm not very good at it so I don't practice it.

# BY MRS. STEIN:

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

В

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

2,

28

- Q. What is that?
- A Divination?
- Q. Yes.

A Being able to see the patterns so you can see what is coming if you don't change it, foretelling.

Patterns of what?

- A. Patterns of reality; I suppose, what you've been calling fortune telling. But I don't do it because I'm not very good at it. I'm trying to train myself to be better at it.
  - Q. And you are a high priestess, I take it?
  - A. Yes.

1 Of the highest type? Q. 2 No. I didn't say that. Α. 3 Q. Or a high priestess in the national organization? 4 Α. Yes. Have you been trained to predict the future? I, have been taught the techn: iquesby which one is able to do so. I am not very good at them. I am a beginner 7 in that area. I am more skilled in other areas. 0. And so then, as far as you're concerned, it doesn't work? 10 It does work. A. 11 12 Q. Does it work as far as you're concerned? 13 Yes. 14 Q. Can you do it? A. Hi Sometimes, a little bit, not enough to guarantee or feel secure in offering this kind of help. If someone 16 comes to me for this kind of help, I send them to someone 17 who's more competent than I am. 18 Such as a psychologist or psychiatrist or a 19 20 marriage-family counselor or a medical doctor? 21 I'm not talking about these kinds of things. O. Oh. To another witch? A. Yes. Now, these things that you've illustrated as being your supernatural gifts, such as driving along and slowing 25 down, and so on, and certain intuitive feelings that you have, 26 and looking into a bowl of water for your spiritual guidance,

aren't those really things that all of us encounter in everyday

1	life?	
2	A	Exactly.
3	Q.	And so how does being a witch differentiate you from
4	anybody els	se?
5	A.	I have made a commitment to the Goddess, and that's
6	what makes	me different from people who do not serve her.
7		So the only difference, then, is that you are
8	committed t	to a Goddessi is that true?
9	A.	Not the only difference.
10	Q,	Well, the basic one.
11	A.	The basic difference.
12	Q,	And what is that Goddess's name?
13	Α.	She has a thousand names. She's been called many
14	things, mar	ny times and places.
Hi		It's no specific Goddess?
16	A.	Many specific Goddesses. I said I was a polytheist.
17	Q.	There's a lot of Goddesses, then?
18	A.	Whether they're all aspects of one thing or
19	separate things, I don't know, and I don't think it matters.	
20	I think it	's all one anyway.
21	Q.	Well, just so that I understand you, you believe in
22	a group of	Goddesses or one Goddess in other words, you
23	don't beli	eve in one Goddess that has any particular name?
24	A.	Let's say, one Goddess with many aspects, and the
2ii	names refe	r to her aspects.
26	0	And how many are there?
27	A.	More than I'll ever know. Does anyone ever know al:
28	of reality	?

1 Now, do you claim to have any powers such as -you said you were not too good at predicting the future; is 3 that correct? Do you have any powers about summoning departed 4 spirits? 5 Never dare try it. Α. Is that a part of your witch training to be a witch, 7 to do that? 8 I don't know any witch who'd try it alone. If we had to, we would do it in a group. 10 And you believe that in a group you could swnmon a 11 departed spirit? 12 I know it. A. 13 0. You know it? 14 A. I've been part of a group that did it. And whose departed spirit did you summon? Q, 16 I don't know the name. It was a murder victim. 17 We were trying to find the name of the murderer. 18 And did you succeed? O. 19 It was not clear. We summoned the spirit but we were not able to understand completely the message. I don't 21 know the details. I was a participant in this group. 22 23 So you don't know if yo were successful or not? 24 I don't believe the murderer has been convicted. I'm not sure. 25 How did you exactly go about doing this? 26 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I don't see what relevance this 27 has to our trial. 28

THE COURT: The objection's overruled.

The witness may answer.

THE WITNESS: I really don't remember. I was in trance at the time. I was in a light trance at the time, and I don't remember how the priestess did it. I just know it was there.

#### BY MRS. STEIN:

1

5

10

11

12

13

14

Hi

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. So you don't remember what happened; is that right?
- A I think we called the name of the person. What happened is the spirit selected a blind woman as his medium, and suddenly she was seeing through -- he was seeing through her dead eyes. It's one of the most overwhelming experiences

  I've ever been a part of1 and it's nothing I would want to undertake because I don't think -- I think I will have to spend years of study before I'll be ready to attempt something of that kind.
- Q. But you feel that, if you underwent enough study that you could, for instance, make a blind person see and summon the dead, and so on and so forth?
- A. Our religion teaches us that, once we do all of these things, then a lot of the knowledge has been lostg We're all trying to recover it.
  - Q. And once you learn, you can do that?
- A Whether I could do it I don't know. We're working to try to find a way to do it.
- Q. And there are people who have studied enough who ca
- A. Not to my knowledge. No one has yet recovered that secret.

1 The secret of summoning departed spirits? Q. 2 A. No. The secret of healing the blind. That's what 3 I thought you were asking about. How about summoning of departed spirits? 5 Yes. I witnessed such an experience, so I know there Α. 6 are those who can do it. You were in a trance? 8 A. Yes. So you feel, if you had enough study, that you could 9 summon the departed spirits? 10 Whether I could? Perhaps. 11 Α. And you could predict somebody's future? Q. 12 Α. I can do it a little bit but not very well. I know 13 people who are much better at it. 14 By the way, this Lono that trained you, what 1[. training did he have? 16 Hostly from his grandmother who was a witch and 17 trained him from his childhood. And he has very remarkable 18 gifts. 19 Do you have any background training and experience 20 in the subject of psychology, sociology, psychiatry or 21 marriage and family counseling? 22 Courses in college, reading, in all those fields Α 23 extensively and discussions with professionals in those 24 fields. 25 Do you hold any licenses in any of those fields? 26 A. 27 No. 28 Q. Now, is selling of services of a witch -- is that a

Many ministers of many faiths offer their services

2.8

for nothing. Many physicians also treat people without money, 1 without pay. THE COURT: Could a person go in and get a reading for free? THE WITNESS: From me? I don't do readings. 5 THE COURT: Well, from witches you're associated with, 6 could a person come in and get a free tarot card reading? 7 THE WITNESS: That's up to the individual witch. I 8 9 wouldn't presume to know how they -- each of them do this. THE COURT: Well, is it a tenet of religion or against 10 a tenet of religion, or not applicable, to require that 11 \$10.00 be paid for a reading of cards? 12 THE WITNESS: It's not applicable. 13 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mrs. Stein. 14 BY MRS. STEIN: So payment is not applicable? It's not a part of O. 16 your religion? 17 The question of payment is not a tenet of the A. 18 religion. 19 Now, is your religion or witchship, or whatever 20 you want .to call it -- is it similar to that of the 21 defendant's? 22 Jt Yes. 23 Q. 24 And are her -- are you familiar with the tenets of her witch organization? 25 Yes, I think -- I believe so. A. 26 Q. And are they the same as yours? 2, A. In major respects, yes; in minor respects, no. 28

There is one significant difference.

THE COURT: What is that?

THE WITNESS: The defendant's coven is an all-women coven. The groups I work with involve both men and women.

This is what -- one of the things I meant earlier when I referred to the considerable variety amongst different brarches of the Craft.

### BY MRS. STEIN:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

rn

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

(). And insofar as, for instance, the basic policies regarding counseling, and so on, her religion has no tenet or requirement for payment either, does it?

A. Our religion does not make any statements about payment, except that we may not sell our teaching for money. We may not tell someone "If you give me money, I will initiate you into the Craft." This we may not do. Whether we put our skills at the service of people for money or not for money is our individual choice.

That is not a requirement of any type of witch religion that you kno.w of, is it, payment?

A. Not to my knowledge.

MRS. STEIN: No further questions.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MS. BUCKLEY: No, your Honor.

'I'HE COURT: You're excused at this time.

Ladies and gentlemen, considering the lateness of the hour, the rather detailed testimony we've had today, we'll be in recess until Monday morning at 9:00 o'clock.

I admonish you during this recess period you must

not converse among yourselves nor with anyone on any subject connected with the case or the trial thereof. Also you're not to form or express any opinion thereon until the entire cause is finally submitted to you. Further, during this weekend period, it is improper for you to carry on any individual investigation of the facts or to visit any location where an event allegedly occurred. And also I'd advise you, do not read any newspaper accounts of this trial. Do not listen to any radio accounts of this trial. Do not watch any television accounts of the trial. The only thing you're to concern yourselves with once you get in to the jury room is the testimony from the witness stand and the instructions given you by the Court.

(An adjournment was taken until Monday, April 14, 1975.)

2,

1 2

С

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, APRIL 14, 1975; 9:00 A.M. 2 --000--THE COURT: In the matter of Zsuzsanna Bartha, the record will reflect the 12 jurors, two alternates are present. 5 Defendant is present with counsel. The City Attorney is 6 present. 7 We will resume the People's case. 8 The witness may resume the stand, be advised that 9 he is still under oath. 10 11 JOSE ALCANTARA; 12 recalled as. witness by ili.e Pe9ple, having l:lee n previ9uly 13 'duly sworn; resumed. the s.tand a d testified fu rther as follows' 14 THE COURT: Are you going to do the cross-examination? 15 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 19 Q. Sergeant Alcantara, you had testified that you were 20 on the bunco squad for six years; is that correct? 21 That • s correct. A. 22 What does the term "bunco" ean? Q. 23 A. Deceit. 24 Q. And isn't it true that the bunco squad basically 25 investigates those cases where people have been robbed of 26 money or property by some form of trick or game or literally 27 a con game being run on them? 28

1 Α. Well, not robbed but -- yes, for the other. 2 Well, in other words, they had money or property Q. 3 taken from them with their consent which was obtained by some kind of trick or game? A. Yes. And you had stated that you had investigated some two to three hundred cases of this type of fraudulent activity 7 in connection with fortune telling? That's correct. A. 9 10 Now, these two or three hundred investigations, 11 do they come to you because the person had been taken for 12 money or property? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. So that what they were really in complaining about 15 was the fact that they had lost their property, not the manner in which it ha been done? 16 17 A. Yes. 18 Now, of these two, three hundred arrests, that's just in Los Angeles City; is that correct? 19 That's correct. 20 A. 21 And that's over this six-year period? Q. 22 Five. A. 23 Q. Five-year period. 24 So you would say you have an average of 40 to 50 of these cases a year? 25 That are brought to my attention, yes. A. 26 Q. 27 That are brought to your attention. Now, in these cases

1 Of these two to three hundred cases, how many 2 actually went to prosecution, if you know? 3 HRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor; irrelevant. 4 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I approach the bench for one -- I hate to do this. I know it's dragging the trial on, 6 but there is a reason for this, your Honor. 7 (The following proceedings were had 8 at the bench.) MS. BUCKLEY: The purpose of the question, your Honor, has to do with the question of discriminatory enforcement and 11 what I am leading to is the fact that these cases were 12 prosecutions · under the Penal Code and for fraud, and that my 13 client, who has not been accused of any of these things, is 14 being discriminated against because there are a lot of others--15 for instance, when I introduced the telephone directory, I'm 16 going to show that his prosecutions and investigations have 17 been based on actual fraud and deprivation, and none of that 18 is available here in our case. 19 MRS. STEIN: It's irrelevant to the issues. That's why 20 I dismissed Count I, not to muddy up the issue. 21 THE COURT: Ms. Buckley says they're arguing 22 discriminatory law enforcement. 23 Are you trying to find out how many people, say, 24 under the Fleming- Daniel Gray case. 25 How many people in such a period of time have been 26 charged with this Section? 27 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes. 28

• •

THE COURT: I believe, under Fleming Daniel Gray, you could ask that. That involved the Municipal Code section in an argument of discriminatory enforcement. There was a question in a certain period of time how many people had actually been charged under what might be termed an obscure code section.

I think you can ask that. I don't think we have to to details of what happened in every case. He may say no one's been charged with a fortune telling statute, or maybe five hundred people have been charged with it. But, I think, at least on the claim of the defense of discriminatory enforcement, she can ask how many people have been charged with this section, and I believe the question may be asked.

But I think you can start broadening to such an extent, we'll be off on a tangent.

(The following proceedings were had in open court.)

## BY MS. BUCKLEY:

- Q. Now, Sergeant, in those two to three hundred investigations, how many, · if you know -- how many of those wound up being prosecuted for the Municipal Code Section 43.30 alone?
  - A. I'd say, a majority of them.
- Q. And they were not prosecuted, then, under the Penal Code Section 332?
  - A. Not the majority.
  - $\it{Q}$ . Have you kept records of that?

MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant, your Honor.

...7

```
1
            THE COURT: Well, the witness may answer that question.
2
                 Objection's overruled.
3
            THE WITNESS: I keep a file of arrest reports and crime
     reports, and I am usually contacted by the investigating
5
     officers; and I know more or less what's going on with each
     case.
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
8
            O.
                 Including what the actual filing against them is?
            Α.
                 That's correct.
10
                 Do you know how many prosecutions -- excuse me.
11
     Do you know how many convictions actually occurred out of those
     which went to trial?
12
13
           HRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor; irrelevant.
14
            THE COURT: Objection sustained.
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
15
16
           Q.
                 Out of these two to three hundred investigations,
     how many involved actual fraud?
17
18
           MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant and also calls for a
     conclusion.
19
20
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
21
                 If you know.
           O.
22
           THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
                 The witness may answer.
23
24
           THE WITNESS: I would say they all involved fraud.
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
25
26
                They all involved the taking of property by false
     pretenses?
27
28
           MRS. S'I'EIN: Objection, your Honor. That calls for
```

MS. BUCKLEY: Well, your Honor, the term "false pretense" has been thrown around on direct by the City Attorney and this

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

It was gone into somewhat on direct, but I think if w go much in this area we'll be going into legal conclusions. You're asking the witness for legal conclusions which I don't think he's in a position to testify.

He may answer that question if he knows the answer. THE WITNESS: The question was, most of them were theft or false pretense?

### BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Q. Money obtained from them or property obtained from them under false pretenses.

> If I may explain false pretense --Yes.

Whenever there is money taking by false pretense, they file a theft charge, either 484 or Grand Theft, 487.1. If there's no false pretense involved and just a prediction of the future or a violation of 43.30 LAMC, then 43.30 is filed.

Q. NO'w, when you talked about false pretenses earlier in your direct examination, -- that term is in fact included in the state statute which covers fortune tellinge

HRS. STEIN: Objection; calls for a legal conclusion of the witness.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

28

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

by

BY MS. BUCKLEY: 1 Now, you have been asked to testify as an expert on Q. 2 fortune telling. I should like to go over your expertise 3 again, if I may. 4 You said that you had attended one class at UCLA on 5 gypsies? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 8 Q. Have you attended any other classes? 9 A. No. 10 Q. How long a period did that class take or cover? How many class sessions were there? 11 It was two three-hour class sessions a week for a 12 semester. 13 For a semester. And that would be approximately Q. 14 A. Three units, I believe. 15 0. 16 That would be what, 16 weeks, 12 weeks? A. 17 12, I believe it was. Q. 18 Now, you said that duriI'!g that time there was some discussion of card reading. Was that discussion solely in 19 terms of the gypsies' card reading? 20 A. Yes. The class was on the historical survey of 21 gypsies. 22 Q. So that this was not in fact a class on fortune 23 telling? 24 No, it was not. Α. 25 Now, have you ever taken any classes on fortune 26 telling, as such? 27

No, I have not.

A.

Have you read any of the literature on tarot cards? 1 Q. Yes. I've skimmed some of it, yes. 2 And what do you rely on in your -- for your expertise 3 in tarot card reading? A. Two packs of tarot cards, the instructions that 5 come with them, and two books written on tarot cards. And what are those books? Q. 7 One, as I recall, The Tarot Cards of the Bohemians, Α. 8 and -- I don't recall the name of the other one. Q. Have you ever read any of the books on the tarot 10 by Doctor Arthur Edward Waite? 11 Not as I recall. Α. 12 Have you read any by Paul Foster Case? Q. 13 Not that I remember. A. 14 Q. Or Doctor W. Wynn Wescott? 15 A. No. 16 Have you read Levi's Dogma and Ritual of Q. 17 Transcendental Magic? Are you aware of the fact that there are 18 different interpretations and different methods of reading the 19 tarot cards? 20 A. Yes. 21 And that the Bohemian or gypsy fortune telling is 22 just one of the nur.iber of ways of reading the tarot cards? 23 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor. This witness is not 24 qualified as an expert in reading tarot cards. 25 THE COURT: The objection's overruled. 26 The witness may answer the question. 27 Did you recall the question? 28

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, the gypsy's just one way, has one way 2 of reading the tarots. 3 I believe that's an ambiguous question. I would 4 like to explain a little bit, just add a few words, that I 5 think that the reading of the tarot is up to each individual, 6 be it gypsy or any other person. 7 BY MS. BUCKLEY: Well, now, isn't it true that the tarot is 8 considered to be in fact a text book or book rather than just 10 cards? 11 The major Arcana is supposedly derived from a book. 12 Isn't it true that the tarot cards are supposed to be based on the Cabala which is the ancient Hebrew wisdom 13 14 known sometimes as the text book of ageless wisdom? I've never heard that. I've heard other -- or I've 15 16 read other parts. I don't remember what all of them -derivations were. 17 Now, are you aware, then, of the fact that the 18 Q. major .. rcana of the tarot deck in fact represents the Hebrew 19 20 alphabet, the names of the Hebrew alphabet? Yes, I've heard of that. A. 21 22 Q. And do you know where the name tarot comes from? 23 A. I don't recall right now. 24 Q. But you are aware of the fact that there is a large 25 body of information or knowledge around the tarot cards? 26 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor. "The question is vague and ambiguous. THE COURT: Did you understand the question? 28

```
1
            THE WITNESS: I think so, your Honor.
            THE COURT: Okay. You may answer.
3
            THE WITNESS: I believe that there are a lot of
4
      different studies on the tarot, if that's what you mean,
5
      different interpretations.
      BY MS. BUCKLEY:
6
7
            Q.
                 But, aside from the gypsy tarot or the Bohemian
8
      tarot, isn't it true that the tarot cards have a deep religious
      significance in these studies?
10
            MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor. It calls for
     conclusion.
11
            THE COUR'I': The witness may answer, if he knows.
12
            THE WITNESS: I know of no religious connection to the
13
14
      tarot.
     BY HS. BUCKLEY: ·
15
            Q.
16
                You know of no religious
17
                 I've never heard of any, no.
18
            Q.
                 Then you do not accept the fact that the Cabala was
     the -- one of the basic books of the Hebrew -- ancient Hebrew
     religion?
20
21
                 I don't know what the Cabala is$
22
                 So in fact you really don't know much about tarot
     cards?
            MRS. STEIN: Objection; argumentative, your Honor.
            THE COURT: Objection sustained.
2.5
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
26
                 Now, you say that you have read the instructions
     in the tarot cards.
28
```

1 Do the instructions in the tarot cards indicate that 2 the purpose of reading the tarot is for divination? 3 A. Yes, that's one of the purposes. Q. And for answering spiritual questions? Α. I didn't read that, no. 5 Q. You said you have two sets of cards. Which two sets 6 do you have, if you know? 7 A. One is the Marseilles version, and the other I don't remember. Q. Are you familiar with the deck which the defendant 10 has been using? 11 Which version is that? 12 O. Can you tell by looking? 13 A. No. 14 Q. In other words, you cannot tell by looking what that 15 particular tarot card deck is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. And if I told you that that was the Rider deck which 18 is the -- probably the most widely used in the United States, 19 would that refresh your memory? 20 MRS. STEIN: Objection 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 counsel's testifying, your Honor. MRS. STEIN: 23 'I'HE COURT: The witness has answered the question. 24 Objection's overruled. 25 MS. BUCKLEY: I believe he said no, your Honor. 26 THE COURT: The answer may stand. 27 28

1	BY MS. BUCKLEY:		
2	Now, the definition you gave us a definition.		
3	Would you mind repeating your definition of fortune telling?		
4	A. Fortune telling is a prediction of future events		
5	that will take place in a person's life.		
6	Q. And that's opposed to		
7	A. That is opposed to predicting future conduct of a		
8	person's life.		
9	Q. Now, the City Attorney asked you, I believe, what		
10	you would consider		
11	HS. BUCKLEY: Strike that, your Honor.		
12	BY MS. BUCKLEY:		
13	<b>Q</b> . On direct examination you were asked about a		
14	statement which Ms. Budapest made in reading the cards with		
15	respect to a trip to Florida.		
16	How would you characterize that statement about the		
17	trip to Florida?		
18	THE COURT: Are you asking, is that an event or conduct?		
19	BY MS. BUCKLEY:		
20	Q. Is that an event or is that conduct?		
21	A. In my opinion it's an event. It's something that		
22	the person will do in the future.		
23	Q. But isn't conduct exactly that, the doing of an		
24	act?		
25	MRS. STEIN: Objection; argumentative.		
26	THE COUR'L' No. I believe the witness		
27	Objection is overruled. The witness may answer.		
28	THE WITNESS: Conduct is the way a person will live his		

1 life or to conduct his life as the way he feels that he 2 should conduct his way of life on a day-to-day basis. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 3 So that, if she had said, "You will brush your 4 Q. teeth tomorrow morning", that would have been an event? 5 That is an event. 6 & Now, may I ask, that definition -- where you O. 7 obtained that definition? 8 & Case law. O. Do you know the case? 10 I believe --11 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor -- well, maybe be he 12 can answer. 13 THE COURT: I can't hear you, Mrs. Stein. 14 MRS. S'1'EIN: Well, I don't thing it's fair to ask this 15 witness about legal cases. He's not an attorney. 16 THE COURT: Well, the objection is overruled. He may 17 answer if he knows. 1.8 THE WITNESS: As I recall it's People versus Abdullah 19 El Saudi or something similar to that. I don't remember 20 exactly the correct citation. 21 BY MS • . BUCKLEY: 22 So that, under your definition, at least the way 23 you use it, anything at all that refers to something in the 24 future which is specific as opposed to philosophical would be 25 considered to be an event? 26 Yes. 27

THE COURT: Could you give an example of what might be

considered conduct as opposed to **an** event?

The Witness: Conduct would be, as I stated once before, that -- in a horoscope. The horoscope readings tend to guide your conduct or your way of life. They are advising the public or whoever, reads a horoscope that today is a day to take it easy and not make too many decisions: that you should be carefu...

Tomorrow might be a better day to make such a decision; that -- watch your P's and Q's.

Similar thing like that would be the conduct of the way the person should live his life that day.

THE COURT: Because the horoscopes don't say, "You're going to go to,, Florida tomorrow" or "You're going to inherit a million dollars tomorrow" or --

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: You may continue, Ms. Buckley.
BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Now, when you were asked on direct whether you had published an article, you said yes and then indicated that it was in fact going to be published in the next several months.

Have you in fact had any articles published as of this time?

- A. Other than newspaper interviews, no.
- Q. So that in fact you were doing a little bit of fortune telling when you said it was going to be published in the next couple of months?
- A. No. I was told by the editor that the a ticle was accepted and that it would be published between January and June.

But that is in fact a prediction of a future event.

k That was a fact that was supported by the editor of the magazine. It's something that he can support.

He can say that -- if I understand you, if certain people say certain things are going to happen in the future, that is just a statement of fact; but if a person who is reading a tarot card, or the tarot cards, says something, that in and of itself makes it fortune telling?

k Yes, because there is no fact or reason to support that person's statement.

If, in the hypothetical given to you, the officer had said that she was considering moving out of the state to Florida, and the fortune teller then said, "You will have a sudden change in a way of life", and that she then interprets that to show a move, do you consider that to be without any basis in fact?

THE COURT: Are you referring just to the quote?

MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, in the hypothetical.

BY MS. BUCKLEY:

In other words, we have a situation where a person comes in, hypothetically a person comes in to a fortune teller and says that she is considering a move out of state to Florida, and the fortune teller then reads the cards, and, incidentally, reads the person, and says, "The cards show that there will be a sudden change in a way of.) life and you are going to make a trip out of stata." Is that just plain fortune telling?

k Yes, if the fortune teller says that she will make

٠.

a trip, and if it's -- it's not today. If she's talking about tomorrow.

But the editor was talking about publishing your article tomorrow.

A The editor is capable of publishing the article.

That is, in other words, your belief that he is capable of publishing the article.

Is it not true that there could be an earthquake, that his machinery could blow.up, that he might drop dead?

A That's true.

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object to that.

THR'COURT: The answer may stand.

Ladies and gentlemen, please, no comments from the audience. This is a trial, and you're here as a member of the public. But if anything happens in the courtroom, the Court can order the courtroom cleared.

MS. BUCKLEY: May I have just one second, your Honor?
THE COURT: You may, Ms. Buckley.

MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you.

BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Now, on direct you were shown this white vial with a red.fluid in it -- excuse me; a transparent vial with a red fluid in it, and you were asked if you were familiar with the potion. And you are not; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q. However, your testimony, then, as I recall it and if I'm wrong I don't intend to be and I hope you do correct me. Your testimony, then, was that, while you're not

1 familiar with that particular potion, you know they are used 2 for false pretenses; that you know they are used in fortune telling, correct? That's correct, as I recall. Well, I have a question. You are an expert on 6 fortune telling. As an expert on fortune telling, should you not be familiar with the potions used by persons in the 7 practice of fortune telling? MRS. STEIN: Object, your Honor, to that question. THE COURT: The basis of the objection, Mrs. Stein? 10 MRS. STEIN: Well, he said the stuff doesn't work, so I 11 mean it's calling for pure speculation. 12 THE COURT: If I take your statement, then the witness 13 has to answer the question, if I take the statement you just 14 said. 15 And so the witness may answer, if he can. 16 THE WITNESS: I don't remember the question. 17 (The question was read by the reporter.) 18 THE WITNESS: I don't believe I should be familiar with 19 the potions, no. 20 THE COURT: In your study or in your training, any 21 expertise in this field, have you had any occasion to study 22 whether potions are used? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. Potions are used as well as other 24 items. They are represented to the subject of a reading for 25 one purpose or another.

TI-IE COURT: Ms. Buckley?

28

Τ	BI MS. BUCKLEI:
2	$\it Q$ . Now, you stated that the potions didn't work, is
3	that correct, or was I listening to the City Attorney?
4	You were listening to the City Attorney.
5	Q. All right. Did you it's my recollection that on
6	direct examination you expressed the opinion that these were
7	dangerous because they were not effective. Am I correct?
8	THE COURT: Are you talking about potions?
9	MS. BUCKLEY: Potions, yes. I'm sorry.
10	THE WITNESS: I believe I stated that they were
11	represented to do something they were not capable of doing
12	based on any fact or reason that I know of.
13	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
14	$arrho$ . All right. But $\mathrm{if}$ you don't know what the potions
15	were, then how can you know whether they work?
16	MRS. STEIN: Objection; argumentative, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: The witness may answer.
18	THE 't-'lITNESS: I know of no reason or fact that ··states
19	that these potions work, that any one of them works.
20	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
21	$\it Q$ . Are you familiar with Christian Science?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Are you familiar with any of the Pentecostal
24	religions which believe in faith healing?
25	A. No.
26	Q. Have you ever heard about Lourdes in France?
27	A. Yes.
28	Q. And, as I understand it, the waters $Of$ Lourdes heal

people; is this correct? 1 I believe that's what I've heard, yes. 2 3 Now, is there any reason why water should heal a person? 4 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your. Honor. This is getting a 5 little beyond the issues in this case. It's irrelevant. 6 THE COURT: Objection sustained. Perhaps it's 7 argumentative. 8 I think we can move on. 9 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 10 Now, in our hypothetical situation with the officer O. 11 who went to the hypothetical witch **Z** Budapest, the evidence 12 in fact showed that the officer did not use the potion, 13 correct? 14 MS. BUCKLEY: I'm sorry, your Honor. I didn't properly 15 word that. 16 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 17 evidence --. 18 If the THE COURT: Are you presenting a hypothetical, is that il, 19 20 of several parts? 21 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor, right. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 22 Under our hypothetical, if an officer went to a 23 24 witch and obtained a potion which she did not use, would you be able to tell whether or not that potion could do what it 25 was supposed to do? 26 27 If it was not used, no. Α. Thank you. 28 Q.

1 Thank you, your Honor. I have no further MS. BUCKLEY: 2 questions. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. And redirect, Mrs. Stein? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MRS • STEIN: 7 Sergeant Alcantara, would it really make any difference whether the potion was used or not? Α. No. 10 Q. And why is that? 11 Because it was represented as something else. It 12 was represented to the police officer as an item that would 13 accomplish something that it's not capable of accomplishing 14 based on any fact or reason known. 15 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I will ask that that be 16 stricken on the ground he has no knowledge as to whether or 17 not that potion can do anything. As part of his 18 THE COURT: The motion to strike is denied. That is his 19 opinion, and the jury will view it in that light. 2.0 BY MRS. STEIN: Now, you were asked on cross examination and on 22 23 direct examination questions regarding specific predictions of future events that you stated in your opinion were fortune 24 telling or prediction of a future event; divorce, move to 25 Florida, and so on. Do you base your opinion that this is fortune 27

telling on any particular book or document or article, or do

1 you base it on your total experience of five years in handling bunco, fortune telling cases? 2 I base it on the court cases that I've read and A. 3 their interpretations of what fortune telling is. Q. And do you also base it on your training and 5 experience 6 A. Yes. 7 -- as a police officer; is that correct? Q. 8 A. Yes. 9 And in the two or three hundred cases that you've O. 10 handled? 11 That's correct. A. 12 You said that, in the two or three hundred cases 13 that you've handled, they have involved fraud. Could you tell 14 the jury why? 15 MS. BUCKLEY: Objection, your Honor. This has been gone 16 over ad nauseam in the direct testimony. I do not think this 17 is proper redirect. 18 THE COURT: Well, I think we did cover quite a bit of 19 that on direct, and the objection is sustained. 20 BY MRS. STEIN: Q. Now, in the cases that you've read about, in your 22 training and in your experience, also in the two or three 23 hundred cases which you have handled, is it a pattern that 24 fortune tellers will use or try to use potions? 25 It might be potions in one case. It might be an 26 A. 27 egg in one case, or a tomato in another case. There are different items that are used.

```
1
                And do many fortune tellers use potions?
           Q.
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, this has all been gone over on
3
     direct.
           THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
     BY MRS. STEIN:
6
                Can you tell us what the purpose is -- why a
7
     fortune teller does use these items?
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, at this point I would like to
     make a motion to strike all of his testimony as an exper.:t
10
     witness on fortune telling on the grounds that he does not have
11
     the credentials on fortune telling; that he has attended one
12
     class on --
           MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, --
13
           THE COURT: The motion to strike is denied.
1 4
                 But, Mrs. Stein, I believe much of this we did
15
     cover on direct.
16
           MRS. STEIN: Okay. Then I have nothing further.
17
           THE COURT: Any recross, Ms. Buckley?
18
           MS. BUCKLEY: No, your Honor.
           THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
20
           MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor.
21
           THE COURT: Anything further from the People?
           MRS. STEIN: No . At this time, your Honor, the People
23
     would move for the admission of
24
           THE COURT: Please keep your voice up, Mrs. Stein.
25
           MRS. STEIN: At this point the People would rest and move
26
     for the admission of People's 1 through 11-A through P.
2.7
           THE COURT: Any objection, Ms. Buckley?
28
```

1 MS. BUCKLEY: No objection, your Honor. I think they're 2 irrelevant, but I have no objection. THE COURT: The items previously marked as People's 1 through 11, 11 collectively A through P, marked.for identification will be received in evidence as People's 1 through 11, 11 collectively A through P. The People rest at this point? 8 MRS. STEIN: The People rest. 9 THE COURT: The Defense may call their next witness. 10 11 DEFENSE 12 MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you, your Honor. At this point I 13 would like to call Ms. Marie Colaneri for a very limited 14 purpose of for the limited purpose of indicating that the 15 Sisterhood of the Wicca Inc. has been incorporated prior to this trial. 16 17 THE COURT: You may. 18 Mrs. Stein? 19 MRS. STEIN: Well, I don't know what the purpose is of 20 incorporating. Anybody can incorporate. THE COURT: Well, that is the law in this case -- under 21 22 43.31, I believe the defense is proper. But I would like to clarify just one point. 23 24 is the defendant's true name, so that the record shows because we have used several names. The Complaint at the 25 moment is under the name of Zsuzsanna Emese Bartha. 26 What is the defendant's true name? 27 :r--iS. BUCKLEY: Well, that is one of her names, y10L1r Honor, 28

```
1
      but her name that she uses and that we would have brought out
2
      when we put her on the stand is Z Budapest, a plain Z, and her
3
      last name is B-u-d-a-p-e-s-t. And all of her identification
4
      is in that name, but she was born Bartha. That's her married
5
      name.
6
            THE COURT: Her true name is Zsuzsanna Bartha but she's
      also known as Z Budapest?
7
            MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor.
8
            THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
9
                 You may call your next witness.
10
            MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you.
11
                Ms. Colaneri.
12
13
14
                              MARIE COLANERI,
15
      called as a witness by the Defense, having been sworn,
16
      testified as follows:
17
            THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please.
18
            THE WITNESS: Marie Colaneri.
19
            MRS. STEIN: Before she testifiesr may we approach the
     bench?
20
21
            THE.COURT: You may.
22
                 (The following proceedings were had at bench.)
23
            MRS. STEIN: I'm not sure, but the arrest was on 2-10-75;
24
      and if this is after the fact it would be irrelevant to that
     time.
25
26
            THE COUR'l': If what is? I don't even know what we're
27
     talking about.
28
           MRS. STEIN: The incorporation.
```

MS. BUCKLEY: The testimony will be that on December 24th Z approached her for the purpose of incorporating; that in fact she sent out the papers. They were returned to her for a clerical error, but that they have now been received and accepted by the Secretary of State. They were sent out prior to the arrest, your Honor.

MRS. STEIN: That's not the best evidence either. That's not certified or anything.

MS. BUCKLEY: I've got a copy of the letter of the office -- from the Secretary of State with its seal.

THE COURT: You're referring to the letter in my hand. It says the articles have not been approved for filing. So it's not been filed as yet.

MS. BUCKLEY: Well, it has been. She sent it back.

But what this indicates is that it was sent out prior to the hearing on this thing. And I will not introduce that if you don't want. All I want is Ms. Colaneri to say that she in fact prepared the papers and they have been sent to the State.

They in fact had been returned to the State; and all this indicates is they were sent at one point. They still kept her money in the account, and all she did was return the --

THE COURT: There's nothing to show that they actually have been incorporated, as of the date involved in the case.

MS. BUCKLEY: They have taken the steps. Besides, it doesn't matter if they were incorporated or not; but that is one indication

THE COURT: I have to agree with you. I don't think it really has any bearing.

1 Doesn't the exception also say, a voluntary 2 association or something? As of the day involved they were not 3 incorporated. So we're just getting off on another tangent. 4 MS. BUCKLEY: I'll withdraw it, then. 5 (The following proceedings were 6 had in open court.) 7 MS. BUCKLEY: At this time we would like to withdraw our 8 witness, Ms. Colaneri, on the grounds --.9 THE COURT: You may. 10 MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Defense may call their next witness. MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you. 12 13 Ms. Sherna Gluck. 14 15 SBERNA GLUCK, 16 called as a witness by the Defense, having been affirmed, testified as follows: 18 THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please. 19 THE WITNESS: Sherna Gluck. 20 21 DIRECT EXAMINA'1'ION 22 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 23 Q. Ms. Gluck, what is your occupation? 24 A. I'm a researcher and lecturer. 25 Q. And in what area do you do research and lecture? 26 A. Feminist History, primarily early 25, th Century. 27 Q. Now, do you know the defendant Z Budapest? 28 Α. Yes, I. do.

1 And in what connection do you know her? O. A. In our activities in the women's movement over the 3 past three years. We worked together on the speakers bureau and in various other activities within the women's movement. 5 Now, you then have been active with her for a 6 period of three years. Do you know anything about her 7 activities with regard to witchcraft and the religious or spiritual practice of witchcraft? 8 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor. This witness has not 10 been qualified as a witch, and expert in witchcraft. MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, you don't have to be a witch 11 to be an expert in witchcraft. 12 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: Can you restate the question, please? 14 THE COURT: Would you read ba.ck the question? (The question was read by the reporter.) .16 THE WITNESS: Well, what I know of is of her -- the 17 research that she has done and presented to other women, and 18 the ceremonies that she has performed, which I think are 19 spiritual in function, for various women's functions. 20 BY .t'J.S. BUCKLEY: 21 To your knowledge, have you participated in women's O. groups to which she lectured on witchcraft and the spiritual 23 aspects of witchcraft? 24 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor. This is irrelevant 25 to the issues in this case, whether or not she lectures, to 26 whether or not she told fortunes. 27

THE COURT: The objection is overruled, subject to a

motion to strike if it's not tied in shortly with the issues in the case.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I have been at meetings, at colleges, especially, where we were both on the same program speaking on different subjects, in which I heard her speak.

# BY MS. BUCI<LEY:

1.5

.19

Which colleges are you referring to?

MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor. This is irrelevant to the issues.

THE COURT: Ms. Buckley?

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, if I may, the question at hand is whether or not **Z** is in fact a spiritual or religious leader, and her -- a woman who has knowledge of the fact that she spoke at universities on these subjects is gennane in the issue.

THE counT: Mrs. stein?

MRS. STEIN: Well, your Honor, she hasn't been qualified as any she's -- calls herself a researcher, lecturer, and so on, in the feminist movement. I don't know what that has to do with whether or not the defendant told a fortune on February 2nd, 1975.

THE COURT: So far, this witness has not been asked to give an opinion, just what she has apparently observed in a speech being given; and I believe the objection will be overruled.

And the witness may answer.

THE WITNESS: There are three separate occasions that I can recite that I recall. One is in February of 1973, I

l,

```
1
     believe, or '4. Both Z and I were on a program at California
2
     State University Northridge. I preceded her on my subject,
3
     and then I stayed for most of her:talk. It was to a large
     group. It was on the history of women's knowledge or
     witchcraft. There were two other occasions in which -- I don't
5
     know if I would define it as a lecture. But anyway Z was asked
6
     by us, myself included, to perform a ceremony for us, in which
     she described the various things that she did. One of these
8
     was the August 26th, 1974 celebration of Women's Equality Day,
9
     a day declared by President Ford which celebrates the
10
     anniversary of women's suffrage. And Z was specifically asked
11
     to do the opening ceremony
12
            MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being hearsay.
13
14
            THE WITNESS: I was there.
            THE COURT: The witness may answer.
15
            .MRS. s•rEIN: Well, the part about she was asked --
16
            THE COURT: Well, --
17
            MS. BUCKLEY: I belie, , e the testimony, your Honor, was
18
     that she was one of the people who asked her.
19
            THE COURT: Were you a member of the group that was
20
21
     working on the program?
            THE WITNESS: Yes.
22
            THE COURT: To ask the defendant to appear at the
23
      ceremony?
24
            THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                There was a coalition of 20
25
      feminist groups in Los Angeles who planned the entire day
26
      including the program.
27
28
            THE COURT: Let's not go into any of that.
```

```
1
                Were you in the group that arranged to have the
2
     defendant appear at the program?
           THE WITNESS: Well, there were three people who
     specifically --
4
           THE COURT: Well, did you do it yourself, set up the
     arrangements? Please answer that.
6
7
           THE WITNESS: I did not make the specific arrangements,
     no, but I recommended her.
8
           THE COURT: But the defendant did appear at the ceremony?
           THE WITNESS:
                         Right.
10
11
           THE COURT: I think you can tell what happened at the
12
     ceremony or the function, whatever occurred on August 26th of
     last year.
13
           THE WITNESS: It was a large program, and it was opened
14
    by Z with her group called the Susan B. Anthony Coven. And the}
15
    performed a ceremonial function which in effect was to bless
16
     the occasion and bless the day and the group, and to --
17
           THE COURT: She gave like an invocation?
18
19
           THE WITNESS: Right.
           THE COURT: Proceed, Ms. Buckley.
           THE WITNESS: The third occasion, and I was not present
21
    there, was
           MRS. STEIN: Move to strike anything, if she wasn't there.
23
           THE COURT: Objection sustained.
24
    BY MS. BUCKLEY:
25
                Now, Ms. Gluck, are you very active in the women's
26
2.7
    movement?
           A.
                Yes, I am.
28
```

```
1
                 Are you aware of the reputation, if any, which Z
2
      has as a spiritual leader in that movement?
3
            A.
                 Yes, I am.
            MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object to her --
            THE COURT: Yes, Mrs. Stein?
5
            MRS. STEIN: There's no foundation for the opinion.
6
            THE COURT: I can't hear you.
            MRS. STEIN: No foundation.
8
            THE COURT: Of what?
9
            MRS. STEIN: No fomldation for her to give an opinion
10
      as to her reputation.
11
            THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
12
                 The witness may answer.
13
            THE WITNESS: I am very active in the Women's Center
14
      and on the staff of that Center.
15
            THE COURT: Please just answer the question. Do not
16
      volunteer any statements, Ms. Gluck.
17
      BY MS. BUCKLEY:
18
                 Do you know of the reputation of Z Budapest in the
19
     women's movement as a spiritual leader?
20
                 Yes, I do.
            Α.
21
                 And what is that reputation?
22
            A.
                 That reputation is very highly esteemed. Can I
23
     continue?
24
            THE COURT: What is that based on, or what do people
25
     say?
26
            THE WITNESS: Z i.s seen as somebody who has the kind of
27
     knowledge which helps to give women pride in their past, and I
28
```

```
1
       think that tilis is one of the important bases of her
  2
       reputations, what it does for women.
  3
       BY MS. BUCKLEY:
  4
              O.
                   Do people talk about her as a spiritual leader or
       high priestess of a witches coven?
  5
                   That's how she's referred to, yes.
  6
              MS. BUCKLEY: I think I have no further questions of
       this witness, your Honor.
  8
              THE COURT: Mrs. Stein, you may cross-examine.
  9
 10
                               CROSS-EXAMINATION
 11
       BY MRS. STEIN:
 12
                   Ms. Gluck, are you a witch?
              Q.
 13
              Α
                   Not that I know of.
 14
              Q.
 15
                   Is there a certain way that you can 'tell whether
       you are or you're not?
 16
                   I personally am not a religious individual.
- 17
                   Do you believe in witchcraft?
              Q.
 18
                   I believe in people having certain skills and
 19
       knowledge.
 20
              MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being non-responsive.
 21
              THE COURT: Objection sustained. Motion to strike is
 22
       granted.
 23
                   The jury's admonished to disregard the last answer
 24
        of the witness.
 25
       BY MRS. STEIN:
 26
                   Do you believe in witchcraft?
              0.
 2.7
              A.
                   I don't believe in any religion.
 28
```

1	Q. So then you do not believe in witchcraft?
2	A. Or any other religion.
3	THE COURT: Would you answer the question yes or no?
4	Do you believe in witchcraft?
5	THE WITNESS: Well, I don't I'm not sure what is
6	meant by: Do I believe in witchcraft. I believe that there is
7	a body of knowledge that is valid and important
8	MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being non-responsive.
9	THE COURT: Objection sustained. Motion to strike is
10	granted.
11	The jury is admonished to disregard the last answer.
12	BY MRS. STEIN:
13	Q. Do you believe in witchcraft?
14	A. I would have to say no, the way that you are
15	pursuing it.
16	Q. Now, your relationship with the defendant you
17	said that you knew her over the last three years.
18	How often do you see her?
19	A. Oh, probably once a week. In the past, perhaps more
20	frequently when we spoke together.
21	Q. what was the subject of your speech when you spoke
22	together?
23	A. On that particular occasion it was entitled Women,
24	Age and Generation.
25	Q. 'What is your educational background?
26	A. I have a master's degree in sociology.
27	Q. From where?
28	A. From UCLA.

1 Q. Are you employed anywhere? I am the director of the feminist history research Α. 3 project. Are you employed by anyone? Q. I am now working on the project. I have for two Α. 6 and a half years. We're in the process of looking for funding 7 again. Do you have a place of business of any kind? Q. 9 I have an office in my home. A. 10 Do you belong to any mutual groups with the Q. 11 defendant? Α. 12 Well, we're both involved at the Women's Center. 13 Q. What is the Women's Center? A. The Women's Center is a non-profit corporation in 14 15 Los Angeles, presently in Santa Monica, which is a place for women to come and engage in various activities. 16 17 Q. And what kind of activities? There are consciousness-raising groups; there are 18 Α. contact raps; there are social activities. There's a range of 19 activities for people to fit into. 20 Is this in a building of some kind? 21 Q. A. Yes, it is. 22 Where is it located? Q. 23 235 or -7 Hill Street. It's next door to the church A. 24 in Ocean Park. 25 Q. And you are the director of this? 26 No. I'm on the staff of the Women's Center. Α. Q. Do they conduct classes of an1 kind? 28

1	A.	Among other things, yes.
2	Q.	Now, are men allowed to go?
3	A.	There are some specific occasions, perhaps, on which
4	there migh	t be events open to men. For the most part, it is a
5	center onl	y for women.
6	Q.	Have you ever been to a Witchy Dance?
7	Α.	Are you speaking about a specific event?
8	Q.	I'm asking you, have you ever been to a Witchy
9	Dance?	
L O	A.	I don't believe so.
1	0.	Did you attend a Witchy Dance that took place on
L2	Saturday,	February 22, 1975?
.3	A.	No.
.4	0.	You do not believe in witchcraft, you said. Now,
.5	do you,bel	ieve that the defendant has any supernatural powers?
. 6	A.	I don't know that I believe that anybody has any
.7	supernatu	cal powers.
.8	0.	So then you do not believe that the defendant has
. 9	<b>any</b> supern	atural powers?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	In other words, she could not, for example,
22	predict a	future event; is that not correct?
:3	A.	No, I'm not sure that's true.
24	Q.	Well, that's something supernatural, isn't it?
25	A.	No, I'm not sure that that is.
26	Q.	Well, what would you consider supernatural?
27	A.	I'm not sure I can answer that.
	Q.	Do you think that the defendant can, for example,

1 bring spirits back from the dead? 2 No, I don't. 3 Do you think that there is anything supernatural in 4 these cards? 5 No, I don't. Do you think, for example, referring to this vial, 6 7 being People's 7, I believe --8 Do you believe that this vial has any power, the stuff in this vial 9 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness? 10-11 THE COURT: You may. 12 BY MRS. STEIN: 13 Would you-smell this, please? Do you believe that this has any powers over people, 14 this liquid? 15 If you will allow me to define what I mean by that. 16 Otherwise I can't answer it yes or no. 17 Well, do you believe that this has any powers over 18 people, this stuff, People's 7? 19 I would have to say yes and then explain what I 20 mean. 21 22 THE COURT: You may explain. 23 THE WITNESS: I think that most events and including 24 things like tarot reading and potions are a relationship 25 between the individual who believes in it and the specific 26 object. And I think, to the extent that someone might believe 27 that something has power, they will act in a certain way, and 28 in fact it may then have power.

1 BY MRS. STEIN: 2 Well, do you believe that if you used this -- that Q. 3 it would have power over somebody else? 4 Well, I don't -- I don't believe in it so it would Α not. 5 6 And you consider yourself a rational, intelligent Q. 7 person, don't you? 8 Α I suppose. 9 And you did not believe in witchcraft. You don't Q. believe that. this has any powers. --10 I didn't say that. Α 11 Q. You said 12 I did not say that. Α 13 I take it back, then. Q. Oh. 14 What did you say? 15 I said, and I will repeat, that I think that the power is derived from the specific individual's belief in and 17 relation to certain objects. If one believes in the power of 18 a potion, they will act differently, and therefore in fact it does have power. 20 But you do not believe --Q. 21 No, I don't believe that it would have power for me. Α 22 Right, over somebody else. 23 Q. I didn't say that. For myself. Α 24 For yourself to use on somebody else? Q. 25 Right. Α 26 Is that correct? Q. 27 Α Um-hum. 28

1	Q. You do not believe, personally believe, that you
2	could use this and have power over somebody elsej is that
3	correct?
4	A. Um-hum.
5	Q. Is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And you personally do not believe that you can
8	predict the future through the use of cards?
9	A. No.
10	$oldsymbol{Q}_{oldsymbol{\cdot}}$ And you've also stated that you personally do not
11	believe in witchcraft?
12	A. As you defined it, yes.
13	MRS. STEIN: I have no further questions.
14	THE COURT: Any redirect, Ms. Buckley?
15	MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor.
16	
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
19	Q. Now, you have been asked whether you believe in
20	witchcraft. And there has been an attempt to force you
21	MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object to that statement by
22	Counsel.
23	THE COURT: Objection.sustained.
24	Please just ask questions.
25	MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor.
26	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
27	$ extstyle{Q}\cdot$ Do you believe that there is a body of knowledge
28	and belief called witchcraft that exists in this day and age?

1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 And do you believe that there are people who believE 0. in that body of knowledge? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you believe that there is a body of knowledge 6 called Catholicism? A. Yes. 7 0. And do you believe it exists in this day and age? Yes, I do. A. Do you personally believe in Cathol cism? 10 Q. No, I don't. Α. 11 But the fact that you do not personally believe in O. 12 it does not affeet your ability to believe in it- as a body of.: 13 information and belief? 14 A. Right. 15 Now, as to the defendant, do you know whether she 16 believes that she has the powers that are inherent in a high 17 priestess of witchcraft? 18 MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor. That calls for 19 speculation, as to what the defendant believes. The defendant 20 21 would be the best one to say --THE COURT: The witness has been asked as to what --22 does she know what the defendant believes. And I believe 23 the question is open to cross-examination. 24 The witness may answer. 25

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. BUCKLEY:

26

27

28

Q. She does believe that. Okay. Thank you.

1	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I have no further questions of
2	this witness.
3	THE COURT: Any recross, Mrs. Stein?
4	MRS. STEIN: Yes.
5	
6	RECROSS EXAMINATION
7	BY MRS. STEIN:
8	Q. You do not believe in witchcraft personally,
9	correct?
10	A. The way in which you define it, no.
11	Q. Well, I didn't define it.
12	THE COURT: Please don't argue with the witness,
13	Mrs. Stein.
14	MRS. STEIN: Excuse me.
15	BY MRS. STEIN:
16	Q. You don't believe in witchcraft in the same way.
17	Why don't you believe in witchcraft.?
18	A. I don't thing I have to explain my religious
19	beliefs. Do I have to explain why I don't believe in Judaism?
20	THE COURT: Please don't argue with the City Attorney.
21	As to both parties
22	I don't believe the witness has to explain why she
23	does or does not believe in witchcraft.
24	BY MRS. STEIN:
25	Q. Why is it you do not personally believe that this
26	vial has no powers?
27	A. I did not say I did not persor!lally believe that the
28	vial had no powers.
	1

1 Q. For you. 2 Α. Because I -- as I stated before, I am not a 3 religious person. 4 And would it be correct to say that you do not 5 believe in it because you form your beliefs, or whatever 6 beliefs you have, on the basis of fact, empirical fact? 7 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I will object to this question 8 on the grounds that it is going into her religious beliefs, 9 with regard to potions. 10 THE COURT: Would you read back the questior? 11 (The question was read by the reporter.), 12 BY MRS. STEIN: 13 Q. Fact and reason. 14 Well, to the extent --15 THE COURT: Excuse me. Objection is overruled. She may 16 answer. But I think inquiring into the witness's beliefs or --17 I think we can limit it after this question. 18 THE WITNESS: Well, to the same extent that I do not 19 believe in God, if one can say that it's based on fact and 20 reason. 21 BY MRS. STEIN: 22 Right. So, in other words, you have to see 23 something, and so on, and that's why you --24 I don't understand. 25 THE COURT: Please keep your voice up. BY .MRS. STEIN: 26 In other words, because this is not based on 27 O. 28 anything in fact or reason that can be demonstrated to you,

1 you do not believe in it, is that correct, that it would have 2 any powers for you? 3 l\. Yes. MRS. STEIN: Nothing further. THE COURT: Anything further? 6 MS. BUCKLEY: No, your Honor. THE COURT: May this, witness be excused? 7 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. 8 'I'HE COURT: You're excused at this time. q Defense may call their next witness. 10 11 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may we take a recess? I've got a witness coming in, but we did not expect this to be 12 quite so short this morning. The cross examination had been 13 running so long. 14 THE COURT: We'll take about a 15-minute recess, in view 15 of the fact the court has another matter before it. 16 Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a recess until 17 about twenty minutes to 11:00. You're admonished during this 18 period of time you're not to discuss this case with anyone or 19 form or express any opinion thereon until the matter is finally 20 submitted to you. You're excused at this time. 21 (There was held a short recess.) 22 23 24 THE COURT: In the Zsuzsanna Bartha matter, the record 25 will reflect 12 jurors, the two alternates are present. 26 The defendant is present with counsel, both counsel. The City 27 Attorney is present.

Defense may call theii: next witness.

1	MS. BUCKLEY: The next witness is Dr. George Peters.
2	
3	GEORGE PE'l'ERS,
4	called as a witness by the Defense, having been sworn,
5	testified as follows:
6	THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please.
7	THE WITNESS: George Peters, P-e-t-e-r-s.
8	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
11	Q. Dr. Peters, what is your occupation?
12	A I'm a priest and a psychologist.
13	Q. What is the name of your church?
14	A.  Nationalism. The Church Of Nationalism
15	Q. Now, what is your educational background?
	-
16 17	A. I have a bachelor's in Divinity and a Doctorate in Divinity from the Chicago Theology School, and I have a
18	Ph.D.from the University of Toronto in psychology. I've also
19	studied various things in Asia.
20	0. Do you have some special expertise do you have
21	some special expertise in the area of extrasensory perception
22	or parapsychology?
23	MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object, being no foundation.
24	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
25	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
26	Dr. Peters, have you conducted any experiments
27	with regard to the subject of parapsychology or extrasensory
28	perception in the last 10 years?

\_

.13

Yes, I have.

And what was the nature of those experiments?

k The first experiments were done in relationship to—
it was done on a Ford Foundation Grant, and it was done with
the Urban Training Center For Christian Mission in Chicago.
That's at 40 North Ashland, if I remember correctly. And what
we had there is we had — we had brought in people from all
over the country who were ministers and priests; and they put
them through dramatic experiences, and then we judged their
ability of insight and apathy and empathy with people
subsequent to the time. We did it with some 52 people.

Later on I became involved with studying the religious experience. per se which involves a lot of other things. And presently the study that we've done in regards to people's physical body types and their endrocrine balances and then on psychological tests, and then we compared them to the kinds of experiences that they have and the kinds of insights that they have. And we also have a control of people who were doing it by trickery as compared to the people who actually believe that they're doing it. And this is being presented at the psychotronics which is the Russian-American science...meeting on ESP in Monte Carlo in July.

These experiments you're doing, to make it clear, are people who profess to tell the future or profess to be able to have extrasensory kinds of talents, tarot card readers and horoscope readers and things like this?

MRS. STEIN: I believe he

THE COUR'I': Mrs. Stein?

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

2728

MRS. S'l'EIN: I believe he just said ESP, and that's not-I don't think he said anything about fortune telling or
anything else.

THE COURT: I believe that is the question that Ms. Buckley was asking at this point.

Are you familiar with people who use those devices or items?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, to some extent.

THE COURT: You may continue, Ms. Buckley.

# BY MS. BUCKLEY:

So the experiments that you were doing were on people who professed to have certain kinds of abilities, such as to predict the future?

Yes, right, but we only have -- in my survey, in the sample that we're producing at the psychotronics conference we only have one tarot reader. We have more astrologers, people who do horoscopes. But they're essentially similar. They're both the function of what we put in the charismatic rather than the messianic category. But there is a fine difference. One is where you use an object, such as -- a tarot card would be a good example of an actual sign in which there is a belief about and people already believe in these things, which would be very similar to people already believing in Jesus Christ will be believing in Gautama Buddha. It doesn't matter if it's a symbol as a dead person or a sign such as an actual physical event. And there's a characteristid structure around it. And then people believe in the structure, And that's charismatic. Messianic zeal is a personal

religious experience, which then you go out and do things. And that's what we were studying, the difference in being able 2 to do and learn a structured situation, such as a priest was 3 the original study, and then having a personal religious 4 experience which makes you go out and commit acts of 5 humanistic nature, like Mahatma Gandhi, Martin Luther King. 6 This is where you have an experience and you feel that you 7 must do something but you do it in an individual basis. 8 don't go to --9 THE COURT: Just answer your attorney. 10 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 11 Now, I would like to go back to the experiments 12 which you have done around. the tarot card readers and 13

astrologers.

Did you find any differences between persons who appeared to have the ability to read tarot cards with some percentage of reliability

t.lRS. STEIN: Excuse

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Let the question come out first, please. BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Q. -- and say, astrologers who were able to read with some amount of reliability?

MRS. STEIN: Objection; no foundation. Your Honor, he said that he dealt with one tarot card reader. I think that's what he said.

THE WITNESS: I --

THE COURT: Just one moment. I believe he testified, with astrologers . And Ms. Buckley's question was, was there

1 sort of a relationship in how they -- if their results were 2 somewhat similar. 3 Is that what you were getting at, Ms. Buckley? MS. BUCKLEY: Well, I was also wondering whether his 5 study showed there were some differences between tarot card readers as opposed to astrologers, but also whether both of 6 7 them had a certain amount of reliability. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 8 9 How you tested that, if there was. A. If I can answer, the sample is too small to be 10 11 scientific lly very valid. However, the difference appeared to be a lower intelligent quotient. It appears that people 12 who do horoscopes have a higher intelligent quotient than 13 people who/the tarot card readings. But it's so small that 14 I'd be shot down by anybody if I said that, and I really feel 15 terrible about saying that. 16 THE COURT: Please. You didn't finish the statement. 17 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, there are similarities on tests. They both have insight on a Meyers-Briggs-type indicator. 19 They have a high insightful contact. 2.0 THE COURT: But how many actual tarot card readers have 21 you, dealt with? 22 THE WITNESS: Just one. 23 THE COURT: And you've done experiments with that 24 individual? 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. 26 THE COURT: How many experiments did you do with the 27 tarot card reader?

```
1
           'l'HE WITNESS: We measured their body in terms of Sheldon.
2
           THE COURT:
                        What?
           THE WITNESS: William Sheldon, M.D., Ph.D. from Harvard.
3
            THE COURT: How many experiments did you do with the
     tarot card reader? And then you can explain.
5
6
            THE WITNESS: Probably about 27. That's just a guess,
     but I could go through each one of them exactly. The engineer
7
     who works with me who does them is around here someplace.
8
     I could get a specific copy of all the tests, if you'd like it.
10
            THE COURT: I don't think we have to go into that, all
     that background at this point.
11
                 Ms. Buckley?
12
13
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
14
                 Just for some clarification, although you have only
            O.
15
     experimented on one tarot card reader, are you aware of other
16
     experiments which were done by persons with credentials who
17
     have worked with tarot card readers?
18
                 Yes. Zippora Doybones
19
            THE COURT:
                        Spell the name, please.
            THE WITNESS: D-o-y-b-o-n-e-s, z-i-p-p-o-r-a.
20
21
            THE COURT: Just answer the questions asked of your
     attorney.
22
23
            THE WITNESS: Now, what she did is this -- and she
24
     works with us and we work with --
25
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
26
                 What are her qualifications?
27
                 She has a Ph.D. in psychology from
            Α
28
            MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor.
```

1 THE COURT: Apparently, it's an experiment he is familiar with, and he may proceed. 2 THE WI'l'NESS: I participated with, but it's not my 3 4 research. 5 THE COURT: You don't have to volunteer anything. Just tell me what the last individual did that we're talking 6 about worked with the tarot cards. What were her experiments 7 or --8 THE WITNESS: It was astrologers. And what she did is 9 she ran the horoscopes at the University of Southern Californi, 10 Computer --11 MRS. STEIN: Excuse me. If it's just astrologers, then 12 it's not --13 THE COURT: No. He said this Zippora -- whatever the 14 last name was -- did quite a bit of work in tarot cards, and 1.5 that's what we.'regetting at. 16 THE WITNESS: Right. But the original research was 17 done -- see, the only thing that you can run through a 18 computer --19 THE COURT: Don't volunteer any other information. 20 We're just trying to keep this as concise as possible. 21 What did she do with tarot cards that you were 22 aware of? 23 24 THE WITNESS: She travels around the world and talks to 25 people who are in the psychic field, and tarot card readers, 26 astrologers, and things like that. And she studies them and 27 she tests them, and she puts it in a computer. 28 THE COURT: What tests has she done, if any, that you

know of with tarot card readers? 1 'I'HE WITNESS: 2 I believe she has given them the Isaacs Personality Inventory which was an English test, because they 3 were comparing it to horoscopes; and they were only done on people who could tell their da.y and time of birth, for some 5 reason which I'm -- I -- this doesn't sound relevant. 6 THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Buckley. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 8 What kind of tests did she do on the tarot card O. readers, if you know? 10 I believe they were just interviews, and I believe 11 they were the Isaacs Personality Inventory which were only 12 50 questions asked. I believe that was all that was done. 13 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I move to strike. I don't 14 think this witness has any personal knowledge of 15 THE COURT: You have to keep your voice up. 16 MRS. STEIN: Move to strike for lack of foundation 17 because he himself is not sure, he says, I believe 18 THE COURT: The motion to strike is granted. The last 19 answer given by t..rie witness is stricken. 20 You may move on, Ms. Buckley. 21 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 22 All right. Now, of Q. in your experiments 23 your work, are you regarded as an expert in the extrasensory 24 field? 25 Α Parapsychology. 26 MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant. This is not a case 27 involving extrasensory perception. 28

THE COURT: Ms. Buckley?

1 4

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, the whole question has to do with certain kinds of powers which Ms. **Z** Budapest was supposed to be using through tarot cards. Now, she believes that it's part of her religion in witchcraft, but the City Attorney has been

THE COURT: Well, what are you getting at?

MS. BUCKLEY: What I'm getting at is that there is scientific experimenting being done on those very types of powers, and that the experiments show that there is some validity. Now, whether or not she has it may or may not, you know, be in --

THE COURT: Just -- let's --

MS. BUCKLEY: -- in issue. But the fact that those particular kinds of powers are in fact being examined by parapsychologists and by scientific people, I think, should be before the jury, and the kinds of result that they're getting.

THE COURT: Mrs. Stein?

MRS. STEIN: Well, your Honor, all this is doing is just it's totally confusing. We're dealing with conducting a business of fortune telling. That's what the charge is; and it has nothing to do with ESP and its merits, and so on.

It's totally --

THE COURT: That's what I want to hear, the argument from the Defense, what this witness is going to add to the facts before the trier of fact.

MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor. One of the things that

almost every question that has been -- that every witness, whether they were defense or prosecution, has been asked has been questions with regard to rationality and factual material and: "Isn't it true that this vial has no, you know, power?" Well, the whole point that I think was made by the last witness, Ms. Gluck --

THE COURT: Just answer my question. What does this have to do with the case?

MS. BUCKLEY: Well, what it has to do with the case is:

My defense is this is a religious belief, and that the kinds

of powers which she *is* claiming to have in fact are recognized

by parapsychology and by the universities, and they're being

studied, so that it is not a fraudulent --

THE COURT: That may be, but I do not see that this witness can add anything, from what you have just statede

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, he is recognized as an expert in this area. He is going to be speaking this surmner at an international conference --

THE COURT: Well, just a minute. His knowledge, in the Court's view, of tarot cards is almost de minimis, and that's the only issue before the Court. And I would like to find out what he's going to testify to in relation to tarot cards. He has presented nothing at this point.

MS. BUCKLEY: Well, your Honor, he said he did 27 tests on the tarot card reader, and that there are certain --

THE COURT: Let us go into that, and then I'll consider Mrs. Stein's motion. But we haven't even gone into those.

MS. BUCKLEY: All right, your Honor. 1 2 BY MS. BUCKLEY: Dr. Peters, directing you to the tests which you O. 3 did of the tarot card reader -- first let me ask you, do you 4 personally know anything about the reading of tarot cards? 5 A. No. 6 You know nothing about tarot cards, their origin 7 or their meaning? 8 A. No. And you have just studied a tarot card reader? Q. 10 A. Yes. 11 And that was in a larger experiment that also Q. 12 included astrologers? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Who also have some ability of precognition or 15 clairvoyance; is that correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, at this point he says he doesn't 18 know about tarot cards. Now, --19 MS. BUCKLEY: But he knows about tarot card readers. 20 THE COURT: Well, I think he can talk about what he 21 learned in the survey or study, if anything. But we, haven't 22 heard where that happened, what happened, how long it took, 23 and what tests were conducted. 24 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 25 As a foundation, could you define the term 26 O. "precognition"? 27 Yeah. Α. 28

Shortly, briefly.

A The ability to see what would occur in the future, generally gathered from facts that you get from the background or from diffusion rather than things that come from the focus foreground or things immediately eminent or noticeable.

So that would be sort of indirect knowledge as opposed to direct knowledge?

A It would be feelings and intuitions rather than sensations or thinkings. It would be done by -- it would be done by a feeling or intuition rather than it would be done by an actual sensation that you saw it or a thinking that you knew it or you learned it in some place?

What does the term "clairvoyance" mean?

A The ability to see long distances, either into the future or -- Jung refers to this whole category as --

THE COURT: I think we're getting far afield, Ms. Buckley Let's get back to the tarot cards.

#### BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Getting now back to the experiments you did with the people who had these abilities, and the itarot card reader in specific, where did t at set of experime ts take place?

A. Okay. I own some buildings in Hollywood, apartment buildings. The people involved in the e periment live in the buildings which I own. They keep an exact record of everything that they do 24 hours a day, seven days a week. They are talked to with a therapist at least three hours a week or a counselor. They are given psychiatric t£-sting and all.sorts of measurement on a yearly basis. And tlll.ey're constantly

re-tested as towards their experiences and their changes and 1 their life style. 2 over what period have these testings gone on? O. In the tarot card reader, only this year; for the 4 other people in the survey, from 1973, 1974 and 1975. 5 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, 6 THE COURT: Mrs. Stein? 7 MRS. STEIN: May the witness just be limited to testifying about the tarot card reader? He's volunteering all-9 THE COURT: Please don't volunteer any statements, 10 Mr. Peters. Just answer the questions by either of the 11 attorneys. 12 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 13 You said you give them psychiatric tests. What 14 types of information did you find about the tarot card reader, 15 in terms of their ability to 16 They operate by guess. 17 Did their guess have any high degree or low degree O. 18 or no degree of correlation with actual fact? 19 k Not enough to say. 20 O. Not enough to say. 21 How did your tarot card reader compare to the 22 information you got from the rest of the study which involved 23 astrologers? 24 Your Honor, that is irrelevant to this cas<: . 25 MRS. STEIN: 26 THE COURT: The witness may answer that question, if there is any relationship. 27

The only specific difference that I

'fHE WITNESS:

1 remember -- they were both were high on intuition on the 2 Meyers-Briggs-type indicator; but the tarot card reader had 3 an IQ of 69, and all the other people had IQ's under 85; but 4 they were usually under 105. When it got over that, they became involved in more complicated scientific things. 5 THE COURT: Did you say the tarot card reader had an 7 IO of THE WITNESS: 69 on a Stanford Binet. ThfJY're doing the 8 best they can with what they got. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Buckley, do you wish to continue? 10 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 11 12 Dr. Peters, you are also, you say, a priest? Α. I have a divinity degree., yeah. 13 And in your religious practices, do you recognize 14 the element of revelation and the high degree of what you call 15 Messianic Zeal? 16 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, --17 THE COURT: Yes, Mrs. Stein? 18 MRS. STEIN: I don't know what the relevancy of what his 19 religion has to do with anything in this case. What his 20 religion recognizes, --21 THE COURT: It's overruled, subject to a motion to strikE 22 if Ms. Buckley doesn't tie it in. 23 THE WITNESS: We believe that they help in raising the 24 person's moral or inspiration -- that they either -- that they 25 give the person inspiration or itboosts their morale--26 27 THE COURT: What does that? What gives inspiration or 28 boosts morale?

THE WITNESS: The Messianic gives the person inspiration and the charisma boosts their morale, They then become a member who believes in something which is very necessary for your health.

### BY MS. BUCKLEY:

- . In your religion -- you got your Doctor of Divinity<sub>4</sub> both your master's and your bachelor's
- A. I have a bachelor's and a doctorate. The doctorate's an honorary one. I got that from -- I was on the board of directors of the Church Federation of Greater Chicago for a year, which I did a lot of work for nothing; and they were so kind as to give me a degree subsequent to that.
- Q. So you're familiar with the Bible, particularly the New Testament, and the Prophet Jesus?

#### A. Yeah.

THE COURT: Please just answer the questions. This is a very serious matter. Please don't laugh throughout the testimony.

THE WITNESS: That was from nervousness.

### BY MS. BUCKLEY:

- 0. Would you say that, in the theology which you were schooled in -- that Jesus was a prophet or foretold the future?
- MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I don't see what -- why we should be going into that now, as far as being relevant to the issues of this case.

THE COURT: The witness may answer the question.

But, if we get too far afield, Ms. Buckley, we'll

1 just have to.-stop it. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 4 And in the Bible are there many occasions where 5 Jesus foretold the specific future for individuals? 6 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object now. 7 THE COURT: Ms. Buckley? 8 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. You know, I know that the 9 City Attorney does not accept 43.31. But my client is 10 religious, and I am just pointing out that, in one of the 11 recognized religions --12 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I haven't said anything before; 13 but, if counsel is continuously arguing her case in the presence of the jury, in a totally improper manner when 14 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 Counsel, approach the bench, please. (The following proceedings were had at the bench.) 17 18 MS. BUCKLEY: All right. Your Honor, one of the points 19 that is being made here is -- now, we're talking about fortune 20 And what I • m trying to point out is that, in the 21 Bible of the -- one of the major religions the evidence is 22 clear that one of their prophets not only spoke about, you 23 know, great wide events like earthquakes and floods but also 24 specifically told people that things would happen; that

THE COURT: I think you'Te talking about Peter.

Judas was going to betray Him before the cock crowed three

somebody',s son would be alive and well when he got home; that

25

26

27

28

times.

\_\_\_\_

MS. BUCKLEY: Peter. All right. I'm not a religious leader, your Honor. And the only point I'm tryi.ng to make is that what is sought to be set up as a crime here is something that was part of the tradition of a major religion.

THE COURT: I think we're really getting somewhat afield.

As I read all the cases over the weekend, even if a person is a religious person and they engage in the business of fortune telling, it's a violation, even in light of 43.31.

# MRS. STEIN: That's right.

THE COURT: And whether Christ or Jesus told things about the future or something, I don't think that really has any bearing on this case.

MS. BUCKLEY: Well, your Honor, you obviously haven't had an opportunity to take a look at our points and authorities for the instructions. And one of the instructions we have asked for is for specific intent or, in the alternative, for a dismissal of this action, because either the Municipal Code has to have the same restrictions as the State Code, or it is or it's been pre-empted. Now, what has been happening is that the c\_!=-X ?\!=-t2:r:ney\_-has\_been arguing false pretenses and fraud during her, entire case. And the reason she does it is because 332obviot1;sly requires it. And it's our argument that the Los Angeles Municipal Code either incorporates the specific intent sections of 332 or it has been pre-empted by 332.

THE COURT: They don't use "false pretense". They just say, no one shall engage in the (business of fortune telling./

It's more

MS. BUCKLEY: There is no provision for licensing, and the State

THE COURT: I may be incorrect as to licensing. But if someone engages in the business --

MS. BUCKLEY: The State has said now, what is happening here is Los Angeles purports to totally outlaw, except for a religious person, any activity that is permitted by the State. And I do not think that they can do it once the State has spoken on the area.

THE COURT: Now we're talking about another area. But we're talking about as to this witness.

MS. BUCKLEY: So what I'm saying is, part of specific intent in the fraud goes to the fact that another major religion in fact had, as a part of its tradition, the use of personal prophecy or fortune telling, and that therefore there is nothing per se fraudulent about having it in another religion.

THE COURT: Mrs. Stein?

MRS. STEIN: Number one, the law is -- and I've read the cases, too, and I've prepared during -- instructions on Gladstone and Allinger case. The law is correct, as your Honor says, that 1:1<?, one can engage in fortune telling for a business. And that's what the issue is here.

THE COURT: We're talking about as to this witness.

MRS. STEIN: As to this witness, it's -- first of all, he said his familiarity with tarot cards is virtually none, and he even admitted 'cllat. When he testified, he said that

\_ -

1 it's just quess. THE COURT: Now, we're talking as to his religious 3 knowledge. 4 MRS. STEIN: Now, what is the relevancy of having somebody bring up -- going into the Bible, and so on. I think 6 it's almost --7 THE COURT: My view -- I just don't see it. If -- I 8 don't think it has any applicability under 352, and I think we're going off on a tangent. The issue is if she engaged in 9 10 the business if not, if the jury determines she does this as a part of \_a\_religious ceremony .or ser.v.ice and not at a 11 business, then she will be acquitted. And that would appear to 12 13 be the law. But to go into what other major religions, - do or 14 their saints or people from the past, I think we're going off, 15 and the probative value is far outweighed by time consuming which I think really has no. bearing on the case at this point. 16 17 MS. BUCKLEY: All right. (The following proceedings were had in open court.) 18 MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 19 I have no further questions of this witness. 20 THE COURT: Mrs. Stein. 21 22 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MRS. STEIN: 24 25 You have a doctorate in divinity. And where did 26 you receive that? Chicago Theology School. Α 27 And how long did it take you to get that? 28

1 From 1965 when I got my bachelor's degree to --2 1968 is when I got itQ It took me three years. That was a year of field supervision at the Urban Training Center For Christian Mission, which I already explained, and then a year at the Church Federation Of Greater Chicago in an office. 5 Did you take any formal classes? A. Yes. And this bachelor •s -- you said it was an honorary Q. 8 bachelor's? No, ma'am, no. The doctorate is honorary. The 10 bachelor's is earned. 11 The doctorate is honorary? Q. 12 And the bachelor's is earned. Α. 13 Q. Oh. I see. So where did you get your bachelor's? 14 The same place, Chicago Theology. That was from A. 1.5 1961 through 1965. 16 In 1961 to 1965 --0. 17 Α. Yes. 18 -- you earned a bachelor's; is that correct? Q. 19 Yes. Α. 20 Q. Did you have to pay to go to this school? 21 Yes, ma'am. A. 22 And from 1961 to 1965 you earned a bachelor's; is Q. 23 that correct? 24 Yes. Α. 25 Q. From the Chicago Theology School. 26 Now, what particular theology is it? 2, Originally, I believe the school was Methodist. A.

However, after the ecumenical movement crune around, you were

affiliation. And at the Urban Training Center For Christian

Mission where I did the graduate work it was entirely -- for

example, one of the teachers was Richard Lucki, and then

people who ran -- it was a consortium. There was then a

another one was James Morton who was an Episcopalian. The

Catholic Jesuit there, except at this time I don't remember

And did you pay to go there from '65 to '68?

No, ma'am., I told you I worked at the Urban

no longer were required to declare specific religious

1

3

5

6

R

27

28

Α

```
1
     Training Center for Christian ssion and then I worked for
2
     the Church Federation in Greater Chicago whlch is on Michigan
3
     Avenue,.
4
                Now, I take it that you know about -- you have
           Q.
     certain religious beliefs.
6
           THE COURT: Please keep your voice up.
7
     BY MRS. STEIN:
                 You have certain religious beliefs. Now, it is a
           Q.
     part of any of your religious beliefs to tell fortunes?
9
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I do not believe she can
10
     question into his religious beliefs. If she wants to ask him
11
     about how he feels about the defendant's religious beliefs,
12
     I think that is fine. But I do not believe
13
           THE COURT: Objection sustained.
14
15
     BY MRS. STEIN:
                 Do you know -- you had one, you said, tarot card
16
            Q.
     reader with an IO of 691 is that correct?
17
                 Yes, ma'am.
18
            Q.
                 And you came to the conclusion that she operated
19
     by guess; is that correct?
20
                 Intuition is guess, ma'am.
21
                 Now, have you ever conducted any experiments insofar
            Q.
22
     as this defendant is concerned?
23
24
            THE COURT: You have to answer out loud, please.
            THE WITNESS:
                          No.
25
26
     BY MRS. STEIN:
9-,
            Q.
                 Do you know her?
28
            Α
                 No.
```

1 And, insofar as the tarot card experiments that you 2 conducted, you said that it was too small to be scientifically 3 valid: is that correct? A. Yes. 5 And you also said that, on the one tarot card reader that you conducted -- that there was not enough 7 correlation to come up with any kind of conclusions; is that 8 correct? A. Yes, ma'am. 10 Now, do you know of any particular religion where Q. 11 it's a part of the religion to charge a fee for a prophecy? 12 If you mean specifically in terms of reading tarot cards, I am not really qualified. But if you mean in terms of 13 14 prophecy that would include horoscopes, I can think of one 15 immediately that's legally running in Los Angeles at this 16 present time. Do you know of any organized recognized religion 17 where it is a part of their religious beliefs to charge a fee 18 for a pr phecy? 19 A donation, yes, ma'ama That's what I just --20 Not a donation. A fee, a charge. Could you explain to me the difference? I don't --22 23 THE COURT: Do you know of any group that will do it an X amount; that if you aren't going to give X amount they 24

THE WITNESS: I don't know if they wouldn't do it if you wouldn't pay them. I always heard of everybody paying.

I know that they get paid \$25.00 for running the horoscope and

aren't going to do it, I think is the question.

2.5

26

1 giving you the prophecy. I do not know what would happen if 2 you didn't have the money. 3 BY MRS. STEIN: Do you know of any particular religion throughout 4 0. the course of your studies where it is a part of the religion? 5 6 To give prophecies? To charge a fee for a prophecy. 7 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I believe that question was 8 just asked and answered. THE COURT: Objection sustained. 10 BY MRS. STEIN: Well, do you know of any particular religion where 12 is a tenet of that religion to charge, make a specific 13 charge, to tell the future, any organized recognized religion 14 that you know of? 15 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, again, it is my understanding 16 that she's rephrasing this same question that had been asked 17 and answered. 18 THE COURT: The witness may answer. 19 Can you answer the question? 20 THE WITNESS: I know people who make their living by 21 doing prophecy in terms of religious context. In terms of 22 your fee or donation, I'm very -- I don't..know the difference.. 23 Well, in other words, you understand the difference 24 between doing it as a business and doing itsay -- charging a 25 fee as a business and charging, and -- as contrasted with 26 giving a donation to a church? 27

Well1cI'm looking at it this way,, If we take the

Α.

1 Jains, which is a two thousand-year old sect in India, they do 2 prophesy, and they make their living by doing prophecy. In 3 other words, they do o ther sort of things, but one of the thing: i 4 they do is prophecy. - And the guy who runs it, Mishri 5 Chichibanyu (phonetically) --6 MRS. STEIN: I move to strike about India. How about in 7 the City of Los Angel.es? 8 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor; the question was not limited 9 to the City of Los Angeles. That was a perfectly good answer. 10 THE COUR'!': This is the Court's view. 11 Now, do you want to spell the last name? 12 THE WITNESS: Chichibanyu. He lives on Riverside Drive 13 in New York. And there a.re 7.2 million members that pay him, and all he does is prophecy. 14 A man called Norbert Chin (phonetically) in H u ston, 15 'l'exas, who is supported by the McCulloch Oil Company, for 16 17 . \$5,000 a minute gives prophecies to people that last for ten seconds. An<i that is, I'm sure, a fee because if you don't 18 pay \$5,000 they won't even talk to you. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Mrs. Stein, you may continue. 20 21 BY MRS. STEIN: 22 Q. So that's a business, right? 23 No. It's a non-profit tax-exempt religion. A. Tibetan religion. 24 Didn't you say if you didn't pay the \$5,000 they wouldn't talk to you? 26 Yes, I did. A. 27

MS. BUCKLEY; Your Honor, I believe she's arguing the law

1 with him. 2 THE COURT: Objection's overruled. The answer may stand. 3 Let's move on, Mrs. Stein. BY MRS. S"rEIN: 4 Do you know of any organized recognized religion 5 in Los Angeles where it's a part of the religion to 6 charge a fee for a prophecy? 7 Zippora Doybones, who is a psychologist, does 8 horoscopes for \$25.00 a piece over on -- it's right off 9 Wilshire Boulevard in the Wilshire Section. I don't remember 10 her exact address. But she does charge a fee for doing a thing, and it is a religion, and it's exempt under --12 She is a psychologist? O. 1:3 Yes, but that's not what she's charging hcroscopes 14 for. She is a psychologist. 1.5 To get back to the question, in Los Angeles, other O. 16 than this psychologist, do you know any recognized religion 17 where you~can cha.rge a fee for a prophecy, in Los Angeles? 18 I do not know personally any of these people except 19 the ones I said. 20 And you do not personally know of any religion 21 where it's part of the religion to charge a fee for prophecy? 22 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, 23 THE COURT: Objection sustained. The question's been 24 asked and answered. 25 BY MRS ....STEIN: 26 You say this person is worth 7.2 million dollars? O. 27

He has 7.2 million members that payhim \$10.00

No.

a year each plus all his personal -- the guy does well. 1 2 He's a nice guy, too. 3 Now, you talked about endocrine balance. Have you Q. had any background and training in any of the medical sciences? 4 Yes, ma'am. I was trained by Walter Alvarez, the 5 head of Mayo Clinic- Then I was trained by Sheldon. Then I was trained by Page from Florida, which is the specific 7 measurements that we do. The research is now -- I set up the 8 research project that's being done at W. 'I'. Edwards Hospital 9 in Tampa, Florida comparing endocrine balance to health and 10 sickness. I have lots of -- I'm very smart in that way. 11 Other than --O. 12 I even have graphs with me of people's endocrine 13 balances and what they mean, if you'd like to see them. 14 THE COURT: I don't think we need to go into them at thie 15 time. 16 BY MRS. STEIN: 17 Other than 1961 to 1965 where you got your bachelor' 18 in divinity, have you ever had any fonnal training in a collegE 19 in any scientific field? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. 21 And where, what college? 22 At the University of Toronto, Rochdale. A. 23 Q. How long did you go to that college? 24 We were there -- would you like to know what I did A. 25 there? 26 THE COURT: Just answer the question as asked at the 27 moment, please. 28

1 How long did you go to that college? 2 ·rHE WITNESS: From 1969 to 1972. 3 BY MRS. STEIN: So that was after you had your doctorate? Q. Α. Yes, ma'am. Q. And did you attend there full-time? Α. No, ma'am. Part-time. Q. And how many units did you.take? I was given a degree for a drug rescue service that Α. 10 I ran in 525 cities. The college that it was speci.fically run at, Rochdale, that gave me the degree was only one of the 11 places that it was run at. And it was a tremendous research 12 project that dealt with almost 2,000 people a day. If you see 13 the situation --14 THE COURT: Just one moment, please. I don't think 15 you've really answered the question. 16 17 Can you answer the question as asked by Mrs. Stein? BY MRS. S'I'EIN: 18 Q. How many units did you take? 19 I was given a degree for the work. I believe it's 20 125 units, but I am not sure. That's the reason I didn't 21 want to say. The degree was for the work that I did, not for--22 THE COURT: The degree was not for the educational work 23 you did there or the educational studies? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was, but the study was graduate 25 work in actually treating people and arranging counseling 26 centers and running the actual operation itself and studying it 27

and doing research on it. I have got a copy of the research

1 with me, if you'd like to read it, in my briefcase. 2 THE COURT: Mrs. Stein, you may continue. 3 MRS. STEIN: I have nothing else. THE COURT: Any redirect, Ms. Buckley? MS. BUCKLEY: Yes. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 8 What degree did you get from the University of q O. Toronto? 10 Α. A Ph.D., psychology. And that was not considered an honorary degree, was O. 12 it? 13 A. No. It was for work. I mean. I really did a lot of 14 work. 15 In other words, when you get on a graduate level, 16 you don't have things like going off to classes necessarily? 17 No, ma'am. Rochdale College is part of the 18 University of Toronto that was an experimental college set up 19 in 1965, I believe, by Trudeau or some of his friends that 20 were on the education board. And it was; a building where they 21 had 18 stories, and there were different teachers on each 22 story of the building. And what you did is you participated 23 in the full experience rather than the formal classroom 24 setting. And it's been operating there for almost 10 years 25 now, very successfully, as a matter of fact, in terms of 26 employment. 27

Now, the Ph.D. you got was in what subject?

Q..

1 A. Psychology. Counseling and psychology was what all 2 the work was done in; drug treatment program. 3 O. '£hank you. 4 MS. BUCKLEY: I have no further questions, your Honor. THE COURT: Anything further, Mrs. Stein? 6 7 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MRS. STEIN: 8 Q. So you have a Ph.D. also in psychology? Yes, ma'am. 10 A. 11 Q. And did you have to pay to go to this school? 12 A. No, ma'am. 13 Q. This was a free school? 14 A. No, ma'am. I paid in work, not in --15 Q. And you took no formal courses there, other than these experiments? 16 Yeah, there are formal courses that you study, but 17 18 it's not like -- going to graduate school and doing research 19 is not like going to grammar school or going to high school. I don't -- I don'i'what it's like to go to law school. 20 been thinking about it but I don't know. And I think there 21 that they have people like -- I saw law students practicing in 22 23 law, in courts and stuff, so I mean there's a lot of field work involved. And the graduate studies have got far more fieldwork 24 25 than they have classroom work. I'm rather eccentric, though. THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Buckley? 26 MS. BUCKLEY: I have nothing further. 27 THE COURT: You may be excused at this time. 28

Your next witness for the Defense. 1 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes. Dr. James Conn. 2 3 JAMES P. CONN, called as a witness by the Defense, having been sworn, 5 testified as follows: 6 THE CLERK: Will you state your name, please. 7 THE WITNESS: My name is James P. Conn, c-o-n-n. 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 12 Dr. Conn, are you an ordained minister? 13 A. Yes, I am. I am ordained minister in the Southern 14 California-Arizona Conference of the United Methodist Church. And do you have a church of your own? 15 Yes. I am the minister of the Church in Ocean Park 16 which is a united Methodist Church. 17 18 And would you tell this Court what your educational Q. background is? 19 Yes. I have a B.A. in history and rhetoric from the 20 University of California at Santa Barbara. I have a master's 21 and theology degree from Claremont School Of Theology. I have 22 a master's degree in Urban Studies from Occidental College. 23 And I'm a doctoral candidate at the School of Theology at 24 Claremont. 25 26 Q. And what is the subject of your doctoral thesis? 27 Religion and culture. A. 28 Are you familiar with the defendant **Z** Budapest? Q.

Yes, I am. A. 1 And you know her? O. 2 Yes, I do. A. 3 Now, as a minister of a church, do you charge fees Q. for certain services like weddings, and funerals and things 5 like that? Yes. In addition to salary for the usual religious Α. 7 practice's that go on in a church, ministers do receive 8 honorariums for doing weddings, funerals, baptisms, and so 9 forth. 10 О. And it's understood that a person who comes to be 11 married, for instance, would expect to pay a fee to the 12 minister? 13 This is traditional. Α. 14 Q. Would you refuse to marry somebody if they didn't 15 have the money? 16 Certainly not. On many occasions I've done weddings 17 without expecting any fees or without receiving them. 18 But basically you do expect a fee of a minimal Q. 19 amount or something? 20 It's customary. The traditional fees are about 21 \$25.00 for doing a wedding. 22 Now, how long have you known Z Budapest? O. 23 I've known of Z for almost a year. I've known Z for 24 about six months. 25 You say you've known of her. Did you know of her 26 reputation as a religious person in the community? 27 I knew that Z was very important in the feminist A. 28

1 community, and I knew of her role, her religious role, among 2 women who were involved in worship in a women's tradition, in 3 the Wicca tradition. 4 Now, you say the Wicca tradition. Is that a 5 religion of witchcraft? 6 MRS. STEIN: Objection no foundation. THE COUR'J: '1'he objection's overruled. 7 8 The witness may answer. 9 THE WITNESS: Would you state that again? BY MS. BUCKLEY: 10 Is that a religion of witchcraft, Wicca? Is that a 11 12 religion of witchcraft? 13 THE COURT: If you know. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 14 1.5 If you know. I -- I suppose it could be defined that way. I 16 17 wouldn • t define it that way. 18 THE COURT: How would you define the Wicca tradition? 19 THE WITNESS: lvell, my understanding of the Wicca 20 tradition is that it is a long -- has a long history of worship 21 of the female princifal of the universe, and this involves a 22 variety of rituals and ritual expressions of that belief and 23 of that self-understanding of one place in the world and the 24 way in which the world operates. Whether that includes 25 witchcraft or not would be up to, I suppose, some specific 26 definition of what one meant by "witchcraft". I -- it isn't 27 my understanding that the rituals involved as symbolic

e),,,-pressions are \_necessarily what we usually think about as

witchcraft in this culture.

## BY MS. BUCKLEY:

2.4

- Q. In other words, you are reacting, are you not, to the common use of the word "witchcraft"?
- A. I think, the way in which it's usually used, it has to do with feelings or perceptions; that it has to do with hexes and magic potions and a variety of things which somehow are images that come out of the middle ages, and perhaps more out of our films and those kinds of versions of what witchcraft is about, rather than what the actual practices of the Wicca women are about.
- Q. Let me then ask you, do you know whether  ${\bf Z}$  is the religious leader of a coven of witches called the Susan B. Anthony Coven?
  - A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
  - Q. And is that also a part of Wicca?
- A That's my understanding, that it is a coven in the Wicca tradition.
- Q. So that the -- in your experience and your studies in religion and culture, have you been exposed to a wide variety of religions?
- A. Yes, and I think that one of the things that's happening in this culture at this time is, with the growing pluralism, there has been a broad acceptance of a variety of religious experiences and expressions of which I believe that Wicca is one.
- Q. Have you permitted your church to be used for religious services by the Susan B. Anthony Coven?

1

1 their so-called religious functions. 2 THE COURT: Well, was it your understanding that it was 3 to be used for religious functions? 'I'Irm WITNESS: Yes, and that it could be. THE COURT: You were not present, though? THE WITNESS: I was not present at those that were held 6 by the Susann. Anthony Coven. I have been present at other 7 Wicca ceremonies that ha.re been held in the church. THE COURT: As far as you know, were some people inside the ceremony that apparently was for women only that, in your 10 belief, were engaging in some religious practice, at least as 11 you have reason to believe? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: But you were present when -- outside when 14 you say the Susan B. Anthony Coven was inside; is that correct? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: You may proceed. 17 BY MS.. BUCKLEY: 18 O. And you were also present when there were broader 19 groups that included both men and women, and there were some 20 religious aspects to the total event? In other words, a Wicca 21 event would have, say, a dance or a coming together or a 22 program that would include some religious ceremonies by this 23 Suan B. Anthony Coven, among others? 24 A. Yes, yes. 25 Can you describe the part which you have seen 26 Z Budapest taking in one of those, if she has? Has she, for 27

instance, given an invocation at one of these services?

A. I am sorry. I can't --

'l'HE COURT: Maybe, to make it easier have you ever seen the defendant at any of these functions?

THE WITNESS: I've seen the defendant at some of these functions. I have not seen her performing rituals. Z has not performed any of the rituals for me.

BY MS. BUCKLEY:

But do you as a minister recognize her as a fellow clergy person?

A. Yes, I do. There are basically three ways in which one is recognized as a clergy person or as one who has been put in the position of performing such rituals. The Christian tradition is that one is selected from among one's peers on a very careful kind of basis, and --

.MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I don't think there's any foundation for his testimony because he said he's never seen her perform any religious --

'l'HE COURT: I believe, just without going into your clarification -- why do you, in your opinion, believe that the defendant is a clergy person, as you used the term?

THE WITNESS: Through conversations with her, knowing what she had done, can do, the kinds of discussions that we have had about the various symbols that she uses. I am very convinced that she is not only familiar with her tradition and knows it very deeply but she is also very capable of doing using those symbols as it is appropriate.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MS. BUCKLEY: No, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: If I could continue

THE COURT: At this point, ladies and gentlemen, we'll take the noon recess until 1:30 when we'll begin the cross examination of the witness.

I admonish the jurors during this recess period not to converse among themselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the case or the trial thereof. Also, you're not to form or express any opinion thereon until the entire case is finally submitted to you.

(A recess was taken until 1:30 p.m.)

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, APRIL 14, 1975; 1:30 P.M. 2 --000--3 THE COURT: The matter of 'Zsuzsanna Bartha. The record 4 will reflect 12 jurors, two alternates are present. Defendant 5 is present with counsel, both counsel. The City Attorney is 6 present. 7 The witness may resume the stand. 8 You may cross examine, Mrs. Stein. 9 MRS. STEIN: Thank you, your Honor. 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MRS. STEIN: 13 Q. , Reverend Conn, how long have you known the 14 defendant? 15 As I testified previously, I've known her 16 approximately six months. 17 And how did you first come to know her? 18 I had known about **Z** for some time through friends, 19 and then we met. I believe it was at the church. 20 Now, you said that over the last -- over the last 21 six months you didn't you.'. didn't meet her until six months 22 ago; is that correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 And over the past six months, how many times, 25 approximately, -- how frequently do you see her? 26 Several, a half dozen; certainly several very 27 significant conversations. 28

Well, how many times have you met with her in the

Q.

1

28

last six months? 2 A. I couldn't answer that. 3 Can you give us an approximation, 20 or a dozen or O. 50 or six? 5 As I say, I've had several deep conversations with her, significant conversations, a half dozen times or so. I've seen her more frequently than that. 8 Q. Now, you said that her organization uses your church. 10 Does she rent it from you? 11 The way in which we use space at the church is on 12 a percentage of whatever gross receiptsare taken in, if it is 13 in fact an event where money is charged. We have had several 14 tarot classes held at the church for which there's been no 15 charge, and the space has just been given for the class. 16 We've had other rituals there that are in the Wicca 17 tradition for which there has been no fees charged for the 18 rituals or any income from the rituals. So those have just 19 been providing the space for those people in the community to 20 participate in those rituals as they wish. O. Do you consider her a friend of yours, the defendant · 22 A. Yes. 23 And the reason you are here today testifying for the 24 defendant is you do not want her -- you do not want to see her 2.5 convicted of fortune telling1 is that true? 26 A. I am here as a matter of conscience, as a matter of 27

fact, also because I respect her, respect for what she does in

1	her tradition.
2	MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being non-responsive.
3	THE COURT: The answer may remain.
4	You may continue, Mrs. Stein.
5	
6	MRS. STEIN: Thank you.
7	BY MRS. STEIN:
8	Q. The reason you're here today is because you do not
9	want to see her convicted of fortune telling, isn't that true,
10	Reverend Conn?
11	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I believe that question was
12	asked and answered.
13	THE COURT: · The witness may answer.
14	THE WITNESS: I don't want to see anyone convicted of
15	performing their religious practice.
16	BY MRS. STEIN!
17	Q. Well, you do not want the reason you're here
18	specifically to testify today-is that you do not want to see
19	the defendant convicted of fortune telling; isn't that true?
20	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I believe the City Attorney
21	is arguing with the witness. He has stated his reasons.
22	THE COURT: Objection is sustained.
23	BY MRS. STEIN:
24	Q. And that would include the defendant; is that not
25	true?
26	A. Following what I said already, that would include
27	the defendant.
28	Q. Now, you were not present personally, were you, on

1	
2	
3	Page 309 missing from hard copy transcrpt
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	
25	
26	
26	
28	
_∪	

Г

1 My understanding is that she performs certain 2 rituals that have to do with prophecy. 3 O. Have you ever seen the ad where she advertises to tell detailed tarot readings? 4 Α Yes, I have. 5 And that is at her place of business, is it not? 6 Q. Yes, it is, as well, I understand, as other places. 7 Q. 442 Lincoln Boulevard, correct? Is that not 8 correct? A I can't recall the ad specifically. If that's what 10 it says. 11 Q. Have you seen the ad? 12 I believe that you.'re holding up a free Press -- or 13 a Venice Beachhead or something -- yes, I have seen the ad in 14 the Venice Beachhead. 1.5 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness? 16 THE COURT: You may. 17 BY MRS. STEIN: I show you People's 5, this ad. Have you seen this 19 ad? 20 Α Yes. 21 And is this ad known to you as being the defendant's 22 place of business? 23 Α Yes. 24 25 Q. And do you know that it's been put in by the defendant? 26 27 Do I know whether the ad has been placed by the defendant? 28

```
Yes, or is it for the defendant's place of
1
     business
2
            A.
                 The ad, I believe, is for the --
3
                 -- 442 Lincoln Boulevard?
                 Yes. I have no idea who placed the ad.
            Α.
5
                 Well, this refers to the defendant's place of
6
     business, does it not?
7
            A.
                 Yes, it does.
                 And Wicca refers to her organization, does it not?
9
                 Yes.
            A.
10
                 Now, she has a cat there, doesn't she?
11
            MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to know what the
12
     relevance of the cat is.
13
            THE COURT: What exactly, Mrs. Stein, does that have
14
     what bearing at this point does that have in the case?
15
            MRS. STEIN: Well, she's claiming to be a witch, and I
16
     understand most witches have cats.
17
            THE COURT: It could well be beyond the scope. I mean
18
     I think we're getting far afield at this point, at least as I
19
     see it.
20
                 Do you know if the defendant owns a cat?
21
            THE WITNESS: I don't know. Sorry.
22
     BY MRS • . STEIN:
23
                 Now, this church of yours, do you conduct services
24
     there?
25
                 Yes, we do.
            A.
26
                 And do you personally conduct them?
27
                 Yes, I do.
            Α.
28
```

}(

1	<b>Q</b> . And how frequently?
2	A. Weekly.
3	0. And is this on a Sunday?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Now, you said that the defendant's organization
6	pays you on occasions for the use of your facility?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And do you also rent out your church to other
9	organizations?
	A. Yes. We try to make the space available to
11	community groups and organizations.
12	0. What other organizations do you rent out to?
13	MS. BUCKLEY: Objection, your Honor. I don't see the
L 4	relevance.
L5	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
L6	BY MRS. STEIN:
L7	0. How many other groups do you rent out to?
L8	A. Over the last year and a half, probably 20
L9	different groups.
20	0. And they are not part of your congregation, are they
21	members of your congregation?
22	A. Yes and no. Most of the community groups are
23	represented in our congregation. Most of the community groups
24	that would use the church for a benefit are also have
25	people who participate in their organizations that are also
26	participants in the life of the church.
27	Q. And so they belong to your church?
28	A The individuals do ves

1	And does the defendant belong to your church?
2	No, she does not.
3	Q. Now, you said that you charged a fee for first o
4	all, is your church open to anybody?
5	Virtually, yes. The board of the church has set
6	some parameters as to the use of the church.
7	Q. Now, these what are those parameters?
8	A. Well, there are two pages of guidelines and
9	MS. BUCKLEY: You Honor, two pages of guidelines, I
10	believe, are irrelevant to this trial.
11	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
12	BY MRS. STEIN:
13	Q. The members of your church, do they have to go
14	through some kind $Of$ a joining process, or can anybody just
15	walk in?
16	Membership in churches are open on confession of
17	faith.
18	Q. So that, in order to become a member; they would
19	have to
20	There is an affirmation that must be adhered to
21	or believed in or affirmed.
22	Now, you indicated that you charge a fee for
23	marrying people; is that correct?
24	A I follow the custom that is accorded most ministers
25	arrho. And this is really a donation rather than a fee,
26	isn't it?
27	A It is a fee that is waived in the: situation where
28	the money is not there, the resources the couple to be

• 22

(

16

17

22A

18

19

20

21

26

27

28

BY MRS. STEIN: Q. And isn't it a fact, sir, that you've married men

Many times, in fact, people have written their own ceremonies.

- and men and women and women?
  - A. No, that is not true.
- Now, getting back to this fact that you're not a marriage broker and -- which you said, and I think it was well said. In other words, the marriage part, or your performanceof a marriage ceremony or participation in it or whatever you want to call it, is really not a part of your religious practices, is it, for instance, when you marry somebody that is not a member of your congregation?
- Performing marriages, performing funerals, performing baptisms are in fact part of the role of a minister, to enable those rituals to happen. So I believe that those are things that in fact we are supposed to do.
- Q. Right. But when you marry somebody that, let's say, does not belong to your church or has some unusual kind of beliefs or something like that or wants a specific type of ceremony 100 you've described, that is not within the tenets of your religion, is it?
  - Yes, it is. Α.
  - Is that a part of something in writing? Q.
- It's a theological tradition of about two thousand years, I believe. In the Catholic Church at this time marriage and funeral services are in fact two of the seven
  - Sacraments? Q.
  - A. Sacraments. In Protestant tradition, marriage and

funerals are not considered a sacrament. But they are certainly offices of the minister.

Now, wouldn't it actually be against your -- the principles of your church to require a fee for, for instance, a marriage?

It's a very fine line between requiring and custom.

Well, you said that, if somebody came in and

couldn't afford it, you would still perform the service,

correct?

Certainly.

O So then wouldn't it really be against your religion to require that a fee be charged?

No. In fact, it states in the Book Of Worship that a fee should be charged for marriages. It also states that a fee should not be charged for funerals. However, most people who are making funeral arrangements do pay a fee to the minister.

Now, let me ask you this, Reverend Conn. If the
State of California said that it was illegal for you let's
set up a hypothetical. The State of California said-it was
illegal to charge a fee for marrying somebody. Would that in my
way, shape or form change your practice or your religious
beliefs? Would you still go on marrying people without a fee?

A I suppose that would be an issue of conscience that I would want to evaluate if -- in the eventuality that State should interferewith religious practices in that kind of way.

Q. And would that in any way change your religious beliefs?

**(** /-, .04

1 THE COURT: Well, what do you mean by "fortune telling •? 2 THE WITNESS: By "fortune telling," I would mean that 3 there would be a symbolic system that I would lay out for me, 4 specific events for my life that I would believe on the basis 5 of those symbolic expressions. BY MRS. STEIN: Have you ever participated with the defendant in the 7 use of predicting future events by means of tarot cards? 8 No, I have not. By the way, you are a minister in the United 10 **!!**. Methodist Church, you said; is that correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 In your church is it a part of your religion to --13 religious beliefs of the church, to tell fortunes? 14 It is not part of my personal practice. However, 15 16 within the Christian tradition there is a wide variety of religious experience that is expressed which includes 17 18 foretelling the future, which includes prophecy, which includes speaking in tongues, which includes healing, which 19 includes miracle working. And all of these have some validity 20 and they certainly have theological basis within the Christian 21 tradition as they do within other traditions. 22 Since you mentioned the Christian tradition, are 23 O. you aware of the first commandment -- the ten commandments? 24 A. 25 I believe so, yes. 26 Q. Can you tell it to us? 27

That you believe God with all thy heart and mind an<a

28

soul.

```
1
            Q.
                 How about, I am the Lord thy God and thou shall have
      no other Gods before me?
 3
            A.
                 I believe that's the second commandment in the Old
      Testament.
            Q.
                 And what's the first one?
                 Thou shall believe in the Lord thy God with all thy
      heart and with all thy might.
 7
            O.
                 And the second one?
 8
                 Thou shall have no other Gods before me.
                 Are you aware of Deuteromony Chapter 18, verses 10
10
      through 12?
11
12
            MS. BUCKLEY:
                          Your Honor, I object. I was prevented
      from cross examining --
13
14
            THE COURT: Just a basis of your objection.
            MS. BUCKLEY: My objection is that this is going beyond
15
      the scope and bringing into this trial issues which are not
16
17
      relevant.
18
            THE COURT: Mrs. Stein, what are you getting at?
            MRS. STEIN: Well, it was just based on his last comment
19
      that -- his own voluntary statement about predictions, and so
20
      on, that he said.
21
            THE COURT: As to what? You were asking about
22
      Deuteronomy. And what are we getting in to that area
23
            MS. STEIN: Because that's in direct contradiction of
24
25
      what he just said.
            THE COURT: You may, for that limited purpose. But I
26
27
      think Ms. Buckley's point was well taken.
                 You may inquire for this limited purpose.
28
```

MRS. STEIN: Thank you.

BY MRS. STEIN:

6.

1.5

Q. "Neither let there be found among you any one •••
that consulteth soothsayers, or observeth dreams and omens,
neither let there be any wizard nor charmer nor anyone that
consulteth pythonic spirits, or fortune tellers, or that
speaketh the truth from the dead. For the Lord abhorreth all
these things, and for these abominations. He will destroy them
at thy coming."

Are you aware of that?

A. I believe that there are injunctions throughout the old and new Testaments against what is considered by the early Christian church, by the Jewish tradition to be pagan rituals, also rituals that were abroad in the land that the Israelite people took over; and there was great ternptation on their part to participate in some of those rituals

It seems to me that these Jewish traditional papers are an attempt to maintain the Jewish tradition and to maintain it as pure in its own integrity rather than having it be co-opted by the religious practices that were also being practiced by other people who were their neighbors.

- Q. Well, are you aware that the Apostle Paul not only condemned witchcraft and fortune tellers by means of summoning parted spirits --
- A. I think it's also to be pointed out that people in the early Christian church spoke

MRS. STEIN: Excuse me.

Move to strike as non-responsive.

1 TI-IE COURT: Would you answer as to, apparently, the question that was asked as to Paul? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am aware that Paul has written, 4 as I said earlier BY MRS. STEIN: 6 Q. Now, you have --By the way, referring to People's Exhibit 10, a 7 poster advertising a Witchy Dance to be held at church in 8 Ocean Park, Second and Hill Street, Santa Monica, is that the location of your church, sir? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And wasn't this Witchy Dance in fact held at your 12 church on Saturday, February 22, 1975 from 8:00 p.m. to 13 2:00 a.m.? 14 A. I believe that's correct, if that's what it says 15 the1:e. 16 17 O. And was this a rental event or was this --This was an event in which there were requests for 18 donations at the door. And the church did receive a portion 19 of the amount which, I believe, was ten percent. Did you attend the Witchy Dance? O. 21 A. No. My understanding was that the program was for 22 women only. 23 Did you hear anything that went on at that Witchy 24 Q. Dance that they did? 25 Yes. There were people from the congregation who 26 were present at that occasion. 27

THE COURT: Ms. Buckley?

1 MS. BUCKLEY: I'm just waiting for her to ask what they 2 heard, and I was going to say, your Honor, it's hearsay. MRS. STEIN: It's not offered for the truth of the mattez 3 your Honor. 4 THE COUR'.r: I think it's going somewhat afield. And I 5 don't think we need to go into what may or may not have 6 happened at the dance. 7 BY MRS. STEIN: 8 How much did you collect from this Witchy Dance in O. which the poster indicates there's a charge of \$2.50? 10 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I'll object as irrelevant to 11 the issues.at stake in this case. 12 your Honor, it would tend to MRS. STEIN: It shows 13 show bias and prejudice on the part of -- financial interest. 14 THE COURT: I believe he's indicated they received ten 15 percent. 16 Objection sustained. 17 BY MRS. STEIN: 18 Now, since you have. - never seen the defendant in the 19 performance of any of her rites or rituals or whatever, it 20 would be a fair statement, would it not, to say that you 21 really have no personal firsthand knowledge of what her rituals 22 and rites are? 23 My understanding is that she participates and 24 enables those rituals to take place in the Wicca tradition. 25 I have testified that. I have experienced some of those rituals 26 thit would include having my own tarot cards read. 27 0. Did the defendant read your tarot cards? 28

1 A No, she did not. 2 Have you any -- well, 3 MRS. STEIN: I move to strike his answer to the former .4 question as being non-responsive. BY MRS. STEIN: Would it be a fair statement, sir, since you have 6 0. never seen the defendant in actual operation of her witchcraft, 7 that you really do not have any personal knowledge of how she operates that? Α I have no personal direct experience of Z's tarot 10 reading. Q. And since you've never seen anything else she does 12 either, you are not really -- you have no personal independent 13 knowledge of what her other rites and rituals may be, whatever they-are? 15 Through personal conversation with her, we have 16 explored theologically the implications of what she does, of 17 her beliefs, of her philosophical self understanding and of her 18 understanding of the world. 19 20 MRS. STEIN: Move to strike as being non-responsive. THE COURT: Objection sustained. 21 The jury is admonished to disregard the last 22 statement. 23 I think the question, Reverend Conn, is: Have you 2.4 ever seen her perform any religious functions or religious 25 duties? 26 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. 27

Your Honor, if you please, I would take

28

MS. BUCKLEY:

exception. She asked whether he had any personal knowledge. 1 And it is my understanding that conversations can very well 2 be personal knowledge --3 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object to counsel's testifyin 4 and arguing. **'**5 THE COURT: Well, no. The objection's overruled. But 6 let us --7 You may go back into it, Ms. Buckley, if you deem 8 necessary. 9 MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you. 10 MRS. STEIN: Excuse me. Could we have the Court's 11 question back and the answer? 12 THE COURT: The Court's question was, had he seen the 13 defendant engaged in any religious duties; and I believe the 14 answer was no. 15 BY MRS. STEIN: 16 Now, I have one last question. 17 You have a church and a parish and a congregation, 18 and so on; is that correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you ever refer or have you ever referred to Q. 21 any one of your parishioners as customers? 22 On occasion the word "client" has been used, but the 23 word "customer" I -- no. 24 And they're usually your parishioners, right? Q. 25 Α. Yes. 26 And you've never used "customer"; is that correct? Q. 27 We don't sell anything. We don't have candles, and

A.

so forth. 1 MRS. S'l'EIN: No further questlons. 2 THE COURT: Any redirect, Ms. Buckley? 3 MS. BUCKLEY: oh, yes, your Honor, a few things. 4 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 7 Reverend Conn, do you need to see a minister or Q. 8 a priest perform, in order to be able to know that he is in 9 fact a minister or a priest? 10 A. No. 11 Now, I have a question which was raised earlier and 12 which was not answered, and I believe I would like at this 13 time to ask for your answer. 14 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, object to the form of the 15 question. 16 THE COURT: Well, we haven't reached the question yet. 17 MRS. STEIN: The preamble. 18 THE COURT: I don't think we need an editorial comment, 19 Ms. Buckley. Just ask the question, please. 20 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. 21 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 22 23 Reverend Conn, your doctoral thesis has to do with religion and culture, is that true? 24 A. Yes. 25 And as a result of this are you familiar with the 26 practices of a number of religions? 27

MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor; beyond the scope of

the cross-examination and beyond the scope oft.he direct.

THE COURT: Objection's overruled.

The witness may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am quite aware, both in theological education and also in personal experience, of the various practices and styles of various religions as they express the cultural forms in which they are.

## BY MS. BUCKLEY:

Now, would you say that there are different methods of becoming a religious leader or minister or whatever the term would be?

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, that's beyond , the scope of his qualifications. He hasn't been qualified as a person who ordains other ministers.

THE COURT: I didn't read that into the question. The objection's overruled.

The witness may answer, if he can.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Various religious traditions identify their clergy persons through various methods. The traditional Christian method is that, among the believers that there are certain who are those who are selected out to pe the ministers of a given congregation of a given denomination., The Eastern tradition, of course, is that you join a sect, become a novice, devote yourself to a guru, and ulbim ately, after some work, designated as a master yourself. There is also another way of being designated as a clergy person, which has a long history that includes the Judaic tradition, and that is that, either through a family or through

a personal and secret selection, information is passed on from

Bible say: Beware of false prophets. They come to you with

the garb of sheep but at heart they are ravenous wolves.

1

23

27

You will know them by their fruit. Does one gather grapes frol 1 thorns or figs from thistles? Now, in your opinion, does that refer to the 3 question of prophecy and divination? 4 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object to asking the opinion 5 of the meaning of the Bible, as not being relevant to the 6 issues in this case. 7 THE COURT: I think MS. BUCKLEY: You opened it. 9 Excuse me, your Honor. 10 THE.COURT: I would as the people in the audience --11 I could hear all kinds of whispering. If you want to carry 12 on a conversation, please go outside. I am repeating that. 13 If you wish any conversations, please go outside. This is a 14 court of law where you're not supposed to be chewing gum or 15 talking or carrying on any other activities. 16 Mrs. Stein, you asked a few questions and opened 17 the door; and the door is now open, I hope not too big. 18 But you may proceed, Ms. Buckley. 19 MRS. STEIN: Well, I didn't ask him meaning, your Honor. 20 I just asked him if he was aware --THE COURT: Proceed, Ms. Buckley. 22 You may answer. 23 THE WITNESS: I believe that in both the Old and New Testaments the theological thrust of most passages have to do

with the question of truth as opposed to the question of form;

and that it is exactly the thrust that it is a question

of truth and what the results of that truth is rather than the

21

24 25

26 27

1 exact form in which the truth .is stated or expressed that is 2 of value. 3 BY MS. BUCKLEY: And is it not true that in the New Testament 4 O. 5 particularly, there are a number of examples in which Jesus does prophesying for individuals? 6 7 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I think this is going a little bit far afield. I'll raise a 352 objection. 8 THE COURT: I will let the witness answer this question, 9 but then I think we will limit it. Otherwise, we're getting 10 far afield. 11 You may answer. 12 THE WITNESS: Every religion that I know of has created 13 prophetic styles. The Judeo-Christian tradition is certainly 14 among them. Jesus himself used prophecy to speak the truth to 15 his generation. 16 MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you. I have no further questions, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Any recross, Mrs. Stein? 19 20 MRS. STEIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: May this witness be excused? MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: You're excused at this time. 23 Defense may call their next witness. 24 MS. BUCKLEY: At this time, your Honor, I will call the 25 defendant to the stand.

27

26

1	ZSUZSANNA EM.ESE BAR'I'HA,
2	the defendant in this case, called as a witness by the Defense,
3	having been affirmed, testified as follows:
4	THE CLERK: State your name, please.
5	THE WITNESS: Zsuzsa.nna Budapest.
6	
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
9	Q. Ms. Budapest, have you also been known as Bartha?
10	A. Yes.
11	${\it Q}$ . But you presently are known as Budapest?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Now, are you a high priestess of a religion called
14	Wicca?
15	A. Yes, I am.
16	Q. And do you have a coven that is called the
17	Susan B. Anthony Coven?
18	A. Yes, I do.
19	Q. And would you describe the
20	THE COURT: What is a coven?
21	I think we've used the word, and <b>it</b> hasn't been
22	described.
23	HE WITNESS: The coven is gathering of like-minded
24	people who come together to worship the same diety.
25	THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Buckley
26	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
27	${\it Q}$ . Would you describe some of the tenets of Wicca?
28	A. I don't understand the word "tenet".

1	
2	
3	Page 331 missing from hard copy transcript
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

sabats. We are performing our religious duties, and that --1 what makes me a high priestess -- that I lead these rituals 2 and I teach women also to become priestesses, and I also 3 planted other covens similar to our traditions. 4 Now, how did you become a witch? 5 k I have a mother who is a witch, and her mother was 6 an herbalist. And I have 800 years of tradition in my family that we can document going back to the thirteenth century. THE COUR'l': iv1hen you use the term "witch", what do you 9 mean? 10 THE WITNESS: Witches are goddess worshipers. 11 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 12 Now, you're goddess worshipers. Now, does your 13 worship of the goddess also include certain types of rituals 14 and certain kinds of powers given to you by the goddess? 1.5 Yes, yes, it does. 16 And when-was your, first experience as a witch, if 17 you remember? 18 This happened to me when I was only three 19 years old. 20 And what happened to you? 21 happened at the exact moment 22 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, excuse me. 23 Isn't this a little irrelevant to whether or not 24 the issue, the ultimate issue in this case -- I hate to keep 25 bringing this up. It may he very interesting. But as to 26 whether or not the defendant told a fortune on 27 THE COURT: I believe it will have some bearing. I am 28

1	
2	
3	Page 333 missing from hard copy transcript
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1[,	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

walking in a day and a half which was faster than if I had a passport.

Now, among the rituals which are part of your religious belief -- well, can you tell us what are some of the rituals and special powers which you believe the goddess has given you?

A. The goddess has bestowed upon me to know the secret of the cards; and that's a gift that I have been using upon request.

And what other --

A. And I also have the power to draw down the moon within the sacred circle. I can create the sacred circle. I can go in trance, and the goddess comes to me and she talks through my mouth.

The other gifts that tha goddess has, like understanding the voice of the winds, understanding the language of the animals, healing, I'm working on but I have not achieved proficiency in them. I would like to have all ten gifts eventually.

Q. Now, in your religion, what role do the tarot cards play?

A. It plays -- a means of consultation about a problem, It helps women to make up their minds, making decisions. It allows the priestess and the woman to come together and concentrate upon her life and achieve some type of an insight, understanding. I never really look at it as fortune telling because my mother uses another deck of cards when she reads fortunes, and it's not the tarot. It's another deck completely

```
1
     and it has only 32 suits. I really don't know that this was
     fortune telling.
2
                 Now, when you read the cards, do they tell more
            O.
4
     than just the future?
                 Yes. They tell the past, a lot about the present.
            Q.
                 Now, let me ask you some questions.
6
                 This tarot card ceck which, together with those
     cards which have been pasted on that exhibit, do you recognize
8
     these cards?
9
                 Yes. That's my deck.
10
            THE.COURT: Do you wish to approach the witness?
11
            MS. BUCKLEY: Yes. Thank you.
12
            THE WITNESS: Yes. Iused these cards 11 years.
13
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
14
                 And where do these cards come from?
            Q.
            A.
                 They come from New York.
16
            Q.
                 And do they have any special meaning?
17
            MRS. STEIN: Objection; irrelevant.
18
            THE WITNESS: They are my tools.
19
            THE COURT: The witness may answer.
20
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
21
                 They are your tools?
            Q.
22
23
            A.
                 My tools.
                 I show you Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3.
            Q.
24
            MS. BUCKLEY: May I approach the witness?
25
            THE COURT: You may.
26
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
27
                 Do you recognize the exhibit?
28
            Q.
```

```
1
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
2
                 This is bat's blood?
           Q.
3
                 Yes. It has nothing to do with bats.
           MS., BUCKLEY: May we have this marked Defendant's
5
     Exhibit D?
6
           "I'HE COURT: It may be so marked for idenitification,
7
     Defendant's Exhibit D.
                 Proceed, Ms. Buckley.
9
            MS. BUCKLEY: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
           THE COURT: You may.
10
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
11
                 Now, I show you Defendant's Exhibit E for
12
            0
     identification and ask if you can identify that?
13
                 This is an herb called Dragon's Blood.
14
            A.
            THE COURT: It's a what?
1.5
           THE WITNESS: Dragon's Blood.
16
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
17
            \bigcirc
                 It's an herb?
18
                 It's another herb. The herbs have names given to
            A.
19
      them by the people a long time ago, and we just accept the
20
     names afJ:ie. This is how it comes from -- it would look just
21
     like that in a bottle if it was made a solution of. The
22
     purpose fo it is purification, and it's already considered
23
     very highly good charm. It's a good luck charm.
24
            MS. BUCKLEY: May I have this marked Defendant's F?
25
            THE COURT: It may be so marked.
26
            MS. BUCKLEY: For identification.
27
```

```
1
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
2
                Now, I show you the Defendant's Exhibit marked F
3
     for identification.
                Can you identify that?
5
                Yes. This is what we put up in the store after
           A.
     five months of having no sign. Finally somebody thought about
6
7
     it and put it up.
                What does it say?
9
                It says "tarot readings, complete reading $10.00."
           A.
                And this, up until today, has been sitting in the
10
     Wicca shop; is that correct?
11
           Α.
                Yes.
12
13
           MS. BUCKLEY: Now, I'd like this marked Defendant's G for
     identification.
14
           THE COURT: It may be so marked.
15
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
16
                 Now, is there another sign in your shop with regard
17
     to the items that are sold?
18
                Yes.
           A.
19
           THE COURT: Do you wish to approach the witness?
20
           MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor?
21
           THE COUR'l': You may.
22
           MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you.
23
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
24
                 I show you Defendant's Exhibit Number G.
25
                 Would you examine that?
26
                 Yes. This has been put up right at the beginning of
            A.
27
     our opening. It says "All items sold as curios only.
                                                                We make
28
```

```
1
     no claims of supernatural, amatory, or therapeutic effects for
2
     spells. They are given as interesting legends only.
3
                The management."
4
           THE COURT: And this has also been up in the Wicca store?
5
           THE WITNESS: Up until this morning when I took it off.
6
           THE COURT: Where on the diagram was that sign posted,
     the item G, the last item?
7
           THE WITNESS: Just over the P for potions, right over the
8
9
     potions, yes.
           MS. BUCKLEY: Should I mark that S for sign, your Honor?
10
11
           THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
12
                Now, there's been much discussion of the Wicca shop.
13
     That's -- the full name is what?
14
                The Feminist Wicca.
           k
15
                And do you own that shop?
16
                No, I don't. I'm one of the owners. Four of us own
17
     it.
          I'm like one-fourth of an owner.
18
                And what is sold in that shop?
19
                We sell predominantly books, candles and some oils
20
     and a lot of herbs.
21
                Now, is the shop open to the public as well as to
22
     members of your coven?
23
                Yes, yes, definitely.
           k
24
                And is this shop where you do your readings of the
           O.
25
     tarot?
26
                Yes.
27
                Do you also do readings of the tarot in other places?
           Q.
28
```

.03

```
1
                 Yes, sometimes.
            A.
2
                 Does your religion require you to read the tarot
            O.
3
     cards only for your own membership?
                 No, no. I have to give consultation upon request.
4
            A.
            Q.
                 You have to give consultation upon request. And do
     you expect to be paid for that?
6
                 There is an exchange. I have read for 75 cents.
7
     I have read for a single rose. I read for nothing. And I've
8
     read for a kiss.
9
                 But you ordinarily expect to be paid in something?
1.0
            O.
                        Some exchange takes place.
11
            Α.
                 Yes.
12
                 And is that part of your religious beliefs?
            Q.
            MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I haven't been objecting, but
13
14
     she's really leading the witness.
1.5
            THE COURT: The objection's sustained.
16
                 You may answer that last question.
17
            THE WITNESS: What was the last question?
18
            THE COURT: Well, perhaps you can state it again.
19
                 You may proceed, Ms. Buckley.
20
            MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you, your Honor.
21
     BY .MS. BUCKLEY:
22
                 If someone came to you who could not afford to pay
23
      for the tarot, would you still read their cards for them?
                 Yes, I would and I have.
24
25
            MS.. BUCKLEY: I'd like to mark this H for identification.
26
            THE COURT: It may be so marked.
27
            MS.. BUCKLEY:
                           Thank you, your Honor.
28
                    I approach the witness?
```

1 THE COURT: You may. 2 BY MS. BUCKLEY: Q. Now, I show you Defendant's Exhibit marked H for identification, and ask you if you will describe that picture. This picture is my altar in my reading room at the A. Feminist Wicca. The center piece shows the great goddess and 6 her child, and it has been made by my mother. She's a ceramic artist. 8 9 Where is this altar set up? Q. 10 It's set up right next to my reading table. A. Q. Looking at the exhibit, that would be where? 11 Yes, to my right, it would be to my right. 12 A. Where would you be sitting if you're at this table? 13 A. I would be sitting at the south side, and it would 14 be to the east then. 15 It would be here? 16 A. Yes. 17 I'll mark that with an A for altar. 18 THE COURT: It may be so marked. 19 BY MS. BUCKLEY: 20 21 Now, what is on this altar? Q. On the altar is usually -- there is an image of the 22 great goddess, and the two candles burning on the side of her, 23 and I usually burn a seven-layer candle, that I brought along, 24 in front of her. Every time I read cards I burn my candles for 25 inspiration. 26 27 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I mark this Defendant's I

for identification?

THE COURT: It may be so marked. 1 2 MS. BUCKLEY: And then may I approach the witness? 3 THE COURT: You may. BY MS. BUCKLEY: 4 Would you describe that candle and the function it performs? 6 7 This is a very highly valued candle because it -different thought is contemplated while it burns. It burns for 8 a week. The first day the green is burning for the life force, 9 e:voking life force. Then the second one, the red, is for action 10 that the life force manifests. The yellow is for spirituality 11 and understanding. And then the blue burns a whole day which 12 burns for protection. Then the pink one, which is bringing 13 happiness. And then the purple one which stands for synthesis 14 and synthesizing all that went above. And then the white burns 15 for blessings upon the entire wish that this candle was burning 16 for. I always burn this when I read. I light this candle. 17 And this candle, then, is part of your religious 18 function and the Feminist Wicca? 19 Yeah. 20 Now, taking on a slightly different tact --21 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 22 THE COURT: You may. 23 MRS\* STEIN: Hay we approach the bench? THE COURT: You may. 25 (The following proceedings were had at the bench.) 26 MRS. STEIN: She's going to introduce a torn out copy of 27 the Yellow Pages. It's hearsay. The defendant isn't mentioned 28

1 in it, and I don't know what it has to do with these issues. 2 THE COURT: Ms. Buckley? 3 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes. It has to/with one of my defenses, 4 your Honor, which is discriminatory enforcement, because we 5 are here in the City of Los Angeles and we have these spiritualist consultants very prominently advertising in the 6 Yellow Pages, at a cost of \$3,500.00 a year. And obviously they are not being prosecuted. 8 9 MRS. STEIN: That isn't true, your Honor. First of all, this is apparently I don't know what area it is, but perhaps 10 she advertises in the West Los Angeles phone book. 11 the .12 MS., BUCKLEY: The City of Los Angeles Municipal Code 13 covers all of the City of Los Angeles. 14 THE COURT: Not all these are in the: City of Los Angeles. 15 One is in Long Beach or Lynwood that I can see. 16 MRS. STEIN: What probity value can that have? 17 MS. BUCKLEY: That -- if you are talking about something 18 illegal. And here they are, you know, advertising in the 19 telephone book. 20 THE COURT: One is in Alhambra. 21 MS. BUCKLEY: That's right. And outside the City of 22 Los Angeles it isn't illegal to tell fortunes. 23 THE COURT: Well, that may be. But at the moment we 24 have the Municipal Code that we're involv:ed in. 25 MRS. STEIN: That's right. And it would be highly 26 prejudicial to introduce this. 27 THE COURT: You said you're introducing it for 28

.13

discriminatory enforcement.

Are you offering any other evidence on discriminatory enforcement?

MS. BUCKLEY: Well, no, your Honor, we probably are not at this point. We may, though, if my witness Louise Huebner gets here. But, unfortunately, she hasn't appeared so far. And when I called her home they didn't know where she was.

So -- but I will, your Honor, if she appears --

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor

THE COURT: Just a minute.

MS. BUCKLEY: The thing is, if my witness Louise Huebner appears -- she is, number one, the official County Witch, complete with certificate from the Los Angeles County with County Seal. And in 1968, at a time when L.A. Municipal Code was in fact enforced, the Parks and Recreation Department held a ceremony or ritual at the Hollywood Bowl, at which time all sorts of officials of L.A. City and County were present, and Ms. Heubner, as the official County Witch, read tarot cards for a large multitude. And I think if she arrives we're going to-you know, her testimony connected up with this, I think, will go directly to the discriminatory enforcement issue.

MRS. STEIN: This is totally irrelevant to this particular case. This is a Venice case. And, as a matter of fact, t.11ere are three fortune tellers in Venice, your Honor, and they've all been similarly charged, including her. And this would be totally prejudicial. What they're doing in Beverly Hills is one thing.

THE COURT: All we're talking about is what. s happening

I

in the City of Los Angeles. 1 2 This is Western, your Honor, Melrose, MS. BUCKLEY: 3 Vermont, Wilshire, Hoover. 2161 is Venice --MRS. STEIN: Your Honor --THE COURT: One at a time, please. MS. BUCKLEY: Excuse me, your Honor. Venice is a part of Los Angeles. 7 Crenshaw is in Los Angeles. THE COURT: She may testify -- I don't think it adds 9 anything one way or the other -- other than what I will strike 10 which is -- we can later strike what isn't in the City. It has 11 no bearing on it. 12 (The following proceedings were had in open Court.) 13 MS. BUCKLEY: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 14 THE COURT: You may. 15 BY MS. BUCKLEY: · 16 Ms. Budapest, I show you Defendant's Exhibit J and Q. 17 ask whether you can identify tha. 18 This is the Yellow Pages of the Pacific Telephone 19 and Telegraph Company, 1974 under Spiritual. 20 Do you know what section of the Yellow Pages that Q. 21 come out of, covering what area? 22 Spiritual. A. 23 O. I mean which book did you take that out of? 24 A. The Los Angeles Yellow Pages. 25 Q. And on that page there are listed a number of 26 spiritual consultants? 27 A. Yes. 28

1	0. And what otherkinds of advertisements do you see?
2	A. We have palmiststry
3	MRS. STEIN: Objection, your Honor.
4	THE WITNESS: We have Madame Gray
5	MRS. STEIN: Object to reading from there on something
6	that's totally irrelevant. We don't know what happened to
7	those people.
8	THE COURT: The objecti.on is sustained.
9	It can be viewed by anybody who wishes to read it.
10	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
11	Q. Now, is the Susan B. Anthony Coven incorporated?
12	A. We have started
13	MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I believe we discussed this
14	matter at the bench this morning.
15	THE COURT: Speicfically, does the organization have a
16	certificate of incorporation at this time?
17	THE WITNESS: We have a certificate of hav.i.ng filed.
18	THE COURT: But do you have a certificate of
19	incorporation at this time?
20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
22	Q. How long has your coven been in existence?
23	A. We have started in 1971, December 21st.
24	Q. And you have been in existence since that time?
25	A Yes, and growing.
26	arrho Now, does your religion have <b>any</b> special places
27	where you do your worship?
28	A. We worship on a mountain top.

.v

1	Q. Is that literally or figuratively?
2	A. It is literally on a mountain top.
3	Do all of your religious functions take place on
4	the
5	A. Not all of them, but we.prefer to work in nature
6	since we are an earth religion.
7	Q. Does your organization have a special house or place
8	that it must be held in?
9	A. No, no. Wherever we are is the tel!lP.lft
10	MS. BUCKLEY: May I have one moment, your Honor?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	BY MS. BUCKLEY:
L3	Q. There was testimony about February 10, 1975 when you
14	met Officer I thought I'd never forget that name.
L5	A. Kimberlin.
L6	Q. Thank you at your place of business.
L7	Did you have a familial with you at the time?
18	A. Yes, I had.
L9	Q. And who was that?
20	A. Ilona.
21	Q. And who is Ilona?
22	A. Ilona has been my familial for five years. She is
23	the coven familial as well. She's also our totem animal
24	because Dianic Covens usually have the dog, the hound, as the
25	familial, because the goddess Diana is the huntess of the night
26	and she hunts with dogs.
27	Q. So that was the dog that officer saw?
28	A. Yes.

\{

And that is one of familials? 1 0. 2 A. Yes•. MS. BUCKLEY: I don't think I have any more questions 3 right now, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: I assume you have lengthy or you have some cross examination planned, Mrs. Stein? 6 MRS. STEIN: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, at this .point we'll 8 take the afternoon recess until approximately 3:10. I admonish you during this period you must not 10 converse among yourselves nor with anyone on any subject 11 connected with the case or the trial thereof. Also, you're 12 not to form or express any opinion thereon until the case is 13 finally submitted to you. 14 (There was held a short recess.) 15 16 THE COURT: In the matter of Zsuzsanna Bartha, the record 17 will reflect 12 jurors, two alternates are present. The 18 defendant is present with both Counsel. The City Attorney is present. 2.0 You may cross examine, Mrs. Stein. 21 22 CROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MRS. STEIN: 24 Do you prefer to be called Ms. Bartha or Q. Ms. Budapest? 26 A. Miss, Budapest. 27 Miss Budapest, you mentioned Ilona, a familial. O. 28 Α. Yes.

Could you tell us what a familial is? 1 Q. The familial is a protector of a witch whose spirit A. is kindled to the witches spirit, and there's usually some 3 connection in a previous lifetime between that animal who is now not a person and that witch. Somehow they met before. It's a spirit of that animal that's considered my link to the 6 animal queendom. 7 O. This is the German Shepherd that A. That's my German Shepherd Ilona. How about the cat? O 10 I don't have a cat at the Wicca. Α. 11 You don!t have a cat? Q. 12 13 No. It was very interesting how the thing happened under the chair. I think it was my spirit cat cause there was 14 never a cat in the Wicca, and can't explain how the mess was 15 It wasdistinctly made, but how it's 16 made by the cat. unexplainable. To this day we can't figure it out. 17 You never had a cat in your Wicca? Q. 18 A. No, we never did. 19 Do you recall last Tuesday my coming to your Wicca? 20 Q. A. By that time we could have had a cat because 21 we had two kittens since my bust. And they 22 Isn't it a fact that there was a white cat? O. 23 Yes, belonging to another sister. It's not my cat. A. 24 In a basket? 25 O. since that bust, it happened we acquired A. 26

two new kittens. It was spring and a litter happened.

Now, this dog which is a spir.it, does that represent

27

28

O.

somebodies spirit, a departed person, a reincarnation or 1 2 something? I named her after my grandmother, but it's not my 3 grandmother's spirit in my dog, no. But I named her after my 4 grandmother so I'd be able to call her a name. 5 And whose spirit is it? I don't know. It's a kindled spirit. It's a fine A. 7 female spirit. 8 0. And you don't know? A. No. She saved my life. 10 And she is the spirit of somebody that -- a human 0. 11 being that died? 12 Well, spirits are spirits. Flower has spirits. 13 Everything has spirits. 14 Well, is she O. 15 16 Life force is spirit. Q. Is this dog, this German Shepherd, is it the spirit 17 of a human being? 18 I'm not sure if she was a human being or whatever. 19 That is not important to me. It's not a person. I don't 20 treat my dog as a person. 21 Q. No. But was it a human spirit at one time? 22 Could have been. A. 23 0. And what else could it have been? 24 could have been a dog in a previous doggie 25 reincarnation. 26 But it's definitely --27 A. Life force expression, yes.

```
-- something else other than just a German Shepherd?
            Q.
1
                 Yes. It's invested with the life force.
            A.
2'ı
                 And how do you know that?
            Q.
3/
            Α.
                 She's alive.
                 Well, aren't we all?
            Q.
                 That's right. So we all have spirits.
            A.
                 But are we all
                                    do you believe that we're all
7
            Q.
      spirits of somebody departed?
8
                 We are all spirits, and we shall remain spirits.
            A.
9
      And this is not the only gig we have as human, either.
10
            Q.
                 So this dog is a spirit?
11.
            Α.
                 Dog.
12
                 German Shepherd.
            Q.
13
            A.
                 Yes.
14
            Q.
                 Now, you say she is the protector of a witch, is
15
      that correct?
16
                 Yes.
            A.
17
                 Now, why does a witch need a protector?
            Q.
18
            A.
                 Because, when I was coming home one day from work,
19
      I was attacked at the parking lot, and my dog jumped at my
20
      attacker from sleep and tore his clothes off, and I escaped
21
      being raped.
22
                 Isn't that something that a lot of German Shepherds
            Q.
23
      do?
24
                 That's right. That's the wisdom in having a dog.
25 .
                 So then it's really nothing more than an ordinary
26
      dog to you?
27
                  I think highly of her.
28
```

28

Q. Is it more than just an ordinary --A. Yes. To me she represents the animal queendom. 1'he animal what? 3 Q. Α. Queendom, the animal queendom. Q. And what is that? That's all the animals. A. Q. Female-only animals? A. No. It's in the queendom. 0. What is that? Α. I speak like that. You must excuse me. I try to 10 edit my language from kingdom because females give birth to 11 other dogs, and so I think it's a matriarchy, and I talk about 12 animals as queendom. It's just a figure of speech. 13 But she is definitely a special dog? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Has she done anything else other than save you from 16 this attacker? 17 She participates at sabats, represents the animal A. 18 queendom, protects the coven from intruders. 19 Now, you say that you worship the goddess Diana; 20 is that not correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 And do you worship any other goddesses? 23 Q. A. We believe that the goddess -- we personalize her 24 through different names. My tradition calls her Diana which 25 means holy mother. And all over Europe the map is full of her 26 name. The Danube was named after her, and the Don River Ls 1'27

Russia is named after her. And the evidence of Dianic worship

is written on the map of Europe. So-I like that name myself. 1 Now, you were here when Allison Harlow testified? O. A. Yes, I was. Now, she is a -- she is a high priestess in the national organization; is that not correct? 5 That's right. A. 6 And is your -- you are not a national organization? O. 7 Well, I planted a grove in Paris, France, and I A. think that makes us international. Now, she testified that your beliefs and her beliefs 10 are basically the same. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Did you hear her say that? Q. 13 Yes. A. 14 Do you remember her testifying that, when I asked 0. 15 her the question, which goddess do you worship, she said, as 16 many as there are things. 17 Yes, the lady of the ten thousand names. It's the A. 18 same. 19 And she did not name, after several questions, any Q. 20 specific goddess. Now, is that in -- do you disagree with her 21 on that particular point of witchhood? 22 No, I don't. That's perfectly correct. A. 23 Q. So that she didn't name Diana, did she? 24 A. 25 No. She's a Gardenian high priestess. I'm a Dianic high priestess. We have two different traditions. 26 So your beliefs aren't the same? Q. 27 It is the same belief except there are two A. 28

```
1
     different traditions. And, besides us, there is also the
2
     Al exandrians. The pagan traditions concentrate on the goddess.
     And the different traditions practice slightly differently;
3
     but the main deity - is the same, and that is where we draw the
     line.
                 But you do remember her saying -- not mentioning any
            Q.
7
     of --
                 I do, yes.
            A.
8
            Q.
                 You think she forgot?
                 No. She did say the ten thousand names. I just
            A.
10
     named two. I can also go on and name Isis, Astarte, Ashera,
11
     Tiamot.
12
            Q.
                 Now, you remember her not naming anyone?
13
                          I can, though. I love to say the names.
            A.
                 I know.
14
            0.
                 And she said as many as there are things in reality,
15
     didn't she?
16
            A.
                 Right. That's right.
17
                 And didn't name anybody.
            Q.
18
                 Now, you mentioned that you worshiped on a mountain
19
     top. Which mountain?
20
                 It's in Malibu.
            A.
21
                 What's the name of it?
            O.
22
                 I don't know.
            A.
23
            Q.
24
                 She is also a high priestess, is she not, --
25
                 Yes, she is.
26
                 -- Allison Harlow? And is your position higher or
     lower or about the same as hers?
                 It's thesame.
            A.
28
```

1	O Nove this mountain in Maliby that you don't know the
2	Q. Now, this mountain in Malibu that you don't know the
	name of, do you go to the same mountain all the time?
3	Most of the time, yes.
4	<b>Q</b> . And often do you go?
5	Eight times a year. Sometimes we also celebrate
6	Asbat's Day, weather permitting.
7	Q. And that is the cycles of the moon, the full moon?
8	Yes, that's right.
9	Q. Now, can you tell us what you do on this mountain
10	top to celebrate?
11	I can tell you certain portions of it. Certain
12	portions are protected by secrecy.
13	MS•.BUCKLEY: Your Honor, at this point I think what she
14	is saying is that there are certain mysteries that this Court
15	and no Court in the United States has a right to ask her about.
16	I know that one of the reasons we are getting into her
17	religious beliefs is because that's a central issue here.
18	But I would point out that this should not turn into a heresy
19	trial, your Honor, and that what we mainly are looking for are
20	the broad outlines to see whether she in fact has a religion,
21	not what the exact tenets of that religion are.
22	THE COURT: Well, we'll see how far we have to go in this
23	area.
24	But you may continue, Mrs. Stein.
25	MRS STEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
26	BY MRS. STEIN:
27	Q. What types of rites or rituals are performed on the
28	mountain top?
	1

A. Every Sabbath has a different theme. We start with Halloween which is dedicated to the dead. That's the feast of the dead. And the colors of the candles are different at that time. We are using different herbs at this time. The caldron is put in a different direction than usual.

- Q. Caldron?
- A. Caldron
- Q. That's a witches caldron?
- A. That's right. And basically the Sabbath is dedicated to draw near to the departed's wisdom, ask them for guidance, and to partake with them in a celebration honoring our dead.
  - Q. Now, what are these -- do you do any dances or --
  - 11. Yes, we do.

And are you specially dressed for these occasions?

- A. Yes. We are usually wreathed, and we wear garlands. Like what I'm having on now would be appropriate for this time of the year. And whatever is in season, we make garlands for our heads representing the goddess.
  - Q. Why is it you have secret rites?
- k I don't know. That's my tradition. I think it was because of the nine million women they burned 300 years ago.
- MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, this defendant has put in issue her religious beliefs. And how is the jury in any way, shape or form going to decide the case unless these beliefs are brought forth?

THE WITNESS: I'd be happy to bring forth the beliefs. I cannot --

1 THE COURT: I think you may be talking about two 2 different things. You're talking about beliefs, and apparently the witness is willing to testify as to her beliefs. may continue. MRS. STEIN: Thank you. BY MRS. STEIN: Now, what types of rites do you perform? 7 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, objection. 9 THE COURT: We're talking about two different statements. 10 You said you wanted to go into her beliefs. MRS. STEIN: Well, I want to go into what happens ķΖ know of no religion, organized, recognized religion in the 13 United States, that has a s cret that has secret rites and 14 a closed-door policy. The doors to all churches and temples are open to the public. Now, if this defendant wishes to put 15 her religion in issue, I think that the jury, in order to make 16 a fair determination, should know just exactly what's going on. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Buckley? 18 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I point out that the 9 Scottish Rite Masonry, which has many practioners from 20 professions all over this country, has secret rituals and rites 21 and that the fact that this particular religion has secret 22 rites which are only available to their membership in no way 23 affects whether or not it is a religion. The Scottish Rite 24 25 Masolls were never in any way brought up to answer to their

THE COURT: I'm not sure they're a religions That's mor of a fraternal, based on some underlying religious

religious

26

27

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, the problem is --MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, --2 THE COURT: One at a time. 3 MS. BUCKLEY: The courts have held in the United States under the First Amendment that the State may not set up a 5 church or pass laws that govern the establishment of that 6 7 religion. And there is nothing in any of the cases that says that we have a right to say that a church may or may not have rituals which are not open to the public. And I would point 9 out that --10 THE COURT: Just a second. 11 Mrs. Stein? 12 MRS. STEIN: Well, the main point here is that she's --13 the defendant has raised this issue. And how can we decide --14 if she's raised the issue, how can the jury determine 1.5 THE COURT: Which issue are we talking about? 16 MRS. STEIN: We're talking about the issue of her 17 religion, as she calls it, quote, a religion; and she's put it 18 in issue. It's like, if I might analogize, a patient or a 19 person in a personal injury action or a patient that sues a 2.0 doctor in a medical malpractice case, although --21 THE COURT: Ma'am, would you please be seated. 22 No notes are to be passed to any of the attorneys 23 or any parties during this case. 24 MRS. STEIN: Although there is a physician-patient 25 privilege that -- once a party sues a doctor, then the records 26 are open to the public. 27

THE COURT: I'm sure, Mrs. Stein, defendant's aware that,

1

Now, can you tell us what those are?

MS. BUCKLEY: Objection, your Honor. I thought we were going into her beliefs, not her rituals.

3

THE COURT: Well, she may explain some of the rituals. I think she was even willing to do that previously.

5

And you may proceed, Mrs. Bartha.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE WITNESS: The basic idea about a Sabbath celebration is to refuel witches' powers, and at that point -- there is no no such thing that a witc." must observe must on this. There is Sabbaths. But the witches who do build power. And it involves setting up a sacred circle with stones. It involves a circle of women. It involves the caldron in certain position depending on the season of the year we have. It moves around following the solar system.

The dance around the caldron is a dance of celebration of life. And we have a feast involved.. And that celebrates the goddess of plenty. We thank for our food. We bless our bread and wine. We pass the chalice. We drink to each other's health. We bless each other. And each different Sabbath is devoted to a new theme. Like the one coming up April 30th, May Eve, is going to be about fertility of imagination, work, food, people. And we are going to celebrate Persephone rising from the underworld who is bringing the flowers and the buds and fertility and new kittens are born. And country people, in particular, are very aware of the tides in the springtime. And it follows a rule around in ei.ght different ma.jar stations, ending up again with the feast of the dead which started it all. And they are basically designed to -- for the witches to experience mot.her nature in her different aspects; when she is primavera, Persephone rising from the underworld bringing the green above the ground: she brings the harvest; and when she brings the cold again in her dea.th aspect.

These are basically the outlines of our rituals.

And it just really follows the solar calendar year. The only thing that secret about it is the people's names who come there, the invocations that I might use, and a few other things that I'm not allowed to divulge.

I'd be happy to explain my religion to you otherwise.

## BY MRS. STEIN:

Q. Why are your invocations secret?

A Three hundred years ago they burned nine million of us, and there were some new rules made., And this was one of them; don't tell them what you say, because it was heresy. We talk about the goddess instead of Jesus. And they burned us for that before.

- Q. Well, you don't -- if you're found guilty, you don't expect to get burned, do you?
  - k Blessed be, praise the Mother, I certainly don't.

THE COURT: Excuse me.

There will be silence in the audience. Otherwise, as I stated previously, I will have to remove people from the courtroom. This is a court of law to which the public may attend; but there are to be no outbursts or comments.

q

BY MRS • STEIN: '

2	Q. And, as a matter of fact, you stated in the Times,
3	the Los Angeles Times newspaper, that you only expected to pay
4	a fine; is that true?
5	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I think it is irrelevant, what
6	she expects out of this trial. It's not a matter for the jury,
7	what her punishment is to be.
8	THE COURT: Well, the subject of penalty or punishment,
9	as the jury will be instructed, is not an issue for their
10	consideration.
11	MRS STEIN: Your Honor, it goes I just asked it to go
12	to her state of mind as to
13	THE COURT: She may answer that.
14	MRS. STEIN: Thank you.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	BY MRS. STEIN:
17	Q. So that you only expect to pay a fine; is that true?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. But you said
20	A. Not burning, no. I don't think you're going to burn
21	me this time.
22	Q. Now, which, incidently, you said you wouldn't pay
23	a penny of; isn't that also true?
24	A. Yes, I said that? there
25	Q. So that is/any other reason why you won't tell us
26	your secret rites?
27	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I object. This has nothin9 to
28	do with the issue ln this case. And I can give her a perfect

1 example under the Constitution why she shouldn't answer that. 2 THE COURT: Just one moment, please. 3/ I think we've gone into it, Mrs. Stein. And the Court has indicated with its ruling. And so let us move on. 5 MRS. STEIN: Can she give a reason why she won't? 6 THE COURT: I think she has stated it previously. 7 MRS. STEIN: The only thing she said was that because 8 people were burned at the stake. That's the only thing she said. THE COURT: 'l'hat was her reason. She said it changed 10 11 the rules, at least to invocations. And I assume -- you may ask, you.know 12 13 Was it agreed also at that time that any other rituals would not be divulged to the --14 15 THE WITNESS; Yes. There are quite strict rules for Dianic Witches that I must follow. 16 BY MRS. STEIN: 17 Who sets up these rules? Q. 18 My ancestors did. 19 A. &"ld are they in writing? Q. 20 Yes, quite a few of them. A. 21 And where do you get a copy? 22 Q. It's in Book Of Shadows in possession of different 23 Α. witches that's passed down from mother to daughter, which includes the spells, the rituals, the cures, the words and the 25 laws. 26 27 Q. But this is not available to the public?

To witches it is. If you know somebody and you can

; (

28

A.

1 get hold of it, I guess it is. And this has all the secrets in it, secret rites 2 and rituals? 3 Yes. You might find it very diverse, though, Α. 4 because everybody has a different type of Book of Shadows. It's like a cookbook. 6 So that there is not one universal one? 7 A. No. 8 You make up your own? Q. 9 Α. Well, you respect what the ancestors passed on. 10 You referred to something called the feast of the Q. 11 dead. What is that? 12 This is our major Sabbath. We honor the souls of 13 our foremothers, and we have our food set aside so that they 14 can -- their spirits **can** partake in it, and just symbolically 15 offer it up to them. And we eat with the knowledge that t.1-iey 16 are pleased with our company. 17 Have you ever seen any of these spirits eat the 18 food? I) No, but it is not expected to. 20 Now, you said the purpose of these 9et togethers of 191 witches at the full moon is to refuel witches' power. 22 What exactly do you mean by that? 23 Well, people cannot just declare themselves 24 They have to observe holidays when the actual 25 magnetic tides are available to draw near to our goddess, ajld 26 those are our holidays. And witches who observe them are 27 stronger t. an the ones who are not. 28

1 Ο. So that, from time to time, your powers need to be 2 refueled as a witch? 3 Α. To draw nearer our goddess, yes. 0. That makes you a stronger witch? A. And wise, more wise. 5 0. And this comes from the moon? 6 The moon is the manifestation of the life force. Α. 7 governs all waters, and the waters govern the weather, and 8 ti\e weather governs the food. 9 0. You referred to the moon as a --10 Manifestation. Α. 11 0. - she, 12 Α. Yes. 13 -- not an it? 14 No. In my tradition the moon is a she. A. 15 Is the moon, in your tradition, a live person? 16 No. We regard the moon as the manifestation of 17 ■e life force, the great goddess who rules over life and 18 eath and beauty. 19 Now, you stated that all your religious functions 20 are performed on this mountain top. Is that not true? 21 A. Well, when it's raining we do it indoors. 22 Q. But other than --23 Other than that, yes. That's for the worshiping 24 and the holidays. 25 So you attend these witches' rites, and so on, on a 26 regular basis? 27 Yes. 2.8

```
1
                 And are you in charge?
            Q.
2
            Α.
                 Yes.
3
            Q.
                 On this mountain top?
            Α.
                 Yes.
5
            Q.
                And how many are there there?
6
            A.
                 Anywhere from 13 to 49, sometimes 50, sometimes a
7
     hundred. Midsummer nights are a conglomeration of many
     different covens that can go up to two, three hundred witches
8
     gathering.
9
10
                 And so your powers, since -- you, 're in charge of all
11
      this; is that true?
            Α.
12
                 Yes.
            Q.
                 So your pCY.-.vers are pretty good, then?
13
            A.
                Blessed be.
14
            Q.
                 And you've had them refueled?
15
                Yes, I have.
16
            A.
            0.
                 And, by the way, what do you do on Halloween?
17
                 I celebrate
            Α.
19
            MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I think that's been asked and
     answered, at least twice, in her description of the
20
            THE COURT: Is Halloween the feast of the dead?
21
            THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
22
     BY MRS. STEIN:
23
                 Oh. That's the feast of the dead?
            Q.
24
            A.
                 That's our new year.
25
            Q.
                 Do you dress up special for that or anything?
26
            A.
                 It depends on the weather.
27
                 And how do you dress for that?
           Q.
28
```

1 Regular clothes. I don't -- I could -- I have 2 conducted Sabbaths in what I'm wearing today on the mountain 3 top. 4 Q. You don't wear anything special for Halloween? A. No. 6 O. By the way, you heard the high pl:iestess, witch 7 Harlow, testify that it took her a year and a day to becc>me a witch. Now, did you become a witch in a manner different from 9 that? A. Yes, I havee 11 O. You inherited yours? I inherited my ability, but I had to learn like 12 Α everyone else. 13 Ability alone does not make a witch. 14 Q. And did it also take you a year and a day? 15 Α. No•.. It has taken me about 16 years. 16 Q. Well, you said it all started when you were three. A. 17 That was when my first experience happened. 18 Q. And you remember what happened to you when you were three? 19 20 I was found in trance by my parents1 and it's kind of a legend, what happened to me at three. 21 22 Q. So this was told you, then? You don't remember --23 A. I have remembrance of it but not quite, as my mother 24 and my aunt observed it who were not in trance. It's hard to 25 remember, being in and out of ; trance simultaneously. It's 26 either in or out, what you remember. I remember being in 27 trance. They remember what I did in trance, from observing on the outside. 28

Q. And it took you 16 years?A. Yes, about.

When did you start?

Q.

- A. From the very beginning when I was born, my mother was teaching me little things., There are certain exercises babies can do, for instance, and then there are exercises that's for later on. And, when one is in a family of witches, one is constantly in training because one is expected to become part of the family.
- Q. Are there more in your family than you and your mother?
- A Well, my aunt copped out and she became a pharmacist. She could have made a great herbalist, but she got herself a Ph.D, and she works for the State.. But we traditionally owned a pharmacy which was an herbal shop before, and the Russians took it away when they came in to Hungary. And then after that my aunt just worked in the pharmacy owned by the State. But 800 years, major linear line of witches I come from, and I'm the last of the line.
  - Q. Why are you the last in the line?
- A. 'Cause I gave birth to two sons, and they are not continuing the line. I was not blessed with daughters.
- $\mathcal{Q}$ . So that you differ, then, from the high priestess Allison Harlow, not only in that it took you 16 years but that her coven allows men to be witches?
- Yes. That's the Gardenian tradition which is basically Welsh and Celtic, and mine is Dianic, mid-Europeane
  - Q. Do you hold any certificate of credit or of

ordination under any ecclesiastical laws of a bona fide 1 religion? 2 I have an initiation in my tradition. 3 Do you have any certificate in writing of credit? We don't have that in my tradition. A. You mentioned that 30, 49, two hundred, three 6 hundred people come out. 7 Are these all witches? 8 Yes, they are. A. 0. And do you know how many witches there are in 10 Los Angeles? 11 A. No, I don't. 12 Q. There are at least two or three hundred? 13 A. 14 Oh, that alone is my coven. There are a lot more than that. 15 Q. So you have some two to three hundred people --17 I would say two hundred. 18 -- under you? 19 Two hundred initiates. There are other people in guidance and in study. 20 Q. And they are all witches? 21 A. Blessed be. 22 And is there any reason why witch Allison Harlow -it took her a year and a day, and it took you 16 --24 t think it's because her tradition has a different 25 way of initiating, and also because she is not a genetic witch. 26 I had it easier because it came through the mother's milk, so 27 to speak; and I think that's an easier path. One gets lessons 28

every hour of tho day. It's a ltfe style as well..' 1 Well, you started, then, at three, or when you were 2 an infant? I would think, when I was an infant I was used in the circle as a spirit, because my spirit was an infant. Well, you're not 16 years old, are you? Q. No. I'm 35. Α. So when did you start studying, at 16 or at birth? Q. I would say I absorbed a whole lot of unconscious teachings when I was a baby and responded to unconscious 10 commands, I mean to non-verbal commands. And my education 11 took as long as I was home. When I was 16 we had a revolution 12 there, the 1956 Hungarian Revolution, and I had to escape. 13 And after that my education was self pursued. I have 14 continued reading and teaching myself. But I had pretty good 1.5 foundation by then. 16 Now, this is 16 years from before you left Hungary O. 17 or after? 18 Α. Before. 19 So you're considering, then, the first 16 years of 20 your life 21 Yes. A. 22 Q. yes 23 It never stops, actually. We are constantly A. 24 one constantly, constantly studies more and more. 25 But you were a full-fledged witch at 16?

Oh, yes, definitely. I was initiated when I

27 28

26

Q.

menstruated for the first time.

1 0. You mentioned that the full moon :f.s very important 2 and it has magnetic tides. Can you tell us can you describe for us what those magnetic tides are? Magnetic tides are all the time. At full moon the 5 magnetism of the moon is the strongest. The crime rate goes up, for instance. The ocean wells up with the high tide at full moon. It's magnetism. Q. And do you claim to actually feel this or something? 9 Oh, yes. Everybody feels.it, actually. I'm sure 10 you feel it. And it helps refuel your witchy powers? 11 O. 12 You said correctly, yes. 13 But everybody isn't a witch. Q. Everybody isn't a witch, but the moon magnetizes 14 everybody. Two-thirds of the human body happens to be water 15 16 which the moon governs. Would you be interested to know that it's actually 17 18 85 percent?. 19 Α. Oh, it pleases me better. 20 Now, you discussed a universal calendar that you follow. What is that? 21 22 Α. The different stations of the earth orbiting around 23 the sun. 24 Is this some other type of calendar, other than January, February, March, April, May, and so on? 25 26 A. That's the same except the holidays are 27 different. we are -- the pagan holidays are right on when the 28 solstice occurs. The Jewish holiday moved it a little earlier.

\ (

1 The Christian holiday moved it a little past. But they also celebrate Q. You celebrate eight holidays? A. Eight holidays in a year. Q. And 30 full moons? A. There are only 13 full moons in a year. 13 full moons? O. -Our Dianic witches new 8 Α. Yes, and 13 new moons. moons are also holidays. And you celebrate those, too? 10 Privately, not necessarily with a coven. 11 So you celebrate the 13 full moons on the mountain? Q. 12 That's a lot of holidays. It's a joy-oriented 13 religion, definitely. 14 And eight holidays. 15 Q. And one of them is tile feast of the dead, right? 16 Yes, the new year. 17 18 Now, you said that witches are goddess worshippers that have certain powers, and you said -- well, where do these 19 powers come from? 20 From the goddess. 21 0. 22 And how is it that it got to you? 23 Maybe because I paid more attention to her than you I think these powers can, be gained from the goddess 24

Q. Is there such a thing as a distinct i on between a good witch and a bad witch?

through focusing upon her, understanding her ways, following

25

26

27

28

her path.

```
1
                 A good witch, I hope, is everyone. A bad witch
2
     could be somebody who attacks the innocent. We do not believe
3
     in attacking the innocent.
                 A good witch is everybody. Is that --
            0.
5
            A.
                 Hopefully, all witches have that wisdom, yes.
6
                 Well, when you say everybody, you mean all of us or
           {).
7
     just the witches?
8
            Α.
                 My witches whom I know personally.
9
            Q.
                 Oh, your witches. And this power comes from the
     goddess?
10
11
            A.
                 Yes.
                 Now, how is it transmitted to you?
12
            O.
13
            A.
                 'l'hrough rneditation, through evoking her powers,
     observing her holidays, following her path.
14
15
           O.
                 And is this any different than witch
     Allison Harlow's
16
17
            Α.
                 No.
            Q.
18
                 - powers?
19
                 No, it is not.
            A.
20
                 And was your grandmother a witch?
           Q.
                 She was an herbalist.
21
           Α.
22
                 And that is different from a witch?
           O.
23
                      That's another specialty. She was a goddess
           Α.
                 No.
     worshiper, as well, yes.
24
25
                 Is that a specialty in the world of witches or is
26
     it --
                       Some of us are more talented at one thing or
27
                 Yes.
           A.
     the other. Some of us are into understanding the herbs and
28
```

i I

1 what they are good for, and some of us are more into divinati.on. 2 Some of us are more i.nt.o ideology, philosophy. Some of us are into prophecy and trances. Some of us are into working with animals. Some of us are into solitude, living alone. Some of us are into social work, organizing. Do you have a specialty? Α. Yes. Q. What is your specialty? I have the gift of speech, and I also understand A. the cards. 10 So your specialty is tarot card reading; is that 0. 11 As.well as the gift of speech which I use to 12 educate and to organize. 13 And you have the gift of speech. l<ind</pre> of a golden Q. 14 tongue? 15 Or the silver one. 16 Q. And that makes you able to speak and persuade 17 people. 18 A. 19 And inspire. And inspire. So that's -- your specialties are Q. 20 tarot cards and the gift of speech. 21 ny the way, these witches that are specialists in 22 the animal world, what do they specialize in? 23 I on't know. I haven't met any lately. 24 understand that they like to raise animals on the farm, and 25 they are into breeding dogs or horses or one of these things. 26 •rhey are quite happy living with animals only. 27

Q.

28

Now, you mentioned that you were a Hungarian refugee

```
and escaped, and so on.
1
2
            A.
                 Yes, I am.
            Q.
                 There were a lot of Hungarian refugees that escaped
      in that war.
                 That's right.
            Q.
                 And you are one of them?
            A.
                 Yes.
            Q.
8
                 Did you have or have you had any formal training in
      the fields of psychology, sociology, medicine, psychiatry?
9
            A.
                 No.
                 So that all your training has come from other witcheb.
11
     Would that be true?
12
                 The best kind, yes.
            A.
13
                 And that is the best kind, rig·ht?
            Q.
14
                 Yes.
            A.
15
            Q.
                 And why is that the best kind of training?
16
            A.
                 It is an old tradition and it's a confidential, very
17
     intimate science: and it's best passed on, especially in my
18
     tradition, within a family. It also used to be part of the
19
     family function in town. And they safeguarded their secrets,
20
     and they propagated it and maintained it throughout generations
21
     after generations. Europeans do it like that.
22
                 So you have certain specific powers, right?
23
     You said, one, you know the secret of the cards.
24
            'Α.
                 Yes.
25
            Q.
                 This is what you testified on direct?
26
            A.
                 Yes.
27
            Q.
                 And you can draw down the moon in a sacred circle,
28
```

1 you said. 2 What does that mean? It involves an invocation to the moon goddess for A. inspiration and allowing her to speak through the high 4 priestess or the medium within that coven. And have you heard her speak? Q. 6 7 Yes, I have. A. And what did she say? 8 Q. She was proud of me. 9 A. And was this in a group or something? 10 Q. Yes. 11 A. 12 O. And you heard a voice? 13 It's the voice of the sister who is the medium. A. Q. 14 And this came out of the sky? 15 A. No. It came through the woman. 16 Q. Through somebody else? 17 A. Through the goddess. Q. 18 Did you see a goddess? A. 19 I don't have to see the goddess. I can listen and 20 know. 21 Q. You heard a voice, though? 22 A. Yes. Q. 23 And what did the voice say? MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I have been very patient, I 24 think, but I think this is totally irrelevant. And, as I look 25 at United States versus Ballard, it sounds more and more like 26 her -- the tenets of her religious beliefs are being put on 27 28 I just -- · I see no connection between what the goddess

said to her and the issues in this trial. 1 2 THE COURT: The objection appears to be well taken. Mrs. Stein, I re, alizeyou're cross examining, but I 3 think, you know, we are getting somewhat afield from the 4 actual issues before the trier of fact. 5 MRS. STEIN: Thank you, your Honor. 6 7 BY MRS & STBIN: 8 O. Has this happened more than one time? Α. Yes. Q. How many times have you heard the goddess speak to 10 11 you? MS. BUCKLEY: Excuse me, your Honor. I believe counsel 12 is misstating the evidence. ·she said that the goddess spoke 13 through the voice of medium, another woman who was present. 1.5 THE COURT: That's correct. The witness may answer it in that light. 16 BY MRS. STEIN: 17 Would you tell us what that means, speaking through 18 a medium? 19 It means that a woman is in trance, and suddenly her 20 voice changes and her metabolism changes, amed not herself 21 anymore. And she starts talking in a different voice and 22 starts saying things that she, as a person with no knowledge 23 of --24 And you have heard this on how many occasions? O. 25 Several occasions. Α. 26 And it's all been about you? 27 No, no. It was just the last one that I mentioned A. 28

to you. 1 And how do you know that this is actually the 2 goddess talking, or do you? 3 A. T do. How do you know that? O. 5 6 In my tradition, when a sacred circle is created, purified, and people are consecrated and the circle is sealed 7 and the goddess is evoked, through centuries and centuries and 8 centuries of experience, no one else but the evoked goddess 9 would appear. We certainly were satisfied with her gracious 10 voice, gracious thoughts; that it was the Lady herself. 11 Do you know which one it was? 0. 12 Α. 13 Diana. 14 Now, you said you also had the gift of healing, in that 15 A. 16 I didn't say that. Some of us do. I do not have that gift, no, unfortunately. I'd like to, though. 17 And are there people in your coven that do? O. 18 Yes. I'm very proud of them, yes. A. 19 O. And how many? 20 A few. A. 21 Half a dozen or so? Q. 22 Less than that. Α. 23 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I have on objection. 24 know what the gifts of others in her coven have to do with 25 either her religious beliefs or the issues in this trial. 26 THE COURT: The answer may stand. 27 But I think, Mrs. Stein, let's get to the issues in

the case. And I would hope to finish all the testimony today; so if we can -- of all the pending witnesses.

BY MRS. STEIN:

- Q. Now, you said there were 10 possible. powers.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you listed that you have the secret of the cards, as one, draw down the moon in a sacred circle is twoi that the goddess talks to you: and you mentioned, four, healing.

What are the other six?

A. Well, the healing -- yes, that -- understanding the voice of the wind, understanding the language of the animal finding hidden treasures, influencing the weather. Some of :!.t that you listed is not one of the original ten. Some of it was referring I don't knO',v. If you would read it, I would help you make it ten, because I think it's going to end up a little bit more than that. But they are interrelated.

How many have you got?

- Q. I have nine, influencing the weather.
- A. Healing, cards, palmistry, understanding the secret of the hand, finding hidden treasures, understanding the wind, understanding the animals, changing the weather. Yeah, that's about it. I think you have all ten. If not, I -- I don't have that gift.
  - Q. So that, you say, there are ten special powers?
  - A. Bestowed by the goddess usually upon priestesses.
  - Q. You're not really.sure what they are, though?
- A. Oh, yes, I am. I am. I mean you have it. You have more than you need, actually.

```
1
                 I have, the secret of the cards, draw down the moon-""
            Q.
2
                 'I'he drawing down the moon is a basic function of all
            A.
3
     high priestesses. That's a basic skill. That is not
     necessarily a gift to priestesses.
                 It's a high priestess number.
            Q.
                 That's a high priestess number?
                 'l'hat's right.. I'm sorry about my American vernaculat.
            A.
                 That's all right.
            Q.
                 I do listen to contemporary speech.
            Α.
            Q.
                 Well, you have the gift of speech, you said.
10
                 Blessed be.
11
            A.
                 So that's not included?
12
13
            A.
                 That's including -- that's not a common gift.
     draw down the moon is a special gift.
1 4
            0.
                 And you have that?
15
                 I have that, yes.
16
            A.
            Q.
                 And that's one of the reasons you're a high
17
     priestess?
18
            A.
                 Yes.
19
                 Is tilat included in the ten special powers?
20
            O.
            A.
                 To the priestesses?
21
            Q.
                 Of witches.
22
                        It's one special power for the high
23
     priestess and ten special powers for priestesses, because
24
     high priestesses have special powers that distinguishes them
25
      from priestesses who are going to be high priestesses,
26
      hopefully.
                   It's a degree.
27
            Q.
                 I see. Well, just so I have this clear, are there
```

1 ten special powers of wltches or -- and then extra povlers that 2 goddesses have to have? Is that what it is? 3 Goddess has all the powers. О. A goddess has all ten. I mean the high priestess. 5 The high priestess would need to have the power to draw down the moon, and she would probably have one of the 7 priestess powers, either the cards or the prophecy or the wind or weather work, or what-have-you. 8 Q. The healing? 10 A. The healings, yes. And that, for instance -- those people that heal, 11 like, can they make somebody that's blind see, for do thev 12 13 instance? Not in my experience. A. 14 Have you ever heard of such a thing? 15 O. I heard about it but I did not experience that in my Α. 16 17 coven. How about making a sick man well? 18 That I did experience, yes; a sick woman -- or heal 19 faster, because human will influences self formation. 20 a proven fact. 21 And if somebody came to you with this particular 22 problem, if they had some kind of disease or something, since 23 you do not have this particular gift, would you send them to 24 one of youi · witches that do? 25 Not necessarily. 26 Q. Have you ever done such a thing? 27 No. A. 28

1	$Q_{\cdot}$ What would you do with somebody that did?	
2	A. I would first send them to a doctor.	
3	Q. And then you'd send them to the witch?	
4	A. If the ailment is beyond the doctor's help.	
5	Sometimes it is.	
6	Q. Like, for instance, cancer?	
7	A. I wouldn't even come near with an opinion on tha	at
8	one, absolutely not. I am not that skilled in healers and	i
9	healing that I would attempt to make any opinion or make an	ıy
10	move about some person whose health is ill, especially cand	er.
11	My farnily had cancero I'm very sensitive about that.	
12	Q. Now, these three you said there were approxim	nate
13	three that have that healing	
14	A. Yeah.	
15	Q in your coven; and you're proud of them?	
16	A. I am. It would be beautiful to develop. it more	е.
17	Q. And you'd like to develop it yourself; is that	
18	correct?	
19	A. Well, if I can be so blessed, yes.	
20	Q. And you would use them, refer your customers to	
21	th.em	
22	A. I don't have customers. I'm sorry.	
23	Q. Or whatever you call them.	
24	A. Sisters.	
25	$\mathbb{Q}$ Sisters to them when $\mathrm{i} t$ was beyond doctor's help	)?
26	A. I would consider that at great length; and I at	this
27	point cannot give you an answer to that hypothetical guesti	on.

I have to see the actual thing.

```
1
                 But you have -- these people that have these gifts
           O.
2
     of healing
3
                 Yes, and we are practicing on each other right now.
           A.
                 And you've seen it actually happen?
           Q.
5
                 I've seen it happen.
           Α.
                 Now, you -- your special-gift with the tarot cards,
6
           O.
     you can tell -- these are your tools; is that not true?
7
                 Yes. It's a tool of divination.
8
           Α.
                 To tell the past, the present and the future; is
9
            Q.
10
     that not correct?
11
                 Yes, probable future.
            A.
                 And you said, particularly, the present?
12
            O.
                 Yes. The present is very well indicated.
13
            A.
                 And you can tell the future, too, can't you?
            0.
14
                 Yes, I can.
15
            A.
                 You do have that gift?
16
            O.
            A.
                 Yes.
17
                 And the past?
            Q.
18
19
            A.
                 Yes.
                 And, other than these cards, do you have any other--
            Q.
20
     you said you had other tools. What other tools do you have?
21
            A.
                 For divination, no. That's my divination tool.
22
            0.
                 'I'his is the only thing?
23
                       I'm studying others but I have not perfected
            Α.
24
     them.
25.
26
                 What else are you studying?
            Q.
27
                 Oh, I'm very much at the beginning with my crystal
28
     ball. I don't understand it at all at this point but I will;
```

much rather like symbols.

- Q. And so this is it, and you can tell the past, the present and future?
  - A Probable future.
- Q. Future. And you do predict events in the future, do you not?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Ine present is pretty good. You're pretty good on that, aren't you?
- A. I'm as good on the present as the cards show it.

  Sometimes they show more present, sometimes more past.

  Sometimes it's all future. Each spread is really vary different, depending on the state of mind of the person who cuts it.
  - Q. You said this cloth inspires you, right?
- A It's beautiful, yes. Beauty does inspire me. Not now with the tag on it; I don't know..
- Q. Now, if you can -- and you're so gifted in telling the past, the present and the future, particularly the present.
- Why is it that you didn't know that Officer Shelley ""," was a police officer when you volunteered to tell( tarot cards for \$10.00, and how come you didn't know that Officer investigator Rosalie Kimberlin was a police officer when she came in to have her tarot cards read?
- A. Had I known that, I would still had to read her cardt3 because she requested a consultation. And I do not have, in mi,, own tradition, the power to refuse consultation. Even had she worn her badge, I still would have had to read her cards. The

```
fact that I did see that she was engaged in audacious activity,
1
     which was a thought form, and she was represented by the Fool,
3
     I think it says a lot.
                 You did not know she was a police officer?
4
                 No. I'm unassuming about that. I look at a woman
5
     and I relate to her as a sister. And if she busts me later,
6
     that's not really my problem.
                 How about Officer Shelley?
8
                 I didn't read his cards.
            A.
9
                 No. But you offered to, didn't you?
           Q.
10
                 No, I didn't, or to read his cards, especially.
           A.
11
                 You didn't?
           Q.
                      I never offered to read anybody's cards.
           Α.
13
                 Didn't you tell him that you got good vibrations
           Q.
14
     from him?
15
            A.
                 No. He ma.de that up.
16
                 Now, this sign, where has it been hanging?
            Q.
17
                 Over the so-called potions which are actually
            A.
18
     essences of herbs and oils. They are nothing mysterious;
19
     right above.
20
                 Oh, now, that one, that one above my head over
21
     there -- I think it's indicated, one of the crosses.
22
                 Officer Kimberlin did a wonderful job on that.
23
                 Right here?
            Q.
24
                 Yes, the next
            A.
25
            Q.
26
                 That's where this card was hanging?
            A.
27
                 Yes.
            THE COURT: For the record, that's the sign that says
28
```

1 "tarot card reading". 2 BY MRS. STEIN: 3 Was it hanging in there last week? Q. Α. . No. It was in my room, though. ¼hen did you take it down? Q. 6 A. After I got busted I took it down. I put it in my 7 room. Q. Now, this sign in here, isn't it also a fact that 9 you put this sign up after you were arrested? 10 No, that's not a fact. That sign was put up after 11 the Wicca opened, and that sign was taken off this morning by 12 me on my way to the courthouse. I had to rip off those back 13 things, which still shows the rips. It was on the wall firmly. And isn't it a fact that it was not there on 14 O. 15 February 10 --A. 16 It sure was. 17 Q. 1975? It's not a fact. That sign was there February 10th. 18 In fact, the sister who was there with me called the officer's 19 attention to take a photograph of that, as well. And they 20 said yes, we did. Of course, they did not -- or they did and 21 didn't show it to you. 22 Now, you are a quarter owner in this business? 0. 23 A. Yes. 24 25 Q. How many fortunes do you read in a day? 26 A. It's very, very different each day; sometimes none. Sometimes days go by and no requests. But it is not -- always 27 upon request for consultation. 28

1	Q. Yo11 read anybody's fortune that comes in?		
2	A. If I get a request for a consultation with the		
3	tarot, I would.		
4	Q. And you say you would have read Officer Kimberlin's		
5	fortune even though she had a badge?		
6	A. l read a police woman's tarot cards who came there,		
7	noi.: to bust me but for my gift. And we had a wonderful		
8	consultation. And did come with her badge, and, yes, I did.		
9	Q. What's her name?		
10	A. I can't tell you. Besides, it happened quite a few		
11	months ago.		
12	Well, then why is it you took that sign down after		
13	you were arrested, if you're so brave and		
14	A. I'm not that brave.		
15	MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, the City Attorney is arguing		
16	with the witness.		
17	THE COURT: Objection sustained.		
18	BY MRS. STEIN:		
19	Now, lis Bat's Blood that you sell, that it is		
20	known to break hexes; is tilat true?		
21	A. It's traditional belief. It comes from New Orleans.		
22	And do you believe that?		
23	A. Yes, I do. I go by my sisters' tradition in		
24	New Orleans. They have pretty good magic down there.		
25	And so it's known to break hexes?		
26	A. Yes.		
27	Q. And what do you have to do with it to break hexes?		
28	Well, you use it on your doors you use it upon your		

```
body; and you put it in your bath water; you bathe in it, so to
1
2
     speak.
                 Do you consider this trial a hex --
           Q.
3
                No, I don't.
           &
                 -- on you?
5
           Q.
                 No. I think this is a confrontation.
6
                 You think that this trial would go away if we threw
7
     some of this stuff around?
8
            &
                 No; absolutely not.
9
                 By the way, what do you charge for this bottle of
            Q.
10
     Bat's Blood?
11
                 I think that's a $2e00 bottle. It's uncut oil.
12
      It's completely essence.
13
                 It's $2.50; is that --
            O.
14
                 No, it's not. It's $2.00.
15
                 HO'il about the Dragon's Blood. That's, you said,
            O.
16
      for purification and a good luck charm?
17
                 Yes. It comes from the Islands.
18
                 And do you really believe that that works, too?
            Q.
19
                 Yes, I do.
            &
20
                 And how much does that cost?
            0.
21
                  That wreath there would be $2.00. It's a lot of
            &
22
      Dragon's Blood. You don't need that much. It's enough for an
23
      army .
24
            O.
                  And how much do you need?
25
                  Very little. A very little piece is carried around
26
      in a purse, for instance, or it is made a solution withJ a
27
      little bit of it in the bath tub.
28
```

```
1
            Q.
                 And that brings --
                 These are traditions.
2
            A.
            Q.
                 -- good luck?
            A.
                 Yes, it brings good luck.
                 And what does it purify?
            Q.
                 It purifies -- we usually think about purification
            A.
6
     as cleaning the vibrations from one face to the next.
7
                 When I, for instance, asked Officer Kimberlin to
     use the Van Van Oil on her hands which is a lemon verbena, and
9
     it smells like lemon -- by the way, it only meant to the street
10
     vibrations from her hands before she touched my ca:i: ds.
11
                 And does that work, too?
            Q.
12
            A.
                 Yes.
13
            Q.
                 And yot1. sell that?
14
            A.
15
                 Yes, I do.
            Q.
16
                 These cards are somewhat worn, and some of them, I
     notice, are marked on the edges or worn down on the edges.
17
                 ll years of use. I've lovcdthat deck.
            A.
18
            0.
                 This deck isn't any different from any other deck,
19
     is it?
20
            Α.
21
                 Well, it's investive of my experience.
            Q.
22
                 Well, do you know which cards --
            A.
23
                 From the back, no.
            Q.
24
                 -- there are that have the marks on them?
            A.
25
                 No,.
            O.
26
                 For instance, the Queen of Cups
            Α.
27
                 No. I never pay attention.
            Q.
28
                  -- has a worn edge.
```

```
We don't read the cards from the back. We read the
1
     cards from the front where the symbols are.
                You shuffle them, though?
           Q.
                And so does the person I'm reading with.
           Α.
                And do you ever read them upside down?
           Q.
                 No. That's a later development. I don't follow
           Α.
     that..
7
                 What happens if a card comes up ups.i.de down?
8
           Q.
           A.
                 Well, since I don't follow it, I don't believe in iti,
9
                Whatever happens upside down card comes upside
10
     you know.
     down. I turn it back.
11
                 By the way, are you eating that?
12
                 Yes, I am. I love it.
           A.
13
           0.
                 And what is that?
14
           A.
                 It *s verbena, sacred >to Venus, and it tastes good.
15
           Q.
                 What does that do for you?
16
                 I feel mother nature in my mouth. Witches eat
17
     herbs.
18
            Q.
                 Do you sell that oil, and so on, that you just
19
     mentioned?
            THE COURT: 'tlhich oil are we talking about? Van Van Oil?
21
            MRS. STEIN: The Van Van Oil.
22
            THE WITNESS: Van Van Oil. I didn't make up this name.
23
      I thought it was funny, too.
24
                 Yes, I do sell it.
                                      We sell it.
25
      BY MRS. STEIN:
26
                 And what does that cost?
27
                 That's a dollar.
28
```

```
1
                 And that works, too?
            Q.
2
            A.
                 Yes.
3
                 Do we have one here?
            Q.
4
            Α.
                 No.
                 Take a look at it. I brought three different ones.
5
     BY MRSe STEIN;,
6
                 It says Nan Van.
7
            Q.
            A.
                 Well, it's Van Van. I don't know whose handwriting
8
     you are looking at. Maybe mine.
                 Is this incorrect?
            О.
10
            MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness?
11
12
            THE COURT: You may.
            MS.BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I believe it looks like a pen
13
     may h, Lve gotten wet or something.
14
            THE WITNESS: Yeah, it does -- yes. It got smudged.
15
     It's Van Van, yes, lemon verbena.
16
     BY MRS. STEIN:
17
                 And this works, too?
            Q.
18
            A.
19
                 Yes.
20
            Q.
                 Now, getting down to People's 7, this potion that
     you gave to Officer Kimberlin
21
                 As a gift.
            A.
22
                 Well, it was included in the $10.00, wasn't it?
            Q.
23
            Α.
                 No, it was not.
24
                 Oh. It was a free gift?
            Q.
25
            A.,
                 Her cards looked bad.
26
                 So you figured she needed this real bad, huh?
27
      this is very strong stuff?
28
```

1	A.	Pretty good.	
2	Q.	And do you really believe that it bends people your	
3	way?		
4	A.	We had wonderful feedback on that., It's been used	
5	in many ca	ses.	
6	Q.	What is it called?	
7	A.	It's called Bend over Oil, and it comes from	
8	New Orleans.,		
9	Q.	So that makes people bend over to your way?	
10	A.	I guess that's where the name came from.	
11		Did you smell it?	
12	Q.	How much do you sell this for?	
13	<b>A.</b>	That would be a dollar.	
14	Q.	How long does this potion last?	
15	A.	It's supposed to influence an immediate situation;	
16	and as lor	ng as you wear it. It's like it s worn H.ke a	
17	perfume.		
18	MRS.	STEIN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?	
19	THE	COURT: You may.	
20	BY MRS. SI	EIN:	
21	Q.	Now, referring to People's 7, could you open that	
22	and smell	<pre>it and see if it's still it's still good.</pre>	
23	A.	Yes, it's still fine. You see, you.anoint your	
24	forehead a	and the name of your head, and you anoint your wrists	
25	with it, a	nd that's about it. That's how you use it so it can	
26	last a lon	g time.	
27	Q.	And so that now you are sitting there between me and	
28	the <b>Judge</b> .	And if that really worked, why wouldn t we::dismiss	

```
your case?
1
                 You want to make a prediction?
           THE COUR'I': If you wish, you may.
3
           'I'HE WITNESS: I don't wish. I'll be satisfied with the
5
            THE COURT: Proceed, Mrs.. Stein.,
6
     BY MRS. S'I'EIN:
7
                 could you please give us the -- your readings last
8
     about a half hour?
9
                 No. An hour usually. And so did Officer
10
     Kimberlin's.
11
                 And on a busy day, how many would you read?
12
                 Two, maybe.
            A.
13
                 Two is the most you've ever read in one day?
14
                 Well, there were special days, maybe once or twice,
15
     it was more than that. But I would say on the average, when
16
     I read at all --because there are days when I don't read.
17
            О.
                 So two a day was the most that you ever read, in
18
     one day?
19
            A.
20
                 On an average, yes. There were some special days
     when people came from far away.
21
                 Now, these things are supposed to really work, right?
22
            THE COURT: What things are we talking about?
23
            MRS STEIN: Those potions.
24
            THE WITNESS: Oils.
25
     BY MRS • STE.IN:
26
                 Right?
            0.
27
            1\.
                 Yes.
28
```

1 Well, isn't it strange that you ha, ,e a sign up --O. Α. Yes, that's 3 -- that says that they are curios only, and they don't work, in effect? 5 We had to put that up because -- that's really the sign of my oppression as a religious leader. I mean that's 7 the manifestation how I am forced to deny something that I 8 absolutely believe in .A.nd it's there for protection of the manc3g3--uent. It does not represent my personal beliefs at all. 10 So then you did, in fact, put it upafter youwere Q. arrested? 11 12 No, we put it up as soon as we opened the Feminist A. 13 Wicca, the very day. And did you feel --14 O. 15. Oppressed. A. Q. -- oppressed at: that time? 16 Very much, yes., A. 17 What oppressed you? O. 18 That I was forced to agree to put up something that 19 A. I don't believe in. 20 Wno forced you to do that? My partners made an agreement that we are going to A. 22 protect ourselves; and this was following the advice of our 23 lawyer. 24 But you had the "tarot, cards, \$10.00" sign up there, · 25 didn't you, at the same time? 26 The tarot sign came about four months later. I read 27 for donations, as I still read for donations., The tarot stg-n 28

1 really is there for a suggestion, and it was -- it crune about. four months later.. We've been open a year. So hOW' long have you had the tarot sign, card up? What does it make now? What is it now? A. We opened a year ago February 22nd So four months afterwards is what? Last summer sometime. 6 Did you attend this function, this Witchy Dance, on February 22nd? 8 A. Yes. Can you tell us what goes on at a Witchy Dance? Q. 10 Yes, I can. I created a circle with candles. We A. 11 wanted to make the experience different from other dances 12 women go to. And in the church we created a circle, and we 13 burned our caldron. We had a statue of Diana wreathed in herbs 14 and flowers in season; and we taped ritual music from around 1.5 the world, some from Africa, some from the Island, some from 16 just good dance music. And we had a goddess slide show in the 17 back which showed the different representations of the goddess 18 around the world. 19 We have a slide show that consists of about five 20 hundred different slides portraying the great goddess as she 21 was perceived by the ancients. And that • a Witchy Dance. 22 Was it all females? Q. 23 Yes, it was, except for the police who came later on 24 Q. Was it \$2.50 a head? 25 ...A. . It was a donation of \$2.50. We let people in for 26 25 cents We let people in for nothing, for labor. Some 27 people just simply helped out with the decorations. We didn't 28

1	turn anyone awayo
2	Q. You were not arrested on that night, were you?
3	A No, but I knew the police would come, and they did\$
4	Q. Was it
5	THE COUR'I': Please wait until the attorneys have
6	finished.
7	Anything further?
8	BY MRS • S'I'E IN:
9	Q, Was it any of these officers?
10	$\stackrel{-}{A}$ No. It was a different set.
11	Q. And does People's Exhibit 10 that does say
12	\$2.50, does it not?
13	A. Yes.
	Q. Now, what happened to the \$10.00 that Officer
14	Kimberlin paid you? Did you keep that?
15	A. No. That went to the W.:i.cca.
16 17	
18	A
	The Feminist Wicca, I mean. I'm high priestess of
19 20	a religion, not but I'm the high priestess, I suppose, all the way around.
,, 21	Now, i.f the State of California or the City of
	Los Angeles <b>prohibited</b> a charge for telling fortunes, would
22 23	that in any way affect the practice of your witchcraft?
24	A. I would still have to give consultations with the
25	cards as I understand how to do it.
26	Q. So that such a prohibition would not in any way
27	affect the exercise of your witchcraft in any manner or
28	A. Regretfully so. I would have to follow my traditio

1 regardless. Now, you gave a press conference, did you not --2 3 Yes, I have. A. Q. -- that appeared in the 'l'imes; and you said in that 5 "I don't usually deal in hexes." Do you deal in hexes? 6 No, I don't. 7 A. Have you ever put a hex on anybody? Q. 8 I have reversed a hex. I know how to reverse a hex. A. I would never put a hex on anyone. It's not a choice that I 10 taJ.ce. I have many choices. And my choices would involve 11 working around the problem upon myself more than upon another 12 person. It works just as well and it's a wiser course. 13 How did you know tllat a hex had been placed on a O. 14 hexed person? 15 Well, I somehow -- I can tell when I've been hexed. 16 I have been put upon a hex about six years ago, and I returned 17 that. 18 0. You put a hex back? 19 20 I returned a hex. I don't know what happened, but my hex stopped. 21 Do you feel that this trial is a hex? 22 Q. 23 Α. No. I think this is a confrontation. 24 Q. What are in those bags you're wearing? 25 A. Herbs, roots, stones, mother nature's things. 26 Q. And is that supposed to do something? 27 Makes me feel good. Q. 28 Is it supposed to create some kind of special power

or something? 1 No. It just makes me feel good. 2 All the bags have the same thing in them? Q. Slightly variation but --A. Want to see them? I think we've seen.enough. 6 Now, you said you would put a spell on yourself to 7 make you victorious, didn't you? 8 A. Yes. We prayed for victory. And you were going to put a spell on yourself? Q. 10 That's the way we call prayer in my tradition. A. 11 A spell is a prayer. 12 And is it successful? Q. 13 14 I don't know yet. Q. Well, that's -- later on, you say -- you made a 15 16 prediction, gazing into the future. It says you made only one prediction; if I am found guilty, "I won't pay a cent of my 17 18 fine". Well, a slight variation. A. 19 Q, Excuse me. I haven't finished my question yet. 20 Doesn't that indicate that your spells are no good? No, it doesn't. Being the high priestess of the 22 Susan B. Anthony Coven -- Susan B. Anthony was busted for 23 voting a hundred years ago in this country. And that trial 24 was just as absurd. And when she was fined unfortunately, 25 she was -- she came out with a speech that she will not pay 26 the fine, and never did. And women today have the vote. 27 I think that, in this day and age, I be allowed to 28

```
1
     practice my religion according to my tradition, and the
2
     American people wi.11 not fine me for it.
3
                And that includes, of course, ripping off the public
           Q.
     for that which you can't do.
5
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I object to the use of the
     language by the City Attorney.
6
           'l'HE COUR'l': Objection is sustained.
7
     BY MRS. STEIN:
8
                That includes charging a fee for predicting the -
     future, doesn't it?
10
                I take a donation. And, if you don't want my tarot
11
     reading and my service, you don't have to get it.
12
                And you will, of course, take anybody that calls
13
     you?
14
                 Unfortunately, that has been my practice.
15
           MRS. STEIN: I have nothing further.
16
           THE COURT: Any redirect?
17
           MS. BUCKLEY: I just have a few questions, your Honor.
18
           THE COURT: You may.
19
2.0
                            REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
22
                 You were asked about how many readings you gave a
23
     day.
24
                 Does reading the tarot cards in any way affect you
25
     physically?
26
                 Yes. It drains me.
27
                 How do you normally recover from reading or after:
           Q.
28
```

```
1
     reading the tarot?
2
           MRS* STEIN: Objection, your Honor; beyond the scope of
     the direct and the cross examination.
           THE COUR'I: I think it -- objection's overruled.
                The witness may answer. •
6
           THE WITNESS: I take a break. I take a break. I do
     something else, occupy my rnind with something else.
8
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
                Now, does your coven have any tradition as to --
9
     in writing, creating witches or high priestesses?
10
           MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, that's been --
11
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, she asked
12
13
           THE COURT: Objection overruled.
14
                 You may ask the question.
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
15
16
                 Does your coven have any tradition about giving
     written certificates to its high priestesses or to its witches?
17
18
                 No, neither does my tradition.
19
                 Now, you stated that, on cross examination, all of
     your worship functions took place on the mountain.
2.0
                 Are there other functions which you have as a high
21
     priestess and as a witch whi.ch take place in other areas?
22
23
                 Definitely.
            MRS. STEIN: Objection. Well, I -- asked and answered.
2.4
            THE COURT: This is on redirect.
25
                 You may answer.
26
            THE WITNESS: Yes, there are other functions besides
27
      leading the rituals that I have. I have requests to purifying
28
```

1 homes, in which case I go to the houses of people. 2 I have requests for trysts to bless friendships. 3 I also have requests for consultations in homes as well as at the Wicca. And I also teach, which is one of my functions. 4 5 BY MS. BUCKLEY: Now, the City Attorney characterized one of the ten 6 Q. gifts of the goddess as reading the cards. 7 Isn't there another way of describing that? 8 k Knowing the secrets of the cards. 9 And is that function described as divination? Q. 10 Yes, it is. It's a divinationc k11 And so the cards are a tool that you use in that Q. 12 particular 13 k Yes. 14 Q. Now, if someone came to you who needed the Bat's 15 Blood or Dragon's Blood or any other herbs, and you felt after counseling them that they needed them and they couldn't afford 17 to buy one of these, would you just give them one? Yes, I would. I have. 19 And is that what you in fact did to Officer 20 Kimberlin? 21 That's what happened. I felt great compassion Yes. 22 for Officer Kimberlin, in fact. She was a great undercover 23 agent. 24 THE COURT: Don't volunteer any statements. 25 MSc BUCKLEY: 26 Your Honor, I would like to have this vial marked Defendant's K for identification. 27

It may be so marked.

28

THE COURT:

```
MS. BUCKLEY: May I approach the witness?
1
           THE COURT: You maye
2
     BY MS. BUCKLEY:
                Is this the oil which you identified as the Van Van
           Q.
5
     Oil?
                Yes. That's my favorite oil to read the cards with.
           O.
                That was what you used to purify Officer Kimberlin's
7
     hands?
           A.
               Yeah.
                All right. Thank you.
10
           MS. BUCKLEY: I have no further questions.
11
           MRS. STEIN: I just have one question.
12
           THE COURT: Recross? You may.
13
14
                            RECROSS EXAMINATION
15
     BY MRS. S'I'EIN:
16
                The ten powers -- the one we left out as bringing
17
           Q.
     back the spirits of the dead isn't that one of the ones?
18
19
                Not the priestesses. That would be a high priestess
     function.
20
                And isn't that one of the ten?
21
                I don't think so. To bring back spirits, that's
22
     heavy work. That would definitely have to be somebody very
23
24
     qualified and definitely a high priestess.
                And you heard -- that is one of the powers, though,
           Q.
25
     isn't it?
26
                Of a high priestess, yes. I personally do not do
2.7
    that..
28
```

1	Q. You've seen it done, though?
2	A. Yes. My mother did it.
3	MRS. STEIN: I have nothing further.
4	THE COURT: You may resume your. seat.
5	Anything further from the Defense?
6	MS. BUCKLEY: Could we check to see if Louise Huebner
7	has arrived yet, please?
8	'l.'hen, your Honor, I have no further witnesses.
9	THE COURT: Do you want to offer any items in evidence?
. 10	MS. BUCKLEY: Yes. At this point, your Honor, I would
11	like to offer in. evidence iterns
12	'l'HE COURT: Why don't we start with A on down.
13	You want all of them offered in evidence?
14	MS. BUCKLEY: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Any objection, Mrs. Stein?
16	MRS. STEIN: No, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Defendant's A through K previously marked
18	for identification will be received in evidence.
19	Defense rests?
20	.MS. BUCKLEY: Defense rests, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Anything further from the People?
22	MRS. STEIN: We just have a couple of things on
23	rebuttal.
24	THE COURT: You may offer them at this time.
25	
26	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
27	MRS. STEIN: Officer Falkenberg.

CARL FALK1' NBORG, 1 called as a witness by the plaintiff in rebuttal, having 2 been previously duly sworil, tes ti fi.ed as follows: 3 THE COUR'I': You're advised that you're still under oath. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MRSo STEIN: 7 Officer Falkenborg, when you went back to the Q. 8 defendant's shop and you and Officer Shelley took the pictures 9 and you made certain observations, did you notice a sign like 10 this? 11 MRS. STEIN: May I approach the witness? 12 THE COURT: Yes.. 13 For the record, that is defendant's --14 MRS. STEIN: Defendant's G. 15 THE COURT: Defendant's G. 16 BY MRS. STEIN: 17 Did you see this sign, defendant's G, anywhere at 18 all in the northern area where the potions were sold? 19 Α. No, I did not ... 20 THE COURT: Did you see it anywhere in the location the 21 day you were there? 22 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't notice it. 23 BY MRS. STEIN: 24 Officer Falkenberg, did you recover the \$10 that 25 Officer Kimberlin spent? I can't recall. I have to refresh my memory with 27 the report. 28

```
MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, excuse me. Could I have that
1
2
     question repeated?
           THE COURT: Did the officer recover the $10..00 that
     Officer Kimberlin paid.
           MRS. S'I'EIN: May I approach the witness?
           THE COURT: You may.
           MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, excuse me. I really believe
     this is a part of their case in chief and not in rebuttal.
            THE COURT: I think it's -- I assume it's in response to
q
     the testimony of the defendant that the money went to the
10
11
     Wicca. If not, it will be subject to a motion to strike.
12
            MS. BUCKLEY: Well, but there wasn't any testimony as to
      that exact $10.00.
                          It was: Where did the money go that she
13
14
     took in, not the money that Officer Kimberlin gave her.
            THE COURT: I believe the answer of defendant was, it
15
     went to the Wicca or the Feminist Wicca.
16
            MS. BUCKLEY: I'm sorry, your Honor. I th:i.nk I
17
     misunderstood the question having been asked.
18
19
            THE COURT: You may answer the question, Officer.
20
            THE WITNESS: We did not recover the money.
21
            MRS. STEIN: Nothing further, your Honor.
22
            THE COURT: Do you have any questions?
23
            MS. BUCKLEY: I have no questions.
24
            THE COURT: You're excused.
                 People may call their next witness, if any.
25
            MRS. STEIN: May I just have one moment, your Honor?
26
                 Yes, Officer Shelley, for one question.
27
            THE COURT: You're advised you're still under oath.
28
```

Would you resume the witness stand, please. 1 LARRY B. SHELLEY, 3 called as a witness by the plaintiff in rebuttal, having 4 been previously duly sworn, testified as follows: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 **BY** MRS• STEIN: 8 Officer Shelley, when you picked up your initial visit to the defendant's place of business at 442 Lincoln 10 Boulevard and you picked up the tarot reading card that's in 11 evidence as People's 9, and -- did the defendant offer to read 12 your tarot cards? 13 Yes, she did. Α. 14 0. And how much did she tell you it would cost? 15 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I believe that he testified 16 to this on direct. 17 THE COURT: I believe he did. 18 I'm not sure it's proper rebuttal at this point, 19 Mrs. Stein. We did have it on the People's case in chiefe 20 BY MRS. STEIN: 21 And did you mark the date of your appointment down 22 on the back of this card? 23 Yes, I did. Α. 24 MRS. STEIN: Nothing further. 25 THE COURT: I assume you have no questions? 26 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I just have one question. 27 Inasmuch as this evidence is being repeated, we 28 29 might as well get one more piece of evidence repeated, and that

26

27

28

is

## BY MRS. STIUN:

Q. Officer Shelley, you asked for a consultation; is that correct?

## A. Yes, I did.

Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, now, to clarify what has been raised several times, who first suggested a tarot card reading?

In other words, did you say to the defendant, ||| would like a card reading" or did she just, out of the blue for no reason, say, "Would you.like a tarot card reading?"

THE WITNESS: Yes. I.asked her what a tarot card reading was, your Honor. And then I asked if I could obtain one.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything further, Mrs. Stein, of this witness? You're excused, Officer.

Anything further from the People?

MRS. STEIN: No. People rest, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we will be in recess until 9:15 tomorrow morning.

I admonish you during this period you must not converse among yourselves nor with anyone on any subject connected with the case or the trial thereof. Also, you're not to form or express any opinion thereon until the entire cause is finally submitted to you.

As I've stated previously, please do not read any newspaper accounts of the case; do not listen to any television accounts of the case or any radio accounts of the case.

(

Tomorrow at 9:15 we will begin arguments, and then you will be instructed and will deliberate. We will see you here tomorrow morning. (Whereupon an adjournment was taken until April 15, 1975 at 9:15 a.m.) 

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, APRIL 15, 1975; 9:00 A@M. --000--2 3 (A discussion was held in chambers regarding jury instructions.) 5 THE COURT: In the matt.er of Zsuzsanna Budapest Bartha, 6 let the record reflect 12 jurors, the two alternates are 7 present. Defendant's present with both counsel. The City 8 Attorney is presente Ladies and gentlemen, now is the time for argument 10 in the case. I advise you that argument is not evidence but 11 is the statements of the attorneys and should be taken in that 12 light. 13 A few prelim:i.naries before we begin the argument. 14 Because the burden of proof is on the People to prove the 15 defendant quilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the People are 16 permitted to argue twice; that is, M.rs. Stein will present her 17 opening argument, Hs. Buckley will respond, and then the 18 People may respond to that. 19 I again advise you argument is not evidence but 20 merely a statement of the attorneys and should be taken in that 21 light6 22 One other preliminary. Many times in the midst of 23 24 going to say, there may be a misstatement of the evidence. 25

argument because attorneys may be thinking ahead of what they•r
going to say, there may be a misstatement of the evidence.

Obviously, it's not intentional but just is a slip of the
tongue or a misstatement of the evidence. If one of the
attorneys misstates the evidence or does not state the evidence

as you recall it, you should recall the evidence as you heard it, as you are the triers of fact and you are the ones who apply the facts to the argument.

We will now begin argument on both sides and then' have instructions.

And, again, argument is merely what the term says, arg,:ment. It is not evidence and shouldn't be ta'l<en in that light.

Mrs. Stein?

MRS. S'l'EIN: Thank you, your Honor

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it now becomes my opportunity to present, as the Judge told you, closing, final argument. I usually make three arguments; one that I make when I prepared my argument the night before; the second one that you will be hearing here, today; and the third one that I'll be making tonight about everything that I forgot to tell you.

a lot of testimony, and so on, in this case., Hmlever, to clarify things for you, as to what I believe ti.11e i.ssue is and what I believe the Judge will instruct you is the issue in this case, somewhat simple. The issue, taking away everything else, and so on, and muddy up the waters, and all that the issue is plain and simple. Was the defendant engaged in fortune telling in a business or commercial context? That is it, periotl; fortune telling in a business or commercial context.

Now, let's look at the first point, fortune telling. The Judge will define fortune telling for you as we heard it on

. 20

25 ·

the stand from Sergeant Alcantara. Portune telling is the prediction of future events in the life of another, and so one 'I'hat's fortune telling.

Now, we had Officer Kimberlin testify. She said, in regard to the fortune telling - · · first of all, I would like to remind you that Officer Shelley testified that the defendant on a previous occasion, offered to read his tarot cards; that he made that appointment down here, Thursday, 2:00 o'clock. And you will see that in the jury room. And why would he write that down if he didn't have that appointment made? So there's another thing.

But, getting back to Officer Kimberlin, she called and made an appointment to have her fortune told. She-was told a dark-eyed man in the past would turn up again\$ Number two, she was told there would be a divorce because the Queen card showed her to be single. Three, she was told she would make a move out of State to F'lorida. Four, she was told her daughter wouldn't be able to attend vet school. Five, she was told that her mother would not leave the State with her. And, six, she was given People's 7, this potion, to bend people her way and to wave it around in front of her husband to make things go raore smoothly. Okay. That's six items. Now, what do all these things mean?

Sergeant Alcantara testified for us. He is an expert and testified to hypob etical questions that obviously applied to the defendant and on those points which I just read to you. And he has been a police officer for 13 years. He's been six years with bunco, forgery. He's had five years in fortune r

telling work. And he has had -- handled or been involved in some two hundred to three hurndred fortune telling cases. He's testified before the Criminal Complaints Commit ee of the Los Angeles Grand Jury, and so on.

Now, what did Sergeant Alcantara tell us all these thing meant?

He said, just like the ,Judge is going to tell you, this is a prediction of future events, events which will take place in a person's life, fortune telling. He also told us that the potion represented to do something that cannot be supported by any fact or reason. And I won't belabor that point because I think that you ladies and gentlemen of the jury -- if this thing worked, we wouldn't even be having a case.

And I wouldn't --

Now, Sergeant Alcantara also told us why this is wrong and what the problems are, the dangers of fortune telling. He told us, told us that very often fortune tellers prey on people who have mental and physical problems and take their money. That's why it's thelaw. Now, people are victimized. And who are the people that do the victimizing, and why is t..'lis against the law? These are untrained, unqualified people with no background iDr the rnecUcal arts or in psychology, psychiatry, marriage and family counseling, and They are -- we didn't have one person testify that said they had any training other than as witches byother witches. Now, you can -- as a matter of fact, there -- even in their own testimony, and in their training as witches, we had a little bit of conflict. It took High Priestess Allison

30

24

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26



Harlow a year and a day, you will recall, to become a witch. It took the defendant 16 years. Now, none of that training relates to anything that qualifies that person in any recognized healing art, medicine, psychology, sociology, psychiatry, marriage and family counselings

That person is not trained in that. They are trained in some mysterious manner, one for a year and a day, the other self taught for 16 years as a witch.

Now, mind you -- keep in mind the dangers, because out of the defendant's own mouth came the words that one of the ten powers of witchcraft was healing. And she had three witches under her that had this power, healing the sicko Therein lies the reason for the rule, one of the reason.si but that's a pretty strong one. And those persons are not doctors, and so on, but they can heal. And the defendant was very proud of them. Moreover, I'll get into these ten powers a little bit later because -- or maybe I'll just say now, the defendant said there were ten powers. But, when I asked her what they were, there was some confusion as to which powers belonged to witches and which ones were to high priestesses and what the ten were, if you will recall. We didn't really get a list off the top of her head as a trained exprLfH19.d witch what exactly those ten powers were, but they did include the things that I'll talk about in a minute.

Moreover, who are these people? Well, the High Priestess Allison. Harlow was a witch. And she didn't seem to have any more powers than probably any one of us. She wasn't very good, she said, at. reading the cards. And she slowed

,

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

down her car if she was going too fast to avoid an accidente

And she could look into a bowl of water and medi.tate and maybe

come up with a solution to a problem, and so on. But -- and

she's a high priestess. But she didn't seem to have -- profess

to be able to do anything that anyof us probably could do.

But we did have her testimony, strange as it may seem, that t."le

defendant was a witch, and she knew that after one letter.

You can give that. what weight you want to give it. One letter,

and she knew it. And, as I recall, it was the same type of

letters that she receives from a ..lot of people in her

correspondence and scope as a high priestess.

Now, we heard Sergeant Alcantara discuss with us some of his two or three hundred cases which, as you will recall, all involved -- he said, when asked the question by defense counsel, that they all involved fraud. Okay. Now, what are the some examples? Cancer. Let's say a person healing, one of the powers. Let:'S say a person, woman goes to a doctor, and the doctor says, "Mrs. Jones, you need a radical mastectomy, and you have cancer, and your breast has to come off." And Mrs. Jones doesn't want to believe this so she goes to another doctor. "Mrs. Jones the same thing. "You need a radical mastectomy." A third doctor, "Mrs. Jones, you need radical mastectomy."

Mrs. Jones, still not convinced, goes to a fortune teller. "Hrs. Jones, you're in good health." And three months later Mrs. Jones dies. This is a real case. Okay.

Now, other things that were testified, that
Sergeant Alcantara told us about; promises to bring back lost

lovers, baldness, cures for baldness, and multiply money and so on.

Now, you can see the danger. A person with a problem, whether it is a medical problem or an emotion.al 1:)roblem -- let's say a person is -- the testimony was in our case -- had a marital problem. That person should go to a psychologist, a psychiatrist, a licensed marriage and family counselor, and not to an untrained and unqualified person who is going to give her advice upon which she may rely. And that is very dangerous not to a person who to solve her problems by giving her this. A person may need help. And that's why we have psychologists, psychiatrists, licensed marriage and family counselors. And we have code sections on how a person becomes licensed to do that, and go to school, and so on, and get your license, and so on. You can t just mruce yourself a self-styled prophet and a cure-all. And that is the danger; because people do in fact fall prey to this.

Now, this all -- I'm dealing with the question of fortune telling now. I don't know if you remember, but the defendant's own witness, this honorary doctor, George Peters, he got his honorary doctor from some theology s.chool in Chicago, he said. He told us that tarot card readers "operate by guess". Guess; treating people with problems. Okay.

Now, we have also, if you will recall the defendant saying on the stand to us -- this is really an admission. She said she does in fact predict the future. She reads the tarot cards, and she tells the past, the present and the future; fortune telling. She has admitted to the offense on

1.5

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

1 | | | | | | |

, 23

24 25

26

27

28

the stand to us in the courtroom. She came right out and said
it. "I do it."

I never had that happen before in a case where the defendant comes right out and admits to the charge. But she did. You will also -- and this goes • along with the business When arrested, she said, "I'm glad you arrested part of it. me. You're going to make me famous and rich." Business. Rich, Okay. You may also recall Officer Falkenberg and Officer Shelley, when they went to the place of business that they after Officer Kimberlin crune back that another person was having their fortune told at this time that the defendant was arrested. Now, that doesn't seem to go along, just parenthetically, with her story that this takes so much out of her that she needs a long rest and that she can only do two Because, when they went there, there was another person having their fortune told. Now, at this point, I would like to say to you, where are the witnesses -- that admission was not denied by the defendant about being rich and famous. And the defendant didn't bring in anybody to deny that. She She didn't deny that she said it, and she didn't bring in any of the people that were there. You recall t.. "1.ere were two other people there at the time that Officer Kirr.berlin arrived. Where are those percipient witnesses in the case? They did not come in and testify as to what was there on behalf of the defendant.

Now, let's get into the second issue. There's no doubt she was fortune telling. She admitted it, and so on. She's going to get rich, famous, so on. This is the business

aspect of the defendant's conduct. Okay.

Now, is lis a business? Well, first of all, you have her admission about getting rich. And some of these people apparently are, according to that one witness, worth millions, fortune tellers. But let's look at: Was this a busin€lss or commercial context? Was this fortune told :i.n a business or commercial context? Well, we have the store at 442 Lincoln Boulevard, right on the street. Anybody can walk in there. I did myself. It's open to the public for business.

By the way, there is no sign or mention of religion in the store. Okay. It wasn't testified to. And you will see a picture of -- in the pictures, a picture of the outside of the store. You will see that it is obviously a business. Okay.

On entering said business, what do we find? We find all kinds of potions and candles and vials for sale. You will note on their own exhibit. They didn't take the price tag off. It's \$2.00. We find vials for sale, Bat's Owl, for. instance -- Bat's Blood, I mean. That's supposed to be an herb. That's for sale. All the items in the store are for sale. It is a business. The fact that -- seems to me -- I almost bought one of those bottles to bring here. I'm glad they brought it, of the Bat's Blood. I thought it said \$2.50, and I thought, that's pretty -- ; that's a lot of money for a little thing like that, and I decided I wouldn't.

Now, you will see in these pictures these various items that are for sale, and so on. And you will see the defendant's room where she tells the fortunes, and so on.

And the blow-up on what they are supposed to do, the potions

that she sells --

1 0

Now, there was a tarot sign. This is also -- we have the store. We have the potions for sale. We have the sign, "tarot readings \$10.00". No mention of religion. It's "tarot readings \$10.00". That's a business. We have -- the defendant brought in a sign which said, these are curios only, and so on and so forth, in effect saying these things don twork, that she says she had up all the time.

Now, we heard Officer Falkenberg tell us quite out and out that there was no sign to that effect when he came in. There was the tarot card sign for \$10.00 that she took down after she was arrested. But there was no sign about this stuff being no good. And, as a matter of fact, the sign, in and of itself, shows that it's a business. She's telling these things, in a business context.

Now, what else do we have to indicate that it's a business? The store, the stuff she sells, the sign; she's reading the cards for \$10.00. How about this brochure that Officer Shelley picked up that '-- you'll get to see all these things and exawine them in the jury room -- and his card with the appointment. That's another thing; brochures and business cards that the defendant has. Now, if this is not a business, what is it? Somebody that has business cards, advertising tarot readings, spells, and so on, and other magical things it's a business. She's got business cards.

Lastly, we have the advertisement in the newspaper. No mention of religion. It says, detailed tarot readings, the defendant's place of business, and a phone number to call.

It's an advertisement 1.n a newspaper that was appearing regularly. And you will see that. It's in evidence.

So, taking all of these things together, is there any other reasonable interpretation that you can draw, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, that this is a business?

Now, reasonable is a principle that runs through the law, reasonable doubt, reasonableness. We hear that all the time. And I once heard a judge say, if you asked 25 legal scholars what the word "reasonable doubt" meant, you'd get 25 different answers. However, the Judge will give you a jury instruction as to what the meaning of reasonable doubt is.

And this was somewhat covered on voir dire, because reasonable doubt does not mean beyond any possible doubt, because anything relating to human affairs and depending upon moral evidence is open to some possible or imaginary doubt.

And, as far as this being a business, it's even beyond any possible doubt which the People do not have to prove. She is running a business, selling j;,,:t. lling fortunes for money, professing to do that which she cannot do by fact or reason. This vial -- this is a business.

was Officer Kimberlin told, when she was having her fortune read, that this was a religious activity? Was there any sign in the store that said, "This is a religion." Is there anything in this ad which says this is a religion? No. It is a business.

Now, we had one on this particular point -- the defendant, if you will recall, stated that all her religious activities took place -- at one point in her testimony --

(

I think it was even on di:r.-ect -- that all her religious activities took place on this mounta.:Ln top in Malibu where they performed all their religious activities, if you will recall that. Then her attorney tried to rehabilitate her after she made that statement and said, "Well, don't you. do it elErnwhere, too?" And, of course, then, you know, they had caught it, and so on.. But she did make that original statement, that all her rites and rituals of religious activities are performed on this mountain top\* That was out of her own mouth.

Moreover, we had two of their witnesses -- that

Ms. Barbara Chesser. Do you remember when she referred to

people that go to fortune tellers, tarot card readers, as

customers? Slip of the tongue maybe but very true. Customers,

customers of a business. And I asked her if she ever heard of

any priest or minister refer to his parishioners as customers,

and she said no.

We also had the defense witness, that Reverend Conn, who rents out his pla.ce, his church, to the defendant. He said that he did not refer to his parishioners as customers. This is a business, ladies and gentlemen

Now, when you go to that jury room and deliberate, after you hear all this argument and instructions, final argument and instructions, you are not supposed to leave your common sense behind you in the jury box. You're supposed to take it with you. And, please, it's very important in this case that you use your common sense. What does all this mean? Is it reasonable? Reasonableness was explained to me in school as being -- acting as the average reasonable man would do in

the same or similar circumstances.

Itnd that -- taking the letters from each of those terms, the average reasonable man, we come up with the word tarm. Now, each of you sitting in that jury box is a symbol of the average reasonable man. You are tarrns, each one of you, in deciding this case.

Now, while we're discussing legal principles, I would like to -- the Judge will also tell you -- and since we have discussed some of th( defense witnesses -- the Judge will tell you that you as jurors are the sole and exclusive judges of the credibility of the witnesses. And in deciding -- that's the credibility, believability of the witnesses. And, in determining the credibility of a witness, you may consider anything which tends in reason to prove or disprove the truthfulness of his testimony, in reason, his conduct, attitude and manner while testifying, whether

Now listen to this one -- whether the facts testified to by him are inherently believable or unbelievable, and so on.

Then: Whether or not there was any bias, interest or other motive for him not to tell the truth; bias, interest or motive.

Now, let's look at we discussed a couple of the defense witnesses. Every one of these defense witnesses were here. They either knew the defendant or they're here because, as admitted by Reverend Conn finally, they do not want to see her found guilty. That is why they are here. They have a bias, interest and motive to get on the stand and testify in

ta.rm

\_ 1

O

her favor.. On the otherhand, we have the police officers who testified. Now, Officer Kimberlin was on the force for almost 11 years; and Sergeant Alcantara 13 years. I don't recall what the number of years was for the other officers. Whult bi.as, motive or interest could those officers have for not comin9 .:tn here and telling -- taking an oatJ1 to tell th<'.'1 truth under penalty of perjury and not tell you just exactly what they saw and exactly what happened?

I am reminded of a -- In the downtown courthouse in Los Angeles -- i.n this particular instrm: tion on credib: i.lity of witnesses, I'm reminded of a statue that is outside of that courthouse depicting justice, the symbol of just.ice.

Now, justice is usually depicted as being blindfolded, with the scales, carrying the scales and the sword.

It's very interesting, ladies and gentlemen, that that statue of justice has no blindfold\* And I have often thought that the reason that that statue of justice has no blindfold is because you as jurors and symbols of justice also, in addition to being reasonable people -- you are symbols of justice without a blindfold because you are supposed to look here and look at those witnesses on the witness stand, as jurors, and decide, as symbols of justice without a blindfold, who is telling what is inherently believablee

And I again submit to you that, after you look and examine all this evidence, and so on -- that it is more than inherently believable that this is a business. And you will have this to take in and examine.

Now, let's take a quick look at what the defense is going to raise. Is this a religion? I m:i.ght remind you, we saw no document, nothing in writing of any ki.nd whatsoever, to support the contention that this is a religion. But I would like to forewarn you, first of all, that this is not the issue in the case. It is an attempt by the defendant to perhaps throw a lot of stuff in that caldron to muddy up the waters. But this is not the issue in the case.

And, although it's very interesting, though the defendant would like to think that she is on trial for her religious beliefs -- I don't care if she believes that there are powers in that board over there or that clock. She's not being tried for her religious beliefs, ladies and gentlemen. She is being tried for fortune telling in a business context.

I don't believe what she believes in, and neither should you. That's her own business. The fortune telling is when -- in a business context is when -- as I tried to tell you, the danger is in the face-to-face confrontation, and the danger to the public. That is why it's regulated.

When she steps out of her role in her own beliefs, then what she believes in, and starts dolng things which affect other people, members of the general public who m.ay fall prey to her, that is what is in the Municipal Code Ordinance that she's charged with; fortune telling in a business context.

) 3he is not on trial as a witch. Okay.

Now, I would like to add, and the Judge will tell you, and you might listen to his instruction on this point, that -- let's say you -- well, first of all, tet'I3 take a quick

i3

1 -

25•

look at her religion. And, as reasonable people, let's look at it that way, and a quick look, because we really di.dn'tget a full look, because a lot of it -- the rites are secret, and they weren't brought here. They're secret. Okay.

Now, is the dancing around a caldron on a mountain top at the full moon, healing the sick, predicting the future—we heard witch Allison Harlow say, bringing back the dead spirit, someone's spirit in a dog or something hearing a goddess speak to you, magnetic tides with the moon, and potions with power, potions with real power.

Now, the defendant herself -- there was some confusion as to what these ten powers were, which ones related to witches, just pl-ain witches, which ones related to goddesses, But, if you note, not only was there not any documentary evidence but there was not one witness who testified, who came in here and testified on that witness stand, that they had seen the defendant in action. Not one witness came in and said they had seen her practicing any of her religious rites.

A total absence of that testimony. The only thing we had was Allison Harlow saying she wrote one letter to her, and she knew immediately she was a witch.

Now, there is a principle in- law, and it's fol.lowed by the courts and it's a long line of principles, and you'll be able to see the reason -- you cannot use the cloak of religious authority or religion to do an illegal act. You cannot say, this is a part of my religion and therefore I can break the law.

Let's look, for instance, at the Aztec religion.

1/2/3/4

 $\setminus^{13}$ 

'l'hey believed in human sacrifice.. 'l'hat's against the law.

Now, you can't -- that's one example.

Adolph Hitler is another example, who used -- that's , the reason you cannot use the cloak of religion -- and the Judge will tell you -- to do an illegal act. In this case, it's fortune telling in a business context. And that is illegal. And you can't base your defense on the fact that it is part of your religion, because you cannot use the cloak of religion to do an illegal act, period.

And, ladies and gentlemen, the Judge will tell you that. And you have promised -- all of you have promlaed to follow the law. And that is the lawo

Fortune telling in a business context is against the law. If you -- now, it s goi.ng to be argued, this reliagion.

I m:i.ght, in this regard -- the defendant -- the defendant's own witness, that Ms. Sherna Gluck -- she did not believe that the defendant had supernatural powers. She does not believe in witchcraft and does not believe that potions have any power.

Now, she doesn't -- and I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, as reasonable men and women and as symbols of justice, if their own witness doesn't believe that it's a religion, should you?

But, nevertheless, she can have -- don't forget this. She can have any religious beliefs that she wants. She can think that she has two heads or whatever. I mean she can think anything she wants. 'I'hat's her own personal beliefs. We don't care about that. But she cannot use her own personal beliefs to violate the law.

Fortune telling is a business. And it's assimple

as that.

And, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, she was fortune telling. Shewas-- in a business context. And she is guilty of violating the Municipal Code Ordinance.

THE COURT: Tha.nk you, Mrs. Stein.

Ms. Buckley?

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, ladies and gentlemen, I feel like a female Hercules getting ready to clean the Augean stables. Fortunately or unfortunately, the tools that I have to use are the witnesses and you.

You are the ma.gic that is going to clean the Augean stables that this trial has turned into. And, although Mrs. Sale.m has said that this is not a witch trial, that this is not a trial oft.he defendant's beliefs, that has been all it is. That has been all it is.

And one of the fj\_rst things I want to say -- because it really has disturbed me, and I keep telling myself I shouldn't allow it to. But I sat through three days of it, and I have become a little disturbed by the testimony of the City Attorney in connection with the witnesses.

Now, she says my client testified that all of the functions and rituals took place on the mountain. And, when that question was asked, I very quickly made a note to make sure that on redirect I pointed it out and got my client to testify as she really believes. Because she's not a Princeton lawyer. She didn't hear the City Attorney say, all your functions are done up there. She wasn't listening to every word.

•

Obvlously, her most important ri. tuals, those rituahl
which she says re-power her, those things are done on the
mountain. But she testified that there are other parts to her
religion. There are other functions; hE!r counseling. Her
counseling which she does by means of tarot cards is obviously
on
not done/a mountain top. She testified they re done in people's
homes; they're done in the Wicca; they are done where people
are.

And she sees that as counseling. In fact, her testimony, when the City Attorney asked her, "Well, how come you didn • t know she was" -- you know -- "a. police officer, and refused to read her cards?" And she said, because her religion requires her to answer every request.

And I must say I think that the officers in this case testified honestly, because when Shelley was asked, did she request you to get your cards read or did you ask her, he was honest and said, "We talked about the tarot, and I asked her for a reading." And, although she doesn't really like to read for men, as she said on the stand, she did it because her religion requires her to.

Now, I had a lot of problems - - I had a lot of problems about the length and sqope of the questions on religion, because in the United States of America the Constitution protects our rights to religious activities. And it doesn't make any difference whether you believe in witchcraft, and it doesn't make any difference whether Sherna Gluck believes in witchcraft; because one of the tllings sho pointed out on that stand to you was that, even though she

doesn't believe in it, she understood Z Budapest's right to believe and practice what her faith and her beliefs tell her are her true religion.

rt doesn't matter whether you believe in witchcraft\$

It doesn't matter whether you believe in spiritualism. It

doesn't matter whether you believe in the Pentacostal. It

doesn't matter whether you believe in the Catholic religion,

because Sherna Gluck also said! if you will remember, that she

didn't believe in Catholicism or Protestantism Or Judaism.

Does that mean they don't exist? Does that mean other people don't have the right to believe in them? Of course not. That's one of our finest traditions.

And, while I had some very deep qualms -- and perhaps with another def ndant I would never have permitted it. I would have been screaming all over the courtroom.

In this case, **Z** was willing to share with you her religious beliefs, not so you could judge them as to whether t.Hey're rational or reasonable or any of that kind of thing but so that you could understand a little bit about where she was coming from and why, she believes this -- in this religion with such ardor and such faith.

And the whole magic that you will bring to this trial, and help to clear away all this manure that's been piled up, is that you are going to be able to cut through to the issues. And there's one issue here.

The Los Angeles Municipal Code makes fortune telling a crime, fortune telling, not the selling of potions, not the selling of candles, not the selling of occult books, which,

1 incidentally, those lovely officers viho had all that film smnehow forgot to take pictureEi of 2 They could take double pictures of some very pecul. r shelves wj th MRS STEIN: Excuse me, your Bono,: o Counsel is 5 misstating the evidence. The evidence was that these were 6 blown up at a later time. 8 THE COURT: Objection's overruled. JA='3. Buckley's statement .i.s -- you may proceed, Ms. Buckley. 9 MS. BUCKLEY: 'I'himk you. 10 I don  $\cdot$  t know where to start in this thing. Maybe I ' 11 should start with the fact that we do not deny · · any of the 12 evidence about the reading of the tarot cards. We deny that 13 we are involved in fortune telling as it is defined for you. 14 And we also say that, even if you come to the conclusion that 15 what she is doing is fortune telling -- that she has a defense 16 provided for her by the same Municipal Code, because otherwise 17 it would be totally unconstitutional. And that provision is 18 that there is an exemption for fortune telling. There is an 19 exemption for fortune telling for bona fide religions. 20 21 Now, bona fide religions do not mean established religions. In fact, just recently in San Francisco a Court 22 23 recognized a Satanic church --24 MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I would object to that --25 MS. BUCKLEY; Your Honor, THE COURT: Just a minute. Let her finish. 26 NRS. STEIN: -- as improper argument, arguing some case 27 that was decided. It's not applicable to --28

\_

25,

THE COURT: This is merely argument. And there may have been argument on the other side which.ls out.side the record.

Ladies and gentlemen, as I stated previously, argument is not evidence. It's merely the statements of the attorneys. Argument is the ergument of the attorneys as to what they believe the evidence shows, the inferences that can be drawn from the evidence. And they are telling what they believe the evidence shows. But it is not evidence. And, if something is said about something that didn't occur in this courtroom, it's not to be considered -- it's merely as argument, as -- to be analogized or presented in view of the testimony you have heard. 'I'he only thing you consider in the jury room is the testimony from this witness stand and the instructions given you by the Court.

You may proceed, Ms. Buckley.

MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

I'm glad for the interruption for one reason.

I meant to discuss one other thing which struck a note, a sour note, with me, and that is the discussion that the City

Attorney has made with the use of "customer". And, as the Judge will instruct you, what I remember or what the City

Attorney remembers is not important. What you remember as to the testimony is what is important. And I trust that your memory will be the same as mine on this, because it is my memory that the word "customer" was first used by the City

Attorney, not by the witness, and that the witness responded to that term.

Now, there's also another kind of thing to this wore

"customer", and 5.t goes back to the point I was trying to make before I was so rudely interrupted, andthat wasthat Z

Budapest is not on trial for running a bookstore, a candle shop a potion shop. There is nothing in the law that makes any of those things illegal. And she is not charged with thate—She is charged with havj\_ng read the tarot cards, which, in the fine opinion of the expert witness Alcantara, is in fact fortune telling. But also he has said is fortune telling is the reading and predicting of future events, not conduct. And he said there was a case that said that.

well, let me ask you to really search, into yourselve..; and ask how oftenl: ct is i: fc=- f fty IfI say, you're going to brushyour teeth tomorrow morning, is that an event or is it conduct?

If I say, because you tell me you're thinking of going out of State to Florida -- and I say, "Oh, you'll go out of State to Florida," and I say that because I've maybe looked at these cards -- because I've looked at a card, the Knight of Wands, which, in my expertise, in my experience and learning and dealing with the tarot cards -- because my experience tells me that card means that kind of thing, plus I have picked up from you in whatever way -- in whatever way, I have picked up from you some kind of emanations that tell me you are in fact going to make that trip -- you are the one that raised the question about it; you're the one that asked if I was going to, go to Florida, so obviously it's something you're concerned with. And somehow I pick up from you, not directly said, "Do you want to go?", but because, as one of the witnesses --

25 ·

I believe it was Reverend Conn -- said that his experience was that this kind of thing was learning from .:i.ndirect knowledge, not something directly through the senses, bu.t something throu rh intuition or some otJler means which you have picked up; so you go ahead and you say it.

But is that conduct or is it an event? I mean, I tJlink that's a ridiculous kind of distinction. And, you know == and I really think that maybe one day they'll come up with some kind of good distinction.

But right now I think that that one is meaningless..

If you examine the words, it's meaningless. But, secondly,

the tarot cards we have had evidence from have a long tradition..

Now, we had an expert on fortune telling by the name of Sergeant Alcantara. But what kind of an expert was he? Because, you see, the point of whether or not he's an expert and whether you have to listen to his expert opinions is a question of fact. And the Judge will tell you, give you an instruction, about expert witnesses.

And two things happen. First of all, it's up to you to decide whether he really is an expert. And, secondly, even if he is an expert, then you have a right to decide whether the hypotheti.cal question which he was asked, which theoretically is based on the evidence in this case whether in fact they proved all of those elements.

If they didn't, you can forget what his opinion was.

Now, let's talk about this expert. I think that

Sergeant Alcantara is an expert. I think he's a bunco expert\$

I think he is an expert at arresting people who are

fraudulently taking money from other people., And I will agree -- I will agree, and I'm sure my client will agree, that, for those people who go out and bilk people of their money and obtain great sums or talk them into not going to doctors and instead coming and taking your good-tasting or evil potions, whichever they are -- that those kinds of people should in fact be prosecuted.

But where's the evidence that my client ever defrauded anybody?

Officer Kin erlin asked for a reading. She crone in. She was not asked if she had \$10.00 before her cards were read. She said her cards were read. After it was read, she said, "What do I -- " and Z said, "\$10.00." There was no indication that, if she had not paid that \$10.00 -- that Z would have chased her down the street. Maybe she would have sicced her familiar German Shepherd on her. There was no evidence that her cards would not be read if she did not have the money. She walked in and she had her cards read.

Where is the poor little old widow whose life savings had been bilked from her by Z Budapest? Where is the man dying of cancer who has been kept from medical care because he came to Z Budapest, who, on the stand, although she said she was proud of her witches who were able to heal -- that they only practiced on :me another, and that, if somebody came in to her that she thought was ill, she'd send them off to a doctor.

Does this sound like the kind of fraud that

Alcantara's talking about? There's been no frimd heree In

fact, I tell you, really, one of the things that disturbs me no

end as a tax payer is what we're doing, you know: spending the

tax payer's money and taking all of this time and all of the

time of the court at a time when the courts are so janmed up,

and three officers sat here for three days, you know, when we

got crime running rampant on the streets.

And here we are with a woman that -- the only people who are testifying against her are officers, one of whom had nothing to do with her. Alcantara never had anything to do with this. He came in as an expert witness. He's an expert witness on fortune telling who took one class at U.CeL.A.. on gypsies, at which time there was a portion devoted to card reading by gypsies.

Yet my -- there was an attempt to get some information out about the difference between gypsy fortune telling and other fortune telling, and we weren't able to get that in.

But Sherna Gluck, who has -- no. Exc'use me -Barbara Chesser, the anthropologist, who has a background in
both physical anthropology, which is a physical science, and
cultural anthropology., which is a social science -- she
testified t.tt-iat the fortune telling as done by the witches is an
integral part of their religion. It's part of their divination,
It is a religion that does not just prophesy in great terms, as
in the Judaic and Christian religions some of the great
prophets did, but it comes down to the ordinary parishioner.

1 They participate closely in their religion. And, as such, they do divination. 2 N N, let me also remind you that, in the Book of St Matthew and Mark in the Christian religion, which is the Bible of the Christian religion, Jesus also prophesied on an 6 ordinary level about individual people who came to him. And He healed. And it's part of the Christian religion to have 7 healing. Witchcraft is not unusual in that. I mean, you've 10 all what about Kathryn Kuhlman who is on T V.? MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object. to Counsel's arguing 11 outside the evidence now. It was excluded from --12 13 THE COURT: From what? I believe the Court ruled about Jesus 14 MRS. STEIN: Christ, and so on, and that evidence was not --15 16 THE COURT: I believe Ms . Buckley even inquired into that after you cross examined one of the defense witnesses. 17 But, again, ladies and gentlemen, argument is merely 18 argument. It's not testimony. Kathryn Kuhlman is not the 19 issue in this case. Any of the arguments made of the Aztec 20 indians are not the issue in this case. The issue is the 21 testimony you heard and the instructions that you will hear 22 given you by the Court. And that is all you are to consider. 23 Argument is merely argument. 24 You may continue, Ms. Buckley. 25 MS. BUCKLEY: Thank you. 26 All right. Yes, Aztec, ndians. Let's get on to

another -- I really want to make this short. I really want to

27

28

٠į

make this short., But I al.so feel that I have to discuss some of this with you because t; .b! s ia an issue th9't; j,s much greater than Iny client. This is a whole question of whethe: to people are allowed to practice their religion when it doesn't fall \_w.i-thi--n---1:::he.\_\_l!\_ormati\_ve\_kinds\_of\_s<u>tanda::ds</u>31-.bi\_gh 5 that's what I'm going to talk to you about right now, because she talked to you about the Aztec Indians. And it's true, in 7 itself, if an Aztec Indian or a descendant of an Aztec Indian wanted to have a sacrifice to the Gods and wanted to chop off somebody's head, that would be unconstitutional -- that would be a crime. 11

1

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

It wouldn't be that it's Excuse me. unconstitutional. It would not be ruled unconstitutional if you stopped him or if you tried him for murder.

But, if an American Indian or a believer in the native church uses pevote in their religio'll.s activities, that's against the law. That's against the law. You're not allowed to get high and do these kinds.- of things. But an American I n dia n, Irlayuse.peyote and c::arinot be prosecuted for it.

And in Wisconsin it's required that all children go to school until they're 16, except that the old-order Amish do not have to send their chil dren to school because their religion requires them to be home tilling the fields and studying non-secular things between the ages of 12 and 16.

Now, that's against the law, to keep your kids home when they're under 16. But if you're Amish and it's a part of your religion, even though it's not a part of an established religion as we know the tenn, it is permissible. And,

25 .

likewise, tarot card reading -- and you heard our witnesses, about the long history and the basis of the tarot cards --- the tarot cards are not just fortune telling cards. '1.'hey are based on a long history. The cabala, which was the ancient Jewish Book Of Ageless Wisdom, the secrets, the secrets that not everybody could know -- and they a.re put into cards. And not everybody can know what those cards mean. Some of us are called upon to be able to do one thing; others another.

I couldn't possibly pull a car engine apart and put it back together again; but I, on the other hand -- I'm not sure a mechanic could do these kinds of things I do.

I couldn't play a violin. But does t.a.t mean that a person who does have that ability shouldn't be allowed to use it 'cause I can't?

And, particularly, when we get to religious practices, healing is done by so many groups. Why pick on the witches? Because you don't like the words? Because the term immediately brings to mind a hag with a pointed hat, and they're all crouching around. You remember Shakespeare's three hags around the caldron?

She doesn't look much like a hag. But it's part of our tradition to see the old witches. And now we're being forced to take a look at them through different eyes, begin to understand that there is a difference. Okay.

Now, we gotta get to the problem of: Is she conducting a business? I will say she is conducting a businesE  $\,$  6f selling candles. I will admit she is conducting a business in a partnership of selling books on the occult and on the

mysteries, some of which she believes in, some of which she doesn't. I will admit that she is selling some beautiful-smelling potions. And, I think, when you get in the jury room, one of the first things you will want to do is take a whiff of all of these potions. Take a whiff of the Van Van which is based on the lemon verbena and is used to purify your hands before you touch the sacred cards.

And, incidentally, I am distressed that the police could take the tools of my client's religion, her cards, which she even required the officer to wipe -- you know, to put special oils on her hands before she was allowed to touch them. And they pasted them up to make an exhibit. I'm offended.

I'm offended.

Now, -- but I will not -- I will not agree that the evidence shows that she is in the business of fortune telling or of tarot card reading. She has testified that the tarot card reading is a part and parcel of her religious function of counseling people who come and ask her. The tarot card readings, She says, are readings of the past, the present and the future; and the present very largely because it looms very largely in all of us. And she said different spreads will have different kinds of interpretations.

If you're more worried about, you know, moving out of the State, maybe your cards will be affe ted, so more of them come up relating to the future. If you're more worried about your present -- or it may be that she picks up from the people who are with them what it is they're worried about.

Okay.

f

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, let's get to the question of the fact that she doesn't have a license. She is not a psychiatrist. She is not a marriage counselor. She is not a psychologist, nor is Reverend Conn, nor is necessarily any minister. And yet any minister or any Rabbi has the right to counsel their parishbners; and the State cannot require licenses of any minister, Rabbi, priest or any "Accredited representative of a religion."

A high priestess cannot be required to go down to City Hall and apply for a license to talk to her parishioners. The State cannot do that. And, as to the fees, Jim Conn who is a reverend in the Methodist Church, spoke truly, and, I'm sure in your own experience, what he talked about rang a bell. In poor parishes, particularly where the minister or the priest may have his living provided for him out of what the -- what is brought in in donations -- they will sometimes be able to get the little extra in life by virtue of doing weddings, for which a charge is always made. And he's not in the business of no matter what was asked to him:on cross examination, doing he's not in the business of doing marriages outside of his, you know, profession as a minister. And even to suggest that gives me a pain. I hope you all felt the same way. If not, ignore me.

All that Z is doing in the way of her tarot card reading is to perform her function, to obtain an offering or fee Or charge for it. But she testified that, if the person didn't have anything -- that her religion requires her to obtain an exchange. And in most religions we have the idea of

tithing, you know, the ten percent -- you know, when Jim Conn 1 mentioned that he got ten percent of the Witchy Dance, I sort 2 of thought -- you know, it sort of .had a slight meaning, 3 because the C"..hurch always asks for ten percent. That.'s tithing. That's the way you give honor to your God or goddess, as the case may be, and you may get an offering or a -- you know. And, just as a priest would not refuse to give you holy communion just because you hadn't put money in-the bucket this time, so Z has said she wouldn't refuse to read tarot cards if they had no money. She has also said she read for a kiss, a 10 rose or a hug if the person could not afford the money. She 11 has read for a quarter, if that was what they had. And the 12 idea is that, when you are pursuing a gift which the goddess 13 has given you, then somehow you must show-some honor to that 14 goddess. You don t just take the gifts and use them in a 15 vulgar way. And some of the writers who were mentioned, and 16 whom Sergeant Alcantara had never read, make that point very 17 eloquently; that those who misuse the powers which are given 18 to them Edgar Cayce who once tried to use his powers, his 19 ability to give consultations while he was asleep and his 20 spirit was out -- and one time he tried to use that for money 21 and he lost his powers for a whole year, because he misused 22 them.

This is again a tradition in all of the religions.-SO that, when the City Attorney tries to say these are unusual kinds of t. ings and this makes it, you know it's not. It's to be found in the religious traditions, as our anthropologist said.

27 28

23

24

25 .

Now, another point was made, that nobody has ever seen Z -- nobody of the people who crone on the stand ever saw Z performing. We prepared this case hoping that it would be very short. But we felt: we had to get certain things to you so we brought you important people. We brought you an anthropologist who could tell you of the long history of witchcraft so that you would understand that it is a bona fide -- it's not something that people run around on Halloween and play games with. But it's a serious bel.i.ef and has been held down through the centuries for thousands and thousands of years

An interesting thing happened with Barbara.

Barbara was asked if she was a witch, and her answer was equivocal. She really was equivocal. And there's a question, why would somebody be equivocal. And I suggest to you that I think I understood part of what was, going on within Barbara Chesser, and I think maybe you do, too.

First of all, the term "witch" today is not accepted so that for a woman who is teaching at a university, to publicly acclaim that she is a witch is a different kind of thing. And, in fact, Allison Harlow pointed out to you that she was coming out of her closet, so to speak, for the first time, because she felt the issues here were so important: that her job was very important; and that the problem that happened was that she felt, if she were known as a witch, it might affect that position she has at the Stanford School Of Medicine But she felt it was important enough to come out.

Now, Barbara Chesser, on the other hand, is a woman who probably hasn't gone into it yet. Now, she said she had

25'

second sight. Butsecond sight is what we're "- the parapsychologists, according to Dr. George Peters, are today studying -- that's part of ESP, extrasensory perception. That's part of t.rrn things that normal people have but perhaps in less degree then the so-called abnormal people, people such as t11e witches, the clairvoyants, people like Peter Hurkos --

THE COURT: There will please be silence in the audience during arguments so that both sides may be heard.

You may continue, Ms. Buckley.

MS. BUCKLEY: just as, you know, Peter Hurkos, if he came to California, to Los Angeles, to help the Los Angeles Police Department, would immediately be prosecuted under the statute or the Municipal Code that Zin being charged with. And he couldn't get over, you know, why, because his beliefs are not religious. He understands that his powers in locating dead people, and particularly people who have been the victim of horrible crimes, murders, is an extrasensory perception talent and not -- to him it's not a religious talent. So he'd be found guilty the minute he walked into Los Angeles to help with an unsolved murder.

Z, at least now, that's the question. You saw Z on that stand. Nobody has seen her perform that was on that stand -- I was going through that -- I m sorry.

The point I was making is, we had an anthropologist to let you know what the history was, and that was what she was designed for, and that's what I think she pointed out to you. She was vecy capable. That's her field. And she had questions about whether or not she belonged wit.'l-ii.n that

particular category. But the knowledg<:1 which she gave to you has in no way been disturbed. It's good knowledge, comes from her expertise. It doesn't matter whether she's a witch or not, because as an anthropologist you learn certain things.

The second woman was a high priestess who also was a mathematician and computer analyst. And she cai"ne in because she discovered the depth of Z's Z Budapest's religious theology and background through a series of letters over a year. Now, she said she got the feeling after reading her first letter, but she pointed out to you that she had had at least 10 to 15 letters, exchanges of correspondence, in which they discussed their theology, and that and it must have been questioned because she was willing to come out in the open and expose herself as a witch to help defend Z Budapest in this court. So she obviously believes in the reality of Z's religion and Z's practice of it.

The third witness, Sherna Gluck, was a woman who's involved in research and who has been in the women's movement and has seen Z:Budapest over a period of three years lecturing and as an expert in tarot card readings and as a high priestess. And her testimony was designed to let you know that Z's reputation in the community as a witch goes beyond just the people in her own coveni that, in other words, she does have a reputation in the whole community, the larger community, the women's community, primarily; that she has seen her lecturing in universities.

Again, it goes to the bona fides of what she is, the reality of her religion and her beliefs.

Doctor Peters was an unexpected witness, and he was brought on becauße he has a doctorate in psychology and becal.1se he has a degree in divinity which he earned after four years at a school in -- a Methodist School Of Religion in Chicago.

about that honorary degree, let me remind you that his testimony was that, after he received his Bachelor in the divinity, he then worked with the Greater Chicago Ministers Board, and, as a result of his work, he was awarded an honorary doctorate, and that he then went to the University of Toronto where he did'a thing which perhaps is unknown to the City Attorney's office but certainly is known to many of us who have youngsters in college, the experimental college where, instead of going in and going to classes, you actually participate and then you go to lectures. And he testified that he developed a program he earned his doctorate by developing a program that was set up in 552 institutions across Canada and the United States.

Now, that's not a phony doctorate, by any means.

And his research and programs depended upon measuring endocrinology in people.

Now, I don't want to go into any of that except to say the reason we put him on was to point out to you that the kinds of powers which Z believes she has are the kinds of thingi that are being investigated in this new field of parapsychology. And Doctor Peters has his doctorate in psychology, and he is in fact, as he said to you, going to be a guest lecturer on extrasensory perception at a conference this

summer in which the United States, Russia and China will participa.te.

q

. 10

1.5

Now, he didn't say that the findings showed that these things -- he said he only looked at one tarot card reader, and that was part of a broader program involving astrologists. And, since we don't have an astrologer here, we don't have any of the evidence about that, so you can't speculate on it.

Butwhat you can get from his testimony is that, in fact, these are the things being investigated by parapsychologists today. And parapsychologists are just those psychologists who are willing to get up and say that those things which have been pooh-poohed for many centuries by scientific people -- we are now beginning to understand that there might be something to them, and especially when the Russians are doing experiments of telepathy between their cosmonauts and the ground which is going to be faster than electricity or whatever else we use to, you know, communicate with our space ships.

Then it's about time our psychologists begin to take a look at whether or not that's possible, because we don't want to be left behind in the space race, right?

So that's what he was put on for. And he didn't know Z. And he doesn't have to know her; and he's not here to see that she gets off. He's here because he's got his axe to grind which is the whole idea of parapsychology and the validity of the studies that are being done now. And that's all we put him on for.

Reverend Conn was a clergy person who testified that he knEM and recognized Z Budapest as a clergy person.

Now, he never saw her perform a rite, but, as he said, he doesn't have to see a minister or a priest do a ceremony, either, or a ritual either. He doesn't have to see a priest perform a mass in order to know that that is a priest.; He can talk a.bout theology, do all sorts of th:i.ngs, but it doesn't take -- he doesn't say to a priP.st, "Let me see your certificate." And he recognizes her as a clergy person, a woman whose beliefs are different than his own but whose beliefs he recognizes just as he does that of a Catholic or a Jew or a Mohammedan or a Buddhist.

And then we had **Z** Budapest. And I -- there's nothing I can do to convince you, I think, that **Z** Budapest told you where she was and what she believes in. And I'fl; don't believe, although I may be wrong -- but I don't kn0"w' how anybody could watch her on that stand, go th.rough the kinds of cross-examination that she did, and not come away believing that this is a woman who believes very deeply in her religion and in the practice of her religion.

Now, if you'll excuse me just half a minute, I -- I'm try to keep this short, but I also v. ant to make sure I don't lose something that makes you go hack in and say, well, she didn't talk about that.

The City Attorney has said my client. has no credentials. And one of the problems with the section of the Code is that it does talk about credentials. And to, perhaps, some people that might mean if my client doesn't have a piece

of paper then that means she isn't bona fide But, if her religion, as she testified, doe m•t give pieces of paper, can the State require them? I don't think that they can.

And what the Judge will instruct you is that an accredited representative of a religion is defined as a person who has been acknowledged by the constituency of that religion. Whether the defendant .i.s in fact an accredited representative is -- of a religion is for your determination. And I suggest to you that whether she has that piece of paper or not is not the important thing. The important thing is whether she is recognized in her community and the broader community as a high priestess. And I submit to you that all the evidence shows that that is how she is seen.

Furthermore, there has been some statement about, there is nothing in writing about this religion called witchcraft, thereby, to my way of thinking, immediately putting witchcraft back on trial, but be that as it may.

I draw your attention to our Exhibit C. This is a magazine of the Nemeton organization which is a national organization of pagan and earth religions. And in it there are descriptions of and articles about the theology of witchcraft and other pagan religions.

I think I'll do just one more thing that's important, and that's Z's comment about "I'm glad you're doing this. You're going to make me famous and rich."

There is nothing that says that ministers and religious people cannot be rich. In fact, if you'll remember Dr. Peters' testimony as to various religious leaders who are

seen as religious leaders, there are many who became very rich. In fact, who is the -- Maharishi Ji, the 15-year-old wonder who, for two years, graced the front pages of our newspapers but whose mother has just kicked him out of the position he, you know, just ran around collecting monies all over the place. And nobody ever said that that was an irreligious thing or that it was a business kind of thing. I don't think that the money aspect is one that you, use to determine whether or not this is in fact a ritual that is being performed as part of the religion.,

And, secondly, I ask you to think about what that statement means. Here are some police running in and arresting a woman for her religious practices.

MRS. STEIN: Your Honor, I object to that statemente That's not what she was arrested for.

THE COURT: It's merely argument, and that's what the jury

That's the argument of the defense. It's up to the trier of fact to determine --

MS. BUCKLEY: I'll amend that statement.

Here's a woman who thinks she has been arrested for her practices of her religion. And I'm sure that one of the things that pops through her mind is, wow, I'm going to sue the police for this violation of my rights, or, secondly, this is one way that people are going to be able to understand that we really have this religion.

There could be all sort of reasons why she said that, but it obviously wasn't that she meant t.1-iat: Your

\

arresting me ls part of the business I'm i.me It certainly

didn't mean that; although, on the other hand, it's possible

that all of you will now go over to her shop and wa.nt to buy

some of that lovely stuff, after you smell. it and use it in

your bath oil.

The Judge is going to instruct you that, if you find that the defendant was an accredited representative, as we said a minute ago, of a bona fide religion and read the tarot cards as a part of her spiritual or religious functions and not as a business, you must f:i.nd her not guilty of the charge in this case.

And I'd sort of like to end with a spell or prayer that Allison Harlow gave me and thatiI:really thought I would share with you because I think it expresses what has to come out of your deliberations.

Let my worship be in the heart that rejoices

For behold, all acts of love and pleasure

are my rituals.

Therefore, let there be beauty and strength Honor and pride, power and compassion Mirth and reverence within you.

And you who think to seek for me

Know that your·, seeking and yearning
will avail you not.

Unless you know the mystery:

That is that which you seek you find not within you

You will never find it without.

(

1 For behold, I have been with you 2 from the beginning. 3 And I am that which is attained at the end of desire. •hank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Buckley., Mrs. Stein? MRSe STEIN: Thank you, your Honor. I find it very interesting and unusual but it did happen. My worthy opponent, the Defense Counsel, opened her 10 argument with the statement "you are magic" and runplified on 11 that later and ended her argument by reading a prayer or a 12 spell given by Allison Harlow. 13 Now, that's never happened to me in the course of 14 a trial. Trials are not made up of magic, or juries are not 1.5 magic or spells and prayers, and so on, no matter what they 16 would like to believe. 17 Trials are made up of evidence and law It's also 18 very interesting that in the defense argument there wasn't one 19 word said to rebut, . the evidence that I presented to you that 20 this was a business -- very strange -- because there isn't 21 anything to say about it. It is a business, and you may 22 conclude it a.s such. 23 Now, on that point, there are -- listen carefully 24 to the instruction that the Judge gives you, because you cannot 25 use the cloak of religious beliefs that you have -- and the 26

whole trial was devoted to what her -- the whole argument was

devoted to what her beliefs are.

27

^

q

25 '

Let me remind you again that I don't care what har belic}fs are.None of us should. She's entitled to her own beliefs., The issue here is fortune telling in a business context..

Now, I find it very strange, and I don't know if Defense Counsel believes it or not, but she told you that this stuff smells good. Well, she may have a different sense of smell than I do.,

It is not Elizabeth Arden or whatever. And it's also interesting -- magic, and ending with a prayer or spell that she says to you, the first thing you do when you go in the jury room is open this up and put it on you. I strongly advise the men not to do it. And I don't think any of the women will want to wear it either, let alone go in there and buy it.

But -- and, as a matter of fact, it is -- I wouldn't even suggest that you go into that store and do it, buy anything, because I'll tell you something.

If I did not have a police car waiting for me outside, I would have been plenty scared to do that by myself.

But the point I wanted to make is that -- put this on you, she said, the first thing; you're magic.

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, I didn¹t want to do this but I did not say "put it on". And this is a complete example of what's been happening in this entire trial.

THE COURT: Objection is sustained.

I believe Ms., Buckley stated to open it and smell it and I believe she said the Van Van Oil would be very good to be used as a bath oil.

MRS. STEIN: I'm sorry, your Honor.

Open it up and smell it. That's the fir.st thing you were supposed to do. Maybe she really believes that this is going to bend you all her way. That's \•Thy the first thing you're supposed to do is open it up and smell it And, if that was true, you'd be out of that jury room after one whiff.

The evidence is gone and the law is gone, and so on and so forth. It hasn't worked so far. And it's not going to work on you. I can't believe it.

Counsel read you a portion of an instruction that said something about being a recognized -- being recognized by a member of her constituency. There wasn't a.ny member of her constituency that came up and testified that she was a recognized member of a constituency. But let me backtrack a little bit and tell you -- the Judge will tell you that: the People of the State Of California, who I represent, do not have to prove that she is a member of a bona fide -- representative of a bona fide church or religious organization. She has that burden of proof.

Has she proved that to you, lad:i.es and gentlemen, beyond a reasonable doUbt?

What is the reason that she has that burden of proof under the law? Well, it's on the next line in this instruction Whether or not such is the case is a matter particularly within the defendant's own knowledge. The burden is therefore upon her to prove that she is a bona fide representative and that sh, holds a certificate of credit, commission or ordination under the ecclesiastical laws of a bona fide religious organization.

1 The burden of proof is on her to prove to you beyond a .reason,ihle doubt that she is a member of a bona fide religion. 3 MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, may I say that I believe that 4 she's misstating the law to the evidence? It's nota 5 requirement of proving beyond a reasonable doubt on our defense., It is only the State which has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that she is guilty of a crime. 7 She has the burden of proof but that s not beyond a 8 reasonable doubt. MRS. STEIN: I'll withdraw that statement, your Honor. 10 It doesn't matter anyway. 11 THE COURT: Since it's been raised, it probably should be 12 clarified as to what --13 MRS. STEIN: The instruction speaks for ltself.. 14 THE COURT: You may proceed. 1.5 MRS. STEIN: In any event, the burden is on her to prove 16 bona fide 17 THE COURT: The jury is admonished to disregard the last 18 statement of the attorney, as to what the burden of proof is. 19 As indicated by the defense, the burden is on the 20 defendant to prove what you will later be instructed but the 21 burden is not beyond a reasonable doubt. 22 MRS. STEIN: Thank you. Whatever. 23 Now, she can do this by, as you will be told, 24 members of her constituency. Well, we didn't have any. 25 closest we came was Allison Harlow, a high priestess who 26 belonged to another group and who made the determination, she 27

said, after one letter. That was when she formed the opinion

tha.t the defendant was a witch.

25,

Now, she's in a different group. Hi'. Wholt procedure are dif'ferent. They allow men in her 9roup. It only t.ook her.

a year and a day. It took the defendant, apparently, 16 years, and so on. 'J:he whole thing is different. And she --

When i.t came down to it, she really didn't have too many powt1rs hc-:rsel.f other than that whi.ch is ordinary, and yet she is a high priestess. So we really had no one from the constituency to establish no one. 'I'he defense. That's the end of it. And it's the -- the burden is on the defendant.

Defense Counsel started out, after she said that you were magic, with say:1.ng that this was a trial of the defendant's beliefse It is not, ladies and gentlemen, a trial of the defendant's beliefs That whole issue is irrelevant. You may or may not believe that this is a religion. I can't swallow it .. I don't know. Maybe some of you do. But, even if you do, nobody can tell fortunes in a business context. A minister can't tell fortunes in a business context. A priest can't. A Rabbi can't. Nobody can tell fortunes in a business context. And the religion is totally irrelevant to the issues in this case. Nobody can do it. It's against the Municipal Code, and that is it , in a business contexto And that is the issue.

It is not a trial of the defendant's beliefs.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mrs. Stein?

MRSe STEIN: Yes, your Honor.

Now, the defendant -- the defense lawyer tried to get around the statement made, her religious functions being

performed on a mountain top, by say:1.ng the defendant is not a Princeton lawyer. But she did tell us, I think -- she was pretty articula e. She did tell us that. she had the gift of speech, .remember? I asked her if she had a golden tongue, and she said, I think it's a silver tongue you're:t'eferring to. And she did.,

And her lawyer made a note of it and then rehabilitated her.. Statement made by defense lawyer. She was counseling this is by the defense attorney herself counseling by the tarot cards. She sees it as counseling.

She is not a counselor, ladies and gentlemen. She is not a psychologist., She's not a psychiatrist. She's not a licensed marriage and family counselor. She may see it as counseling. She is not a counselor. And that's what the very danger is; that she sees herself as a counselor when she is not.

She's unqualified and untrained to treat people with problems. And that brings me to the -- well, her religion requires her to tell -- to read the cards.

Well, that's getting back to the point that you cannot use the cloak of religion to do an illegal act, which is fortune telling in a business context.

You cannot say, that's part of my religion, if it's illegal. And that's as plain and simple as that.

Fortune telling, business context, which wasn't refuted by the defense, is against that Municipal Code Sectione

Now, she did not mention anything about religion to officer Kimberlin. All she did was predict future events.

She told us about some of her religious beliefri, but she did not tell us it bout her secret "rites".

Now, I know of no religion which has secret rites that's not open to the public where you can't walk in.

Moreover, I know of no religion, bona fide religion, which has a sign on the door that says \$10wOO to get in or \$10.,00 to hear the service or whatever.

They re open to the public. "hey're not secret, and so on, a bona fide religion. There is no reason for anyth: lng bona fide to be secret.

Counsel said, cut through the issues with magic in this case. Ladies and gentlemen, I again submit to you, cases are testimony and the law as given to yoil by the J.udge.,

There's no magic at all involved And it's almost degrading on the judicial system and on us here to have that word used in a legal courtroom context. It's the first time I've ever heard it.

She never saw Officer Kimberlin before. She didn't know her. And yet she told her that she was going to get a divorce, going to Florida, and so on and so forth.

I don't have to go over all that. And that is an event as told to you by Sergeant Alcantara. And only one of these things has to be proved.

As the Judge will tell you, advertising of or telling fortunes or so on -- and there's more than that here. There's advertising. There's Officer Kimberlin's fortune. There's the offer to tell Sergeant Shelley's fortunee There's no defense hereof entrapment. You can put that out of your

minds. rrhey tried to :raise it --- she tried to raise it in the c.1.rgurnent. 'l'hat is not a defensee You will not x. eceive any instruction on that.

Th.i.s is perfectly proper police procedure. There' $_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}}}$  no defense here raii:;ed at all.. And the Judge will not tell you anythi.119 about the issue of something that wasattempted to be alluded here of entrapment.

Now, she is charged with doing it in a business context. Never defrauded anyone. How do we know?

Number one, she had the sign up there for the \$10e00 charge. Now, this particular ordinance it's the Los Angeles Municipal Code -- is not designed to punish somebody who tells fortunes. The purpose of it is to prevent one of the more serious things from happening.. Now, maybe nothing ha.ppened back there in -- with anything serious, let's say..

But telling somebody they're going to get a divorce
-- I think that's pretty serious. They might start worrying,
and so on. That, in and of itself, is serious and might start
somebody thinking or worrying, and so on, and thinking that
works. And they may need a marriage and family counselor or
a psychologist or something like that.

But the Statute, ladies and gentlemen, is not designed to punish. The Statute is designed to prevent the more serious things from happening and to stop this kind of conduct.

And the only way that that can happen is for you to find the defendant guilty of the charge. It's a preventive measure. Different things were alluded to by the Defense

1 Attorney., That's not the issue., Kathryn Kilhlman isn't t.htl 2 issue. The lilllish religion is not the issue here& 3 The issue is whether the defendant was telling fortunes in a business context. Now, just one brief comment on those points that 5 Defense Counsel raised, and so on, about bats, so on, Jesus. 6 Now, the Mormons at one time practiced polygamy., 7 And that's against the law, right? 1'hey made them stop doing 8 You couldn't have more than one wife. But that was part 9 of their religious beliefs. Okay? 10 You can't use the cloak of religion to do an i.llegal 11 act. 12 Fortune telling is a business. I'm not going into 13 detail, although I did take some notes about the defense 14 witnesses. 15 I think that, ladies and geltlernen, you have 16 enough common sense to evaluate how those witnesses appeared 17 to you on the stand, and so on and so forth, and what you can 18 consider was their bias, motive and interest for testifying in 19 this trial. 20 Reverend Conn gets paid for the use of his place, 21 and so on. 22 Now, the State -- there's a comment that -- can the 23 State require a certificate? Well, the State does --24 MS. £U LEY: Your Honor, --25 THE COURT: The Court is going to i,nstruct o that, 26 M.rs. Stein. I don't want to cut you off, but I think you know 27 the argument has gone on quite some time. 28

MRS .. S'I'EIN: Now, there was a comment made that the defendant in this whole thing can perhaps go down and get a business permit.. She could not do that. 'I'he defendant cannot get a business license to tell fortunes' as a business. It is against the law, ladies and gentlemen.

If you clear away everything, that is the issue in this case. Plain and simple ab that. Nobody can tell fortunes minister, priest, rabbi -- in a business context.

That evidence of belng a business has not been refuted.

And, really, ladies and gentlemen, although this has been a long and involved trial., it should not take you very long to decide that this defendant is guilty of violating this Municipal Code ordinance.

--000--

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
9	) SS. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
10	I, JOSIE GARDNER, CSR, Official Court Reporter of the
11	Municipal Court of Los Angeles Judicial District, County of
12	Los Angeles, State of California, do hereby certify that the
13	foregoing 459 pages comprise a true.andr:orrect. partial
14	transcript on appeal of the proceedings hc.Ld and the testimony
15	taken in the matter of the People of the State of California
16	vs. Zsuzsanna Emese Bartha, case No. 812709, on April 10, 11,
17	14 and 15, 1975 in Division 94 of said court.
18	DATED this 7th day of July, 1975.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	<u>josie gardner</u> <u>ls</u> <u>z&gt;ffim."al</u> <u>Court</u> <u>Reporter</u>
25	z/IIIII. ai Court Reporter
26	
27	
28	

1	
2	
3	WE HEREBY STIPULATE that the foregoing 459 pages com:
4	prise a true and correct transcript of the designated record
5	on appeal in the within-entitled matter.
6	Dated this day of
7	
8	7++0more 10"n +b"0 ""17mmo 1 1 an+
9	Attorney <u>lo"r th"e""Appellant</u>
10	
11	Attorney for tlie—Resp
12	
13	
14	JUDGE'S CERTIFICATE
15	
16	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript on
17	appeal is true and correct, and the same is hereby settled,
18	allowed, and made a part of the record in this case.
19	Dated this day of $_{}$ , $^{197}$ .
20	
21	
22	Judge.
23	
24	
25	
26	>_
27	<del>-</del>
28	

I

\

```
Transcript
transcript
with those
 1
   MARIE E. COLANERI
   8155 Van Nuys Blvd., Suite 908
   Panorama City, CAlif. 91402
   Telephone: (213) 781-1503
 3
   BUCKLEY & SIEGEL
   1253 7th Street
   Santa Monica, Calif. 90401
   Telephone: (213) 451-8833
 6 | Attorneys for Defendant
 7
 8,
                  APPELLATE DEPARTMENT OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
 9!
            OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
10
11
   PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                                            Superior Ct. # CR A 13284
12
                          Plaintiff
                                            Municipal Ct - L.A. Judicial
                                            District# 812709
13
   vs-
                          Zsuzsanna
                                            CORRECTIONS ON TRANSCRIPT
                          Emese Bartha
   ZSUZSANA EMESE BARTHA
                                            FOR SETTLED STATEMENT
   Z BUDAPEST,.
15
16
17
    Page
          16
               Line
                           "Goddesses"
18
               Line 10
                           comma after "coming out"
19
    Page 87
               Line 13
                           "Money Oil iuring''
20
               Line 16
                           "annoint- anoint"
21
               Line 19
                           "annoint - anoint"
                                                controll
22
               Line 20
                           ''attact - attack"
23
               Line 27
                           "annoint - anoint"
24
    Page 88
               Line 4
                           "annoint - anoint"
25
    Page 90
               Line 13
                           "annoint "
26
   Page 94
               Line 6
                           "annoint"
27
    Page 129
               Line 1
                           "for - should be from"
28 Page 165
               Line 18
                           "institution" should be intuition.
```

1	- 000 - 1	1 -	•
			"Huston" should be "Houston"
2	Page 352 Line	27	"is" should be "in"
3	Page 372 Line	8	"four" should be "for"
4			
5			Respectfully submitted
6			
7			
8			MARGUERITE M. BUCKLEY
9			
10	11 = 9 200	inted	molear of permitted
11	11.5 1, 0		V V
12.			
13			
14			
15:			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			